REPORT ON REVISIONS TO 5TH EDITION AP-42

Section 1.7

Lignite Combustion

Prepared for:

Contract No. 68-D2-0160, Work Assignment 50
EPA Work Assignment Officer: Roy Huntley
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards
Office of Air and Radiation
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

Prepared by:

Eastern Research Group Post Office Box 2010 Morrisville, North Carolina 27560

REPORT ON REVISIONS TO 5TH EDITION AP-42

Section 1.7

Lignite Combustion

Prepared for:

Contract No. 68-D2-0160, Work Assignment 50
EPA Work Assignment Officer: Roy Huntley
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards
Office of Air and Radiation
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

Prepared by:

Eastern Research Group Post Office Box 2010 Morrisville, North Carolina 27560

Table of Contents

		Page			
1.0	INT	RODUCTION			
2.0	REVISIONS				
	2.1	General Text Changes			
	2.2	Nitrogen Oxides, NO _x			
	,	2.2.1 Uncontrolled NO _x			
		2.2.2 Controlled NO,			
	2.3	Sulfur Oxides, SO_x			
		2.3.1 Uncontrolled SO _x			
		2.3.2 Controlled SO _x			
	2.4	Carbon Monoxide, CO			
		2.4.1 Uncontrolled CO			
		2.4.2 Controlled CO			
	2.5	Particulate Matter, PM			
		2.5.1 Uncontrolled PM			
		2.5.2 Controlled PM			
	2.6	Particle Size Distribution			
	2.7	Trace Metals and Polycyclic Organic Matter (POM) 2-5			
	2.8 Greenhouse Gases				
		2.8.1 Carbon Dioxide, CO ₂			
		2.8.2 Methane, CH ₄			
		2.8.3 Nitrous Oxide, N ₂ O			
	2.9	Toxic Air Pollutants			
		2.9.1 General Document Evaluation and Emission Factor Development 2-7			
		2.9.2 Description of Documents Evaluated			
		2.9.3 Emission Factor Development			
3.0	REF	ERENCES 3-1			
4.0	REV	ISED SECTION 1.7 4-1			
5.0	EMI	SSION FACTOR DOCUMENTATION, APRIL 1993 5-1			
APPI	ENDIX	A			

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report supplements the Emission Factor (EMF) Documentation for AP-42 Section 1.7, Lignite Combustion, dated April 1993. The EMF describes the source and rationale for the material in the most recent updates to the 4th Edition, while this report provides documentation for the updates written in both Supplements A and B to the 5th Edition.

Section 1.7 of AP-42 was reviewed by internal peer reviewers to identify technical inadequacies and areas where state-of-the-art technological advances needed to be incorporated. Based on this review, text was updated or modified to address any technical inadequacies or provide clarification.

Emission factors were checked for accuracy with information in the EMF Document, and new emission factors generated if recent test data were available. If discrepancies were found when checking the factors with the information in the EMF Document, the appropriate reference materials were then checked. In some cases, the factors could not be verified with the information in the EMF Document or from the reference materials, in which case the factors were not changed.

Four sections follow this introduction. Section 2 of this report documents the revisions and the basis for the changes. Section 3 presents the references for the changes documented in this report. Section 4 presents the revised AP-42 Section 1.7, and Section 5 contains the EMF documentation dated April 1993.

2.0 REVISIONS

2.1 General Text Changes

Information in the EMF Document and the Utility Boiler Alternative Control Techniques (ACT) Document¹ was used to enhance text concerning lignite characteristics; firing practices, emissions and controls. Additionally, at the request of EPA, the metric units were removed.

2.2 Nitrogen Oxides, NO_x

2.2.1 Uncontrolled NO_x

The factors were checked against information in Tables 4-2, 4-4, 4-6, and 4-9 of the EMF Document and the 9/88 version of Section 1.7 and no changes were required.

2.2.2 Controlled NO_x

The controlled NO_x emission factors were changed from two categories of NO_x controls (tangential boilers with overfire air and tangential boilers with overfire air plus low NO_x burners) to three categories based on information in Table 4-10 of the EMF Document. The three categories of boilers and NO_x controls are Subpart D tangential boilers with overfire air; Subpart D wall-fired boilers with overfire air plus low NO_x burners; and Subpart Da tangential boilers with overfire air. The changes made are shown in the following table:

NO_x Emission Factors for Controlled Lignite Boilers

Firing Configuration and NO, Control	Revised NO _x Emission Factor (lb/ton)	Emission Factor Rating
Subpart D boilers, pulverized coal, tangential-fired overfire air	6.8	С
Subpart D boilers, pulverized coal, wall-fired, overfire air plus low NO _x burners	4.6	С
Subpart Da boilers, pulverized coal, tangential-fired overfire air	6.0	С

2.3 Sulfur Oxides, SO,

2.3.1 Uncontrolled SO_x

The factors were checked against information in Tables 4-1 and 4-9 of the EMF Document and the 9/88 version of Section 1.7 and the following typographical error was corrected for the Atmospheric Fluidized Bed Boiler category:

Source Category	Previous Factor (lb/ton)	Revised Factor (lb/ton)
Atmospheric Fluidized Bed	30S	10S

2.3.2 Controlled SO_x

Table 4-10 of the EMF Document contained SO_x emission factors for various lignite boilers with SO_x controls; however, this data was not included in the 4/93 version of AP-42. The data were divided into four categories according to boiler age (i.e., Subpart D or Da) and SO_x control type (i.e., spray dryer or wet scrubber). The emission factors added are shown in the following table:

SO_x Emission Factors for Controlled Lignite Boilers

Boiler Type and SO, Control	SO _x Emission Factor (lb/ton)	Rating
Subpart D, pulverized coal, tangential and wall-fired, spray dryer	7.38	D
Subpart D, pulverized coal, tangential-fired, wet scrubber	16.8\$	С
Subpart Da, pulverized coal, tangential-fired spray dryer	7.9S	D
Subpart Da, pulverized coal, tangential-fired, wet scrubber	3.7\$	С

2.4 Carbon Monoxide, CO

2.4.1 Uncontrolled CO

The factors were checked against information in Tables 4-2, 4-9, and 4-10 of the EMF Document and the 10/86 version of AP-42 and no changes were required.

2.4.2 Controlled CO

The controlled CO emission factors were changed from two categories (tangential boilers with overfire air and tangential boilers with overfire air plus low NO_x burners) to three categories based on information in Table 4-10 of the EMF Document. Three categories of boilers and NO_x controls are Subpart D tangential boilers with overfire air; Subpart D wall-fired boilers with overfire air plus low NO_x burners; and Subpart Da tangential boilers with overfire air. (NO_x controls may affect CO emission whereas SO₂ controls should not.) The changes made are shown in the following table:

CO Emission Factors for Controlled Lignite Boilers

Firing Configuration and No. Control	Revised CO Emission Factor (lb/ton)	Emission Factor Rating
Subpart D boilers, pulverized coal, tangential-fired overfire air	No data	Not applicable
Subpart D boilers, pulverized coal, wall-fired, overfire air plux low NO _x burners	0.48	D
Subpart Da boilers, pulverized coal, tangential-fired, overfire air	0.1	D

2.5 Particulate Matter, PM

2.5.1 Uncontrolled PM

The uncontrolled PM emission factors were checked against information in Tables 4-3, 4-5, 4-7, and 4-8 of the EMF Document and no changes were required.

2.5.2 Controlled PM

The controlled PM emission factors were checked against information in Tables 4-12 and 4-13 of the EMF Document and no changes were required.

2.6 Particle Size Distribution

The particle size factors remain the same as in the 10/86 version of AP-42.

2.7 Trace Metals and Polycyclic Organic Matter (POM)

These emission factors were checked against information in Tables 4-18, 4-19, and 4-20 of the EMF Document and no changes were required. However, the controlled emission factors for those metals were replaced with new factors. See Section 2.9, Toxic Air Pollutants.

2.8 Greenhouse Gases

2.8.1 Carbon Dioxide, CO₂

CO₂ emission factors for Table 1.7-2 were developed assuming 99 percent conversion of fuel carbon content to carbon dioxide during combustion.²⁻⁴ An emission factor of 72.6C, where C is carbon content (weight percentage based on an ultimate analysis, dry basis), was developed using the following equation:

$$\frac{44 \text{ ton CO}_2}{12 \text{ ton C}} \times 0.99 \times 2000 \frac{\text{lb CO}_2}{\text{ton CO}_2} \times \frac{1}{100\%} = 72.6 \frac{\text{lb CO}_2}{\text{ton - \%C}}$$

Where:

44 = molecular weight of CO_2 ;

12 = molecular weight of carbon; and

0.99 = fraction of fuel oxidized during combustion (Reference 2-4).

If an ultimate analysis is not available, a default CO₂ emission factor was computed based on the emission factor equation presented above and the average carbon content (dry basis) for several U.S. lignite samples.⁵⁻⁸ Because of the variance of carbon content with the geographical location of the mine, this default factor was assigned a "B" rating.

Table 3-2. Default CO₂ Emission Factors for U.S. Coals Quality Rating: B

Fuel Type	Average Conversion Factor	Emission Factor (lb/ton coal)
Lignite	63.4 72.6	4600

^a An average of the values given in References 5-8. Each of these references listed average carbon contents based on extensive sampling of U.S. coals.

2.8.2 Methane, CH₄

No emissions data were located.

2.8.3 Nitrous Oxide, N₂O

No.emissions data were located.

2.9 Toxic Air Pollutants

An evaluation of toxic emissions data resulted in the development of new factors that were added to the section. In addition, the evaluation resulted in the replacement of controlled emission factors for chromium and manganese because the new factors were of higher quality. Most of the emissions data were stack test reports that presented emission factors, or reports that presented emissions and process data from which emission factors were developed. The following sections describe the documents evaluated and the methods used to develop the toxic emission factors.

2.9.1 General Document Evaluation and Emission Factor Development

Section 1.1, Bituminous And Subbituminous Coal Combustion and Section 1.7, Lignite Combustion were updated simultaneously and, therefore, emissions data from both types of combustion were of interest during the emissions data evaluation. Originally, the intent was to develop separate emission factors for the two sections, but after all data were assembled and examined, the emission factors for the two types of combustion were very similar in value. Because the factors were similar, it was decided to combine all data and develop one set of emission factors that would represent bituminous/subbituminous coal combustion as well as lignite combustion.

The focus of the emissions data evaluation was on toxic air pollutants, especially metals. Several documents provided emissions data for compounds that are not considered hazardous air pollutants and these data were not used to develop emission factors. Because of the limited scope of the emission factor development project, some data for toxic air pollutants were not used. Emissions data for radionuclides were encountered but were not used because the list of potential radionuclide emission factors is quite extensive. Emissions data for dioxins/furans were not used unless data for all congeners was included.

Because of budget constraints, the document evaluation concentrated on air emissions, or final stack emissions, only. Emissions data obtained from sampling at control device inlets, or outlets of intermediate control devices, were not used to develop emission factors.

Following EPA guidance, the emission factors developed for Section 1.7 of AP-42 are expressed in units of pound of pollutant emitted per ton of coal fired (lb/ton). Thus, the emissions documents were evaluated in order to identify emission factors, or information from which emission factors could be developed, in units of lb/ton. Many of the documents presented emission factors, but they were in units of pound of pollutant emitted per million British thermal units of heat input (lb/MMBtu). In such cases, a higher heating value (HHV)

for coal in units of Btu/lb was used to convert the factor to units of lb/ton. Several of the documents provided emissions and process information, such as emission rates and coal feed rates, that were used to develop emission factors. Some of the documents provided coal data, such as the HHV and coal feed rate, on a dry-basis. When the moisture content of the coal was provided, the dry-basis data were converted to as-fired, or as-received, data. The methods used for each document to develop the emission factors are described in Section 2.9.2, Description Of Documents Evaluated.

The majority of the documents evaluated were emissions test reports obtained from various sources. One source of emissions information was test reports provided by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). EPRI and DOE conducted an extensive emissions test program at several coal-fired power plants in order to characterize their emissions. Most of the individual facility test reports and the summary report of the test program were provided to EPA for use in emission factor development.

Another source of information was several emissions test reports from coal-fired power plants provided to EPA by the Northern States Power Company (NSP). In addition, several test reports obtained by EPA from other sources were evaluated.

A computer spreadsheet was constructed for each document where calculations were required to develop and characterize emission factors from information presented in the document. A spreadsheet was created for every reference except Reference 9. Reference 9 is a summary of an emissions test program conducted by EPRI and DOE. The spreadsheets were used as mathematical tools and as a means of documenting all calculations and assumptions. Also, information from each document that was used to characterize the emission factors was included in the spreadsheets. For example, information provided about the boiler(s) tested was used to assign a source classification code (SCC). In addition, any control devices in use by the emission source were noted. Copies of each computer spreadsheet are included in Appendix A.

When assigning SCCs to an emission source described in a reference, the boiler was assumed to be dry bottom unless the document specified that the boiler was wet bottom or mentioned an ash removal method that would be indicative of a wet bottom boiler. All emission controls described by the reference as being in use at the time the emissions data were collected were noted and no attempt was made to judge the effect of a control device on any of the sampled pollutants. Emissions data were not characterized as "uncontrolled" unless there was no type of pollution control device at all in use when the emissions data were collected.

2.9.2 Description of Documents Evaluated

The following paragraphs provide a summary of the information presented in each document that was evaluated for emission factors. Also, the methods used to develop emission factors from the information provided in each document are described. Copies of the computer spreadsheets that were constructed for each document (except Reference 9) are contained in Appendix A. The text descriptions are provided as a supplement to the spreadsheets in order to ensure that the development of all emission factors is fully explained.

Reference 9

This document summarizes the results of the emissions test program conducted by EPRI and DOE. This document presents emission factor equations for nine trace metals and emission factors for five organic pollutants that were developed from emissions data collected during the test program. The emission factor equations were judged to be of sufficient quality for inclusion in AP-42 and are presented there "as is," i.e. no adjustments or conversions were made. The organic emission factors were not used for AP-42 because they are a geometric, instead of arithmetic, mean. The reference was assigned a data quality rating of "A." The emission factor equations are discussed in detail in Section 2.9.3, Emission Factor Development.

Reference 10

This document presents the results of two emissions tests conducted at the NSP Sherco plant in Becker Minnesota. One emission test was conducted on Unit Three, which is a Babcock and Wilcox (B&W) 860 MW boiler firing pulverized subbituminous coal from Montana. Unit Three came on line in 1987. Emission controls utilized during the test were a spray dryer absorber and a baghouse.

The second emissions test was performed simultaneously on Units One and Two, which are identical Combustion Engineering 750 MW boilers that came on line in 1976. During the tests, both boilers were firing 70 percent Wyoming and 30 percent Montana pulverized subbituminous coal. Emissions from Units One and Two were controlled by a venturi scrubber spray tower during the emissions tests.

Both emissions tests consisted of three sampling runs for mercury and the results are presented as emission rates in units of lb/hr. The reference indicates that all sampling results were above the detection limits. In addition, the document presents the coal feed rates in ton/hr during both tests. Mercury emission factors in units of lb/ton were developed by dividing the emission rates by the coal feed rates.

The document was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

Reference 11

This reference presents the results of an emissions test conducted simultaneously on the Number One, Number Three, and Number Four boilers at the NSP Black Dog Plant located in Burnsville, Minnesota. The boilers are water tube boilers and were fired with pulverized subbituminous coal from the Antelope and North Antelope mines during the test. Emission controls utilized during the test were two electrostatic precipitators (ESPs) in series.

The emissions test consisted of three sampling runs for metals and the results are presented as emission rates in units of lb/hr. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission rates for pollutants that were not detected in any sampling run. Stack gas volumetric flow rates presented in the report (dscf/hr) and an average F-factor for coal of 9,780 dscf/MMBtu were used to develop an energy input rate in units of MMBtu/hr. The reference provides an HHV for the coal fired during the emissions test of 8,707 Btu/lb on an as-received basis. This value was used to convert the energy input rate to a coal feed rate in ton/hr. The emission rates were divided by the coal feed rate to arrive at emission factors in units of lb/ton.

The document was assigned a data quality rating of "B" because the coal feed rates during the emissions test were not provided.

Reference 12

The results of an emissions test conducted on the Number Two boiler at the NSP Black Dog plant in Burnsville, Minnesota, are presented in this report. The Number Two boiler is a 137 MW Foster-Wheeler atmospheric fluidized bed combustor (AFBC). At the time of the emissions test, Unit Two was firing 100 percent Western coal (blend of Antelope and Northern Antelope), which is subbituminous coal. Emission control devices in use during the test were a mechanical dust collector and two ESPs in series.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and the results are presented as emission rates in units of lb/hr. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission rates for pollutants that were not detected in any sampling run. Stack gas volumetric flow rates (dscf/hr) provided in the document and an average F-factor for coal of 9,780 dscf/MMBtu were used to develop an energy input rate in units of MMBtu/hr. The reference provides an HHV for the coal fired during the emissions test of 8,553 Btu/lb on an as-received basis. This

value was used to convert the energy input rate to a coal feed rate in ton/hr. The emission rates were divided by the coal feed rates to arrive at emission factors in units of lb/ton.

The reference was assigned a data quality rating of "B" because the coal feed rates during the emissions test were not provided.

Reference 13

This reference presents the results of an emissions test conducted simultaneously on the Number Three, Number Four, Number Five, and Number Six boilers at the NSP High Bridge plant in St. Paul, Minnesota. All of these boilers are B & W boilers and are equipped to fire pulverized coal. During the test, the boilers were fired with subbituminous coal from the Rochelle mine. A coldside ESP was in use during the emissions test.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene and the results are presented as emission rates in units of lb/hr. All sampling results for metals were above the detection limits. Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene were not detected in any sampling run and no emission factors for these pollutants were developed. Stack gas volumetric flow rates (dscf/hr) provided in the document and an average F-factor for coal of 9,780 dscf/MMBtu were used to develop an energy input rate in MMBtu/hr. The reference presents an HHV for the coal fired during the emissions test of 8,498 Btu/lb on an as-received basis. This value was used to convert the energy input rate to a coal feed rate in ton/hr. The emission rates were divided by the coal feed rates to arrive at emission factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "B" because the coal feed rates during the emissions test were not provided.

Reference 14

This document presents the results of emissions tests conducted on the Units Six and Seven at the NSP Riverside plant in Minneapolis, Minnesota. These boilers are pulverized coal-fired boilers and were firing subbituminous coal from the Rochelle mine during the emissions tests. Emission controls in use during the test consisted of a baghouse.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene. For metals, the emissions data from both units were combined and presented as emission rates in units of lb/hr. The benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene emissions data are presented separately for each unit as emission rates in lb/hr. All sampling results for metals were above the detection limits. Toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene were not detected in any sampling run and no emission factors for these pollutants were developed. Stack gas volumetric flow rates (dscf/hr) provided in the document and an average F-factor for coal of 9,780 dscf/MMBtu were used to develop an energy input rate in MMBtu/hr. The reference provides an HHV for the coal fired during the emissions test of 8,602 Btu/lb on an as-received basis. This value was used to convert the energy input rate to a coal feed rate in ton/hr. The emission rates were divided by the coal feed rates to arrive at emission factors in units of lb/ton.

The reference was assigned a data quality rating of "B" because the coal feed rates during the emissions test were not provided.

Reference 15

The results of an emissions test conducted simultaneously on Units One and Two at the NSP Sherburne County Generating Station located in Becker, Minnesota, are presented in this reference. The units are identical Combustion Engineering 750 MW boilers which came on line in 1976 and were fired with 80 percent Rochelle and 20 percent Coalstrip pulverized

subbituminous coal during the test. The boilers were controlled by a wet limestone scrubbing system consisting of twelve individual rod venturi scrubber spray towers during the test.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and the results are presented as emission rates in units of lb/hr. Full detection limit values were used to calculate emission rates for pollutants that were not detected in any sampling run. Stack gas volumetric flow rates (dscf/hr) provided in the document and an average F-factor for coal of 9,780 dscf/MMBtu were used to develop an energy input rate in MMBtu/hr. The reference provides an HHV for the coal fired during the emissions test of 8,547 Btu/lb on an as-received basis. This value was used to convert the energy input rate to a coal feed rate in ton/hr. The emission rates were divided by the coal feed rates to arrive at emission factors in units of lb/ton.

The reference was assigned a data quality rating of "B" because the coal feed rates during the emissions test were not provided.

Reference 16

This document presents the results of an emissions test conducted simultaneously on Units One and Two at the NSP Sherburne County Generating Station located in Becker, Minnesota. The units are identical Combustion Engineering 750 MW boilers which came on line in 1976. The document does not specify the type of coal being fired during the tests. One other test report from this facility is included in this documentation (Reference 15) and the boilers were firing pulverized subbituminous coal during that test. Thus, it was assumed that the boilers were firing pulverized subbituminous coal during the tests described in this reference. Emissions were controlled by a wet limestone scrubbing system consisting of 12 individual rod venturi scrubber spray towers during the emissions test.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and the results are presented as emission rates in units of lb/hr. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission rates

for pollutants that were not detected in any sampling run. Stack gas volumetric flow rates (dscf/hr) provided in the document and an average F-factor for coal of 9,780 dscf/MMBtu were used to develop an energy input rate in MMBtu/hr. The reference does not provide an HHV for the coal fired during the emissions test and, therefore, an HHV for coal of 8,547 Btu/lb presented in Reference 15 (test report from the same facility) was used to convert the energy input rate to a coal feed rate in ton/hr. The emission rates were divided by the coal feed rates to arrive at emission factors in units of lb/ton.

The reference was assigned a data quality rating of "B" because the coal feed rates during the emissions test were not provided.

Reference 17

The results of an emissions test conducted on Unit Three at the NSP Sherburne County Generating Station located in Becker, Minnesota, are presented in this document. Unit Three is a B & W 860 MW boiler which came on line in 1987 and was fired with pulverized subbituminous coal from Montana during the emissions test. The boiler was controlled by a spray dryer absorber and a baghouse during the emissions test.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and the results are presented as emission rates in units of lb/hr. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission rates for pollutants that were not detected in any sampling run. Stack gas volumetric flow rates (dscf/hr) provided in the document and an average F-factor for coal of 9,780 dscf/MMBtu were used to develop an energy input rate in MMBtu/hr. The document does not provide an HHV for the coal fired during the test and, therefore, an HHV for coal of 8,547 Btu/lb presented in Reference 15 (test report from the same facility) was used to convert the energy input rate to a coal feed rate in ton/hr. The emission rates were divided by the coal feed rates to arrive at emission factors in units of lb/ton.

The reference was assigned a data quality rating of "B" because the coal feed rates during the emissions test were not provided.

Reference 18

This reference presents the results of emission testing at a facility designated as EPRI Site 10. The boiler at this site is a fluidized bed combustor capable of producing approximately 100 MW of power at full load. According to the EPRI Synthesis Report (Reference 9), the boiler is a circulating bed AFBC and was firing subbituminous coal during the tests. Emission controls utilized during the tests were flue gas desulfurization (FGD) by limestone injection into the boiler combustion chamber and a fabric filter.

Test sampling runs were conducted for metals and organics. Because of a forced boiler outage, only one sampling run was conducted for all compounds except benzene. Five samples for benzene were collected at a later date. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission factors for pollutants that were not detected in any sampling run.

Emissions test results for dibutyl phthalate, bis(2-ethylhexyl), and N-nitrosodimethylamine are presented as concentrations in units of microgram per cubic Normal cubic meter. The reference indicates that all sampling results for these pollutants were above the detection limits. The concentrations were converted to units of pounds per dry standard cubic feet (lb/dscf) and multiplied by the stack gas volumetric flow rate (dscf/hr) to arrive at an emission rate in lb/hr. The reference presents a dry-basis coal feed rate of 108,626 lb/hr during the test and a coal moisture percent of 7.3. The dry coal feed rate was divided by 100 percent minus 7.3 percent (92.7 percent) to obtain a coal feed rate, as fired, of 117,180 lb/hr. The emission rates for the three pollutants were divided by the coal feed rate, as fired, to obtain emission factors in units of lb/ton.

The emissions results for the other compounds are presented as emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission factors that are based only on sampling results that were below detection limits. The reference presents an HHV for the coal of 11,000 Btu/lb on a dry basis. The dry-basis HHV was divided by 100 percent plus 7.3 percent (107.3 percent) to obtain a HHV of 10,252 Btu/lb for the coal, as fired. The as-fired coal HHV was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

Reference 19

This document presents the results of emissions testing at a facility designated as EPRI Site 11. The boiler tested is a 700 MW Combustion Engineering dry bottom, tangentially-fired unit with pulverized subbituminous coal from the Power River basin. Emission controls utilized during the test were over-fire air, an ESP, and a wet limestone scrubber/absorber.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals, formaldehyde, and naphthalene and the results are presented as emission factors in units of lb/MMBtu. However, Run Three was invalid because of suspected contamination. For Run One, the vapor phase samples were lost and, therefore, were not analyzed. Emissions results for the solid phase of Run One and the Run Two solid and vapor phase results were used to calculate the average emission factors presented in the report. Rather than convert the emission factors presented in the reference from lb/10¹² Btu to lb/ton, the data from Run Two were used to develop emission factors. Pollutant concentrations in ug/Nm³ provided in the report for Run Two were converted to lb/dscf and then multiplied by the stack gas volumetric flow rate (dscf/hr) provided in the report to obtain emission rates in lb/hr. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission rates for pollutants that were not detected. An F-factor for coal of 9.780 dscf/MMBtu and the stack gas volumetric flow rate (dscf/hr) were used to calculate an

energy input rate in MMBtu/hr. The reference presents an HHV for the coal fired during the emissions test of 8,300 Btu/lb, as received. This value was used to convert the energy input rate to a coal feed rate in ton/hr. The pollutant emission rates were divided by the coal feed rate to obtain emission factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "B" because the coal feed rate was not provided.

Reference 20

The results of emissions testing at a facility designated as EPRI Site 12 are presented in this report. The boiler at Site 12 is an approximately 700 MW which commenced commercial operation in the mid-1980's. The boiler is a B & W balanced draft, opposed-wall, natural circulation, pulverized coal-fired, dry bottom boiler. The boiler was firing western Pennsylvania bituminous coal and was controlled by a wet limestone scrubber and ESP during the emissions test.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and organics, however, one of the metals runs was declared invalid because of a sample processing error. The emissions results are presented as emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission factors that are based only on results that were below detection limits. The reference provides an average HHV for the coal fired during the emissions test of 13,733 Btu/lb on a dry basis and a coal moisture content of 4.12 percent. The dry-basis HHV was converted to an as-fired basis by dividing 13,733 Btu/lb by 104.12 percent, resulting in an HHV of 13,190 Btu/lb. The as-fired coal HHV was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

Reference 21

This reference presents the results of emissions testing at a facility designated as EPRI Site 15. Site 15 has a boiler with a capacity of approximately 600 MW which began commercial operation in 1970. The boiler is a tangentially fired furnace manufactured by Combustion Engineering and was firing pulverized Eastern bituminous coal during the emissions test. The pollution control system in use during the test consisted of an ESP.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and organics and the results are presented as emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission factors that are based only on results that were below detection limits. The reference provides an HHV for the coal fired during the test of 13,000 Btu/lb, which was assumed to be on an as-fired basis. This value was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

A data quality rating of "A" was assigned to this reference.

Reference 22

The results of emissions testing at a facility designated as EPRI Site 19 are presented in this report. The boiler tested at Site 19 is a B & W opposed, wall-fired unit and was burning bituminous coal from western Virginia and Kentucky during the emissions test. An ESP was in use during the test.

Three sampling runs were conducted for various metals. The results for antimony, beryllium, and cobalt are presented as concentrations in units of microgram per Normal cubic meter. The results for the three compounds were above detection limits for all sampling runs. The concentrations were converted to lb/dscf and multiplied by the stack gas volumetric flow rate (dscf/hr) to obtain emission rates in units of lb/hr. The reference provides an average coal

feed rate during the test of 694,000 lb/hr on a dry-basis and a coal moisture content of 6.1 percent. The dry-basis coal feed rate was converted to an as-fired basis by dividing 694,000 by 93.9 percent (100 percent - 6.1 percent), resulting in a value of 739,084. The pollutant emission rates were divided by the coal feed rate to obtain emission factors in units of lb/ton.

The results for the other metals are expressed as emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu. The reference indicates that sampling results for all compounds were above the detection limits. The reference provides an average HHV of the coal fired during the test of 13,467 Btu/lb on a dry basis. This HHV was converted to an as-fired HHV of 12,693 Btu/lb by dividing 13,467 by 106.1 percent. The as-fired coal HHV was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

-Reference 23

This reference presents the results of emissions testing at a facility designated as EPRI Site 20. The boiler tested at Site 20 is a B & W wall-fired, drum type boiler with a normal full-load value of 680 MW. The boiler was firing pulverized lignite from Wilcox, Texas during the emissions test. Emission controls in use during the test include two parallel cold-side ESPs and a FGD system that uses limestone slurry for reagent.

Four sampling runs were conducted for various metals. The results for antimony are presented as concentrations in units of microgram per Normal cubic meter. Antimony was not detected in any of the sampling runs and the concentrations are based on full detection limits. The concentrations were converted to lb/dscf and multiplied by the stack gas volumetric flow rate (dscf/hr) to obtain emission rates in units of lb/hr. The reference provides a coal feed rate during the test of 618,000 lb/hr on a dry-basis and a coal moisture content of 34.4 percent.

The dry-basis coal feed rate was converted to an as-fired basis by dividing 618,000 by 66.4 percent (100 percent - 34.4 percent), resulting in a value of 942,073. The average antimony emission rate was divided by the coal feed rate to obtain an emission factor in units of lb/ton.

The results for the other metals are expressed as emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu. The reference indicates that all pollutants were detected in all sampling runs. The reference provides an HHV of the coal fired during the test of 6,760 Btu/lb on an as-received basis. This value was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

Reference 24

The results of emissions testing at a facility designated as EPRI Site 21 are presented in this reference. The boiler at Site 21 is rated at 667 MW, gross load, and was firing bituminous coal from Pennsylvania and West Virginia during the emissions test. Emission controls utilized during the emissions test were a pilot ESP and FGD system. The FGD system is a spray tower absorber using an alkaline slurry. The pilot system has demonstrated the capability to produce the same results as a full-scale FGD system.

Eight sampling runs were conducted for metals and seven for PAHs. The results of the sampling runs are presented as emission factors in unit of lb/10¹² Btu. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission factors that are based only on sampling results that were below the detection limits. The reference presents an average HHV for the coal fired during the test of 14,032 Btu/lb on a dry basis and a coal moisture content of 7 percent. The dry-basis HHV was converted to an HHV on an as-fired basis by dividing 14,032 by

107 percent, resulting in a value of 13,114. The as-fired coal HHV was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

A data quality rating of "A" was assigned to this reference.

Reference 25

This reference presents the results of emissions testing at a facility designated as EPRI Site 22. The boiler tested at Site 22 is a B & W 700 MW, wall-fired, radiant boiler. The boiler was burning pulverized subbituminous coal from the Powder River regions during the emissions test. Emission controls used during the test were two parallel cold-side ESPs.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals, dioxins/furans, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and the results are presented as emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission factors that are based only on results that were below the detection limits. The reference provides an average HHV for the coal fired during the emissions test of 11,981 Btu/lb on a dry-basis and a coal moisture content of 29.5 percent. The dry-basis HHV was converted to an as-fired HHV of 9,252 Btu/lb by dividing 11,981 by 129.5 percent. The as-fired coal HHV was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This report was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

Reference 26

This reference presents the results of emissions testing at a facility designated as EPRI Site 101. The boiler tested at this site is a B & W, 800 MW, wall-fired unit and was burning pulverized subbituminous coal from New Mexico during the emissions test. Emission controls

in use during the test include low NO_x burners, a fabric filter, and FGD system consisting of a wet lime scrubber.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and organics. The solid phase sample for metals test Run Two was destroyed prior to analysis and, therefore, except for mercury, the metals emissions results are based on two sampling runs. Because mercury is present primarily in the vapor phase, the solid phase average of Runs One and Three was used to represent the solid phase results for mercury for Run Two.

The test runs results are presented as emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu. The reference presents an average HHV for the coal fired during the test of 10,190 Btu/lb on a dry basis and a coal moisture content of 14 percent. The dry-basis HHV was converted to an as-fired HHV by dividing 10,190 by 114 percent, resulting in a value of 8,939. The as-fired coal HHV was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

A data quality rating of "A" was assigned to this reference.

Reference 27

The results of emissions testing at a facility designated as EPRI Site 111 are presented in this reference. The boiler at this site is 267 MW, two-flow, single-reheat, balanced draft, drum type boiler. The boiler was burning a Western subbituminous coal during the tests. The pollution control system in use during the test consists of a fabric filter and spray dryers for FGD.

Two sampling runs were conducted for metals, PAHs, and various other organics. The results are expressed as emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission factors that are based only on sampling results that were below

detection limits. The reference provides an average HHV for the coal fired during the test of 10,020 Btu/lb on an as-received basis. This value was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This report was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

Reference 28

This reference presents the results of emissions testing at a facility designated as Site 114. The unit at Site 114 is a B & W, cyclone-fired reheat boiler rated at 100 MW. Bituminous coal from Indiana was fired during the emissions tests. Emissions sampling was conducted under two boiler operating conditions, baseline and reburn. Emission controls used under the baseline operating condition consisted of an ESP. Controls used during the reburn operating condition were an ESP along with wall-fired burners located at a higher elevation in the boiler and overfire air to reduce NO_x emissions.

Three sampling runs for metals, PAHs, and various other organics were conducted under each operating condition and the results for each condition are reported separately and are expressed as emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu. PAHs are reported as "not detected" and no emission factors were developed. For the other "not detected" pollutants, full detection limit values were used to develop emission factors.

The reference reports an average HHV for the coal fired during the baseline condition of 13,490 Btu/lb on a dry-basis and a coal moisture content of 15.6 percent. The dry-basis HHV was converted to an as-fired basis by dividing 13,490 by 115.6 percent, resulting in an as-fired HHV of 11,670 Btu/lb. The reported average HHV for the coal fired during the reburn condition was 13,280 Btu/lb, dry-basis, and the average content was 12.5 percent. The dry-basis HHV was converted to an as-fired HHV by dividing 13,280 by 112.5 percent,

resulting in an as-fired HHV of 11,804 Btu/lb. The as-fired coal HHVs were used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a quality rating of "A."

Reference 29

The results of emissions testing at a facility designated as EPRI Site 115 are presented in this report. The unit tested at this site is a 117 MW B & W roof-fired boiler commissioned in 1955. The boiler was firing pulverized Western bituminous coal during the emissions tests. Emissions tests were conducted in two phases. Emission controls in use during both phases included low NO_x burners, overfire air, and a fabric filter. Additional controls used in Phase II included a urea injection system for selective non-catalytic NO_x reduction.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and organics during both operating conditions and the results are presented separately and are expressed as emission factors in lb/10¹² Btu. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission factors that are based only on sampling results that were below detection limits.

The report presents an average HHV for the coal of 12,565 Btu/lb and 12,638 Btu/lb fired during Phase I and Phase II, respectively. The reported HHV for the coal is on a dry basis and the reference does not provide the moisture content of the coal, as received. A test report the facility designated as EPRI Site 111 (Reference 27) where the boiler was firing a Western bituminous coal reports a moisture content of 9.8 percent. This value was used to convert the dry-basis coal HHV at Site 115 to an as-fired basis by dividing 12,565 and 12,638 by 109.8 percent, resulting in an as-fired HHV for the coal fired during Phase I testing of 11,444 Btu/lb and 11,510 Btu/lb for the coal fired during Phase II. The as-fired coal HHVs were used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "C" because an as-fired coal HHV or information that could be used to calculate it were not provided.

Reference 30

This reference presents the results of DOE emissions testing at Springerville Generating Station Unit No. 2. This facility is owned and operated by the Tucson Electric Power Company and is located near Springerville, Arizona. Unit No. 2 was manufactured by Combustion Engineering and is a 397 MW, corner-fired, balanced-draft design. According to the EPRI Synthesis Report (Reference 9), this boiler is tangentially-fired. The unit was burning pulverized subbituminous coal from the Lee Ranch Mine in New Mexico during the emissions tests. Emission controls in use during the emissions test included overfire air and spray dryer absorbers.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and the results are expressed as emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission factors that were not detected in any sampling run. The report presents an average as-received HHV for the coal fired during the emissions test of 9,446 Btu/lb. This value was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

Reference 31

The results of DOE emissions testing at the Niles Station Unit No. 2 of Ohio Edison are presented in this reference. Unit No. 2 is a B & W, 108 MW, cyclone boiler and was burning pulverized bituminous coal during the emissions test. The coal is a blend of eastern Ohio and western Pennsylvania coals and is received in the respective proportions of 70/30. Emission controls in use during the test consisted of an ESP.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and various organics and the results are presented as emission factors expressed in units of lb/10¹² Btu. Emission factors for pollutants that were not detected in any sampling run were developed using one-half of the detection limit value. The average as-received HHV of the coal fired during the emissions test was 12,184 Btu/lb. This value was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

Reference 32

This reference presents the results of DOE emissions testing at the Coal Creek Station which is operated by Cooperative Power and is located about 50 miles north of Bismarck, North Dakota. The unit tested is a 550 MW, tangentially-fired, water walled, dry bottom furnace, with a Combustion Engineering controlled circulation boiler. The furnace is fueled by lignite from the Falkirk mine located adjacent to the plant. Emission controls used during the test were an ESP and wet limestone scrubber.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and various organics and the results are presented as emission factors expressed in units of lb/10¹² Btu. Emission factors for pollutants that were not detected in any sampling run were developed using one-half of the detection limit value. The average as-received HHV for the lignite fired during the emissions test was 6,230 Btu/lb. This value was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

Reference 33

The results of DOE emissions testing at Baldwin Power Station Unit 2 are presented in this reference. Unit 2, located in Baldwin, Illinois, is a B & W cyclone furnace rated at 568 MW and was built in 1973. The furnace was firing Illinois bituminous coal during the emissions test. Emission controls used during the test were an ESP.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and various organics, including PAHs and dioxins/furans. Test results are reported as emission factors expressed in units of lb/10¹² Btu. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission factors for pollutants that were not detected in any sampling run. The average of the HHV values reported in the reference for the coal fired during the emissions test was 10,633 Btu/lb, as received. The as-received coal HHV was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

Reference 34

This reference presents the results of DOE emissions testing at the Boswell Energy Center Unit 2 located in Cohasset, Minnesota. This unit is a Riley Stoker front-fired boiler built in 1957 and rated at 69 MW. The boiler was burning pulverized western subbituminous coal from the Powder River Basin area of Wyoming and Montana during the emissions tests. Emission controls in use during the test were a baghouse.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and various organics, including PAHs and dioxins/furans. Emissions results are reported as emission factors expressed in units of lb/10¹² Btu. When a pollutant was not detected in any sampling run, full detection limit values were used to calculate an emission factor. The average of the HHV values reported in the reference for the coal fired during the emissions test was 8,798 Btu/lb, as received. This

value was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

Reference 35

The results of DOE emissions testing at Cardinal Plant Unit 1 located in Brilliant, Ohio, are presented in this reference. Unit 1 is a wall-fired boiler rated at 615 MW and was burning pulverized Pittsburgh No. 8 bituminous coal during the emissions test. The unit is equipped with two ESPs arranged in parallel.

Three sampling runs for metals and various organics were conducted during sootblowing operations and three were conducted during non-sootblowing conditions. Emissions results are presented for both conditions, but only the results for non-sootblowing conditions were used to develop AP-42 emission factors. The emissions test results are reported as emission factors expressed in units of lb/10¹² Btu. For pollutants where the results for all sampling runs were below the detection limit, the average of the run detection limits was used to develop an emission factor. The reference does not report a coal feed rate or the HHV of the coal fired during the emissions test and, therefore, a value of 13,000 Btu/lb listed in Appendix A of AP-42 was used to convert the reported emission factors to emission factors in units of lb/ton.

A data quality rating of "C" was assigned to this reference because the coal feed rate and the coal HHV were not reported.

Reference 36

This reference presents the results of DOE emissions testing at a facility designated as Site 16. The unit tested is a Foster Wheeler wall-fired boiler rated at 500 MW. The EPRI Synthesis Report (Reference 9) indicates that the boiler was burning pulverized bituminous coal from Virginia and Kentucky during the emissions test. Emission controls in use during the test were low NO, burners with overfire air and an ESP.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and various organics and the emissions results are presented as emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission factors that are based only on results that were below the detection limit. The reference reports an average HHV for the coal fired during the emissions test of 13,800 Btu/lb, dry-basis, and a coal moisture content of 3.8 percent. The average dry-basis HHV was divided by 103.8 percent to obtain an average as-fired HHV of 13,295 Btu/lb. The as-fired coal HHV was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

Reference 37

The results of emissions testing at a facility designated as EPRI Site 122 are presented in this reference. The unit tested is a cyclone boiler constructed during the 1950s and has a nominal power production capacity of 275 MW. The boiler was burning bituminous coal from the Illinois No. 5 Seam in Saline County, Illinois. An ESP was in use during the emissions test.

Three sampling runs were conducted for metals and organics and the emissions results are reported as emission factors that are expressed in units of lb/10¹² Btu. Full detection limit values were used to develop emission factors that are based only on results that were below the detection limit. The average HHV of the coal fired during the emissions test was

12,327 Btu/lb, as fired. This value was used to convert the emission factors in units of lb/10¹² Btu to factors in units of lb/ton.

This reference was assigned a data quality rating of "A."

Reference 38

This reference presents hydrogen chloride (HCl) and hydrogen fluoride (HF) emission factors that were developed from the results of a literature search. The literature search was conducted under the National Acid Precipitation Assessment Program (NAPAP).

The reference lists four emission factors each, or four pairs of factors, for HCl and HF. The factors are in units of lb/ton and represent both controlled and uncontrolled boilers. One pair of emission factors is for electric generation (utility) and industrial boilers firing bituminous or subbituminous coal. The second pair of factors is for utility and industrial boilers firing lignite. The third pair of emission factors is for commercial/institutional boilers firing bituminous or subbituminous coal. The fourth pair of factors is for commercial/institutional boilers firing lignite.

The reference states that AP-42 procedures for assigning quality ratings were used to assign ratings to the factors. The emission factor quality ratings were retained and it was not necessary to assign a data quality rating to this reference.

References Examined But Not Used For Emission Factor Development

Several documents were examined and the emissions data they contained were not used to develop emission factors because the data were not considered representative of the general population of coal or lignite-fired boilers. For example, data from boilers that were not burning 100 percent coal or lignite were excluded. Data from boilers that were not operating

normally or were using experimental control devices were not used. Also, data whose use would result in relatively low quality emission factors were not used. The following paragraphs describe the documents that were examined but not used and an explanation of why they were not used.

Results of the May 28 - 31, 1991 Trace Metal Characterization Study and Dioxin Emission Test on Unit 1 at the A.S. King Plant in Bayport, Minnesota. Interpoll Laboratories, Inc., Circle Pines, Minnesota. November 6, 1991. The boiler was firing a mixture of coal (90 percent) and petroleum coke (10 percent) at the time of the emissions tests.

Results of the July 1992 Air Toxic Emission Study on Unit 8 at the NSP Riverside

Plant. Interpoll Laboratories, Inc., Circle Pines, Minnesota. September 29, 1992. The boiler was firing a mixture of coal (90 percent) and coke (6 percent) at the time of the emissions tests.

Measurement of Chemical Emissions Under the Influence of Low-NOx Combustion Modifications. Submitted To Southern Company Services, Inc. Final Report.

October 8, 1993. This facility was included in the emissions sampling program sponsored by EPRI and was designated Site 110. The reference states, "Site 110 provides control over the emissions of NO_x, however, it does so with modified combustion conditions having the potential of producing unwanted increases in the emissions of toxic organic compounds and conceivably undesirable changes in the emissions of inorganic substances."

A Study of Toxic Emissions From a Coal-fired Power Plant Utilizing an ESP While Demonstrating the ICCT CT-121 FGD Project. Radian Corporation, Austin, Texas.

December 28, 1993. This facility was included in the emissions sampling program sponsored by EPRI and was designated DOE Site 4. The boiler was utilizing an experimental, or "demonstration," type of flue gas desulfurization technology during the emissions tests.

Preliminary Draft. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 14 Emissions Monitoring. Radian Corporation, Austin, Texas. November 1992. This facility was included in the emissions sampling program sponsored by EPRI and was designated Site 14. The facility was utilizing a pilot-scale dry flue gas desulfurization system (FGD) at the time of the test. The pilot system consisted of a spray dryer followed by a pulse-jet fabric filter. A portion of the flue gas exiting the boiler was treated by the FGD system and then recombined with the gas entering the outlet stack.

Preliminary Draft. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 18 Emissions Monitoring. Radian Corporation, Austin, Texas. April 1993. This facility was included in the emission sampling program sponsored by EPRI and was designated Site 18. At the time of the emissions test, the unit was not operating under optimal conditions. One of the five coal pulverizing mills was out of service and adjustments were made to the other four in order to maintain a steady operating load. Due to the adjustments, operating conditions for the unit were not normal. In addition, one of the control devices utilized by the boiler was experiencing problems and had to be repaired after the emissions test.

Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 116 Emissions Report. Radian Corporation, Austin, Texas. Preliminary Draft Report, October 1994. This facility was included in the emission sampling program sponsored by EPRI and was designated Site 116. The facility was utilizing a "demonstration" pollution control system at the time of the emissions tests. A portion of the flue gas was treated by the system and then rejoined with the flue gas exiting the boiler prior to entering another control device.

2.9.3 Emission Factor Development

Once the evaluation of all documents was completed and spreadsheets were created to contain the emissions information extracted from each reference, the emission factors from the individual spreadsheets were combined into groups of factors according to pollutant type. This

grouping was performed in order to more easily identify patterns in the emission factor values that could be attributed to coal type, boiler configuration (SCC), and/or control devices employed. Emission factors making up a pattern would be averaged together in order to develop an AP-42 emission factor that represents the boilers and emission controls included in the pattern. The groups are: (1) metals emission factor equations; (2) hydrogen chloride and hydrogen fluoride emission factors; (3) dioxin/furan emission factors; (4) metals emission factors; (5) PAH emission factors; and, (6) emission factors for various organics. A spreadsheet was constructed for each group of emission factors, except for the metals emission factor equations. These spreadsheets are hereafter referred to as "main" spreadsheets.

The metals emission factor equations were not revised or converted. Because no calculations were necessary, a main spreadsheet for the emission factor equations was not constructed. The main spreadsheet containing the HCl and HF emission factors has only four factors for each pollutant and no extensive data manipulation was necessary. The main spreadsheets for dioxins/furans, metals, PAHs, and organics contain factors from numerous sources, and some processing of the data was necessary in order to develop AP-42 emission factors. The following paragraphs describe how these data were processed.

Each main spreadsheet for dioxins/furans, metals, PAHs, and organics was constructed with all emission factors from a single reference arranged on one row, except in the case of multiple emission factors representing different operating conditions. In such cases, the factors for each operating condition were arranged on one row. In addition to the emission factors, other data obtained from the reference were included on the appropriate spreadsheet row. These data included the reference number, number of boilers tested, coal type, boiler type, boiler MW rating, boiler SCC, control devices used, reference data quality, and number of test runs. These data were included in order to document and characterize the emission factors. Each type of data was entered in a single column of the spreadsheet. For example, all SCCs are in a single column, all coal types are in a single column, all emission factors for arsenic are

in a single column, etc. With this arrangement, the data can be sorted by SCC, coal type, and control device in order to identify patterns in the emission factor values.

According to EPA guidance, emission factors that are based completely on detection limits should be calculated using one half of the detection limit. When the emission factors were extracted from the references, those factors based completely on detection limits were identified and it was noted if full value or one-half value detection limits were used to calculate them. All such factors were calculated using full detection limit values except for factors from Reference 31 and Reference 32, which were based on one-half detection limit values. All emission factors in the main spreadsheets that are based completely on detection limits were divided by two except for factors from Reference 31 and Reference 32. The factors from all references that are based completely on detection limits are identified by a "DL/2" in the column to the right of the emission factor.

EPA guidance also prescribes that when averaging emission factors together in order to obtain an AP-42 factor, the average should be an arithmetic mean. In addition, values representing factors based completely on detection limits that are larger than values representing factors that are based on detectable sample quantities (the pollutant was detected in at least one sampling run) should not be included in the overall averaging. In the main spreadsheets, after a group of emission factors for a pollutant were selected to be averaged together, the factors based only on detection limits were examined to determine if they should be included in the overall average. The "non-detected" factors that were higher in value than "detected" factors were not included in the overall average. In each column of pollutant emission factors, the factors (detected and non-detected) that are included in the overall average are marked with an asterisk in the column to the left of the factors. The average of the selected factors is at the bottom of the column. The quality rating of the average factor is included in the column to the right of the average factor.

When a pollutant was not detected at any facility, no AP-42 emission factor was developed for that pollutant. These pollutants appear in the main spreadsheets with a "DL/2" to the right of every factor for the pollutant. Although no emission factor was developed for these pollutants, they are identified in the footnotes of the AP-42 table that they would appear in if a factor had been developed.

The metals emission factor equations and the development of the HCl/HF emission factors are discussed below. The factors in the dioxin/furan, metals, PAHs, and organic main spreadsheets were sorted by SCC and control devices in order to identify patterns in the factor values that could be attributed to one or more of these parameters. The result of this sorting is also discussed below.

Metals Emission Factor Equations

The emission factor equations provided in Reference 9 are included in AP-42 "as is," (i.e., no conversions or revisions were made to the equations). There are equations for nine metals and they may be used to generate emission factors for both controlled and uncontrolled boilers. In addition, the equations may be used to generate emission factors for all typical firing configurations for utility, industrial, and commercial/industrial boilers. The emission factor equations are based on statistical correlations among measured trace element concentrations in coal, measured fractions of ash in coal, and measured particulate matter emission factors. Because these are the major parameters affecting trace metals emissions from coal combustion, it is recommended that the emission factor equations be used to generate emission factors when the inputs to the equations are available. If the inputs to the emission factor equations are not available for a pollutant and there is an emission factor for the provided in Section 1.7, then the factor should be used. The emission factor equations are provided in Table 1.

Hydrogen Chloride and Hydrogen Fluoride Emission Factors

All HCl and HF emission factors were obtained from Reference 38. These factors are shown in Table 2. The factors for utility/industrial boilers firing bituminous/subbituminous coal, commercial/industrial boilers firing bituminous/subbituminous coal, and commercial/industrial boilers firing lignite were averaged together to obtain an overall factor (one for HCl and one for HF) that represents all three categories. The emission factors for utility/industrial boilers firing lignite were not used in developing the AP-42 emission factors because of the relatively low value of the emission factors.

Dioxin/Furan, Metals, PAHs, and Various Organic Emission Factors

As described above, the emission factors for these pollutants were sorted by SCC and control device in order to identify patterns. No patterns became apparent in any of the four spreadsheets except in the spreadsheet containing the dioxin/furan emission factors. The emission factors for dioxins/furans are from bituminous and subbituminous coal only. None of the factors are from lignite combustion. For this reason, it was decided to include the dioxin/furan emission factors that were developed for AP-42 in Section 1.1 Bituminous and Subbituminous Coal Combustion but not in Section 1.7 Lignite Combustion. The factors for metals, PAHs, and organics are were averaged together to arrive at one AP-42 factor for each pollutant. The SCCs and controls attributed to the AP-42 factor are a combination of the SCCs and controls represented by the individual factors. These factors are included in both Section 1.1 and Section 1.7.

Copies of the spreadsheets used to develop the metals, PAHs, and various organic emission factors are shown in Tables 3, 4, and 5, respectively.

Table 1. METALS EMISSION FACTOR EQUATIONS FOR SECTION 1-7^{a,b}

Pollutant	Emissions Equation ^c (lb/10 ¹² Btu)
Antimony	0.92 x (C/A x PM) ^{0.63}
Arsenic	$3.1 \times (\text{C/A} \times \text{PM})^{0.85}$
Beryllium	$1.2 \text{ x } (\text{C/A x PM})^{1.1}$
Cadmium	$3.3 \times (C/A \times PM)^{0.5}$
Chromium	$3.7 \times (C/A \times PM)^{0.58}$
Cobalt	$1.7 \times (C/A \times PM)^{0.69}$
Lead	$3.4 \times (C/A \times PM)^{0.80}$
Manganese	$3.8 \times (C/A \times PM)^{0.60}$
Nickel	$4.4 \times (C/A \times PM)^{0.48}$

^a Reference 9.

^b All equations are rated "A." The emission factor equations are applicable to all typical firing configurations (SCCs) for electric generation (utility) boilers, industrial boilers, and commercial/industrial boilers firing bituminous coal, subbituminous coal, or lignite. Also, the equations apply to boilers using typical control devices, including no controls.

C = concentration of trace metal in the coal, parts per million by weight (ppm wt).
 A = weight fraction of ash in coal, (dimensionless).
 PM = site-specific emission factor for total particulate matter, (lb/10⁶ Btu).

(continued)

Table 2. Data Used to Develop Hydrogen Chloride and Hydrogen Fluoride Emission Factors for Section 1.7 of AP-42^{a,b}

Boiler SCC Descriptions	Source Classification Codes	Hydrogen Chloride (lb/ton ^c	Hydrogen Fluoride (lb/ton)
Commercial/Industrial Boilers			
Bituminous and Subbituminous Coal Firing Types			
Pulverized Coal Wet Bottom	1-03-002-05/21	*1.48	*0.17
Pulverized Coal Dry Bottom	1-03-002-06/22		
Overfeed Stoker	1-03-002-07		
Underfeed Stoker	1-03-002-08		
Spreader Stoker	1-03-002-09/24		
Hand-fired	1-03-002-14		
Pulverized Coal Dry Bottom Tangential	1-03-002-16/26		
Atmospheric Fluidized Bed Combustor	1-03-002-17/18		
Cyclone Furnace	1-03-002-23		
Traveling Grate Overfeed Stoker	1-03-002-25		
Electric Generation and Industrial Boilers			
Bituminous and Subbituminous Coal Firing Types			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Pulverized Coal Wet Bottom	1-01-002-01/21	*1.9	*0.23
	1-02-002-01/21		
Pulverized Coal Dry Bottom	1-01-002-02/22		
	1-02-002-02/22		
Cyclone Furnace	1-01-002-03/23		

(continued)

Table 2. Data Used to Develop Hydrogen Chloride and Hydrogen Fluoride Emission Factors for Section 1.7 of AP-42 (Continued) 4.6

0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
ا 🐃 ت	
∥େଅ∴ରା	
1100020001	
=	
	1
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
] Je	
	1
	1
<u> </u>	
⊪‱ % ⊂∣	
	1
to occoprosocité de la	_
u_	
18 · · · · · · 81	
) HO	
8	- 1
	- 1
₩ 👯	- 1
:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	- 1
	- 1
(S)	္က
assifi	/23
lass)3/23
Class	-03/23
ce Class)2-03/23
rce Class	002-03/23
urce Class	-002-03/23
Source Class	02-002-03/23
Source Class	-02-002-03/23
Source Class	8
Source Cla	8
Source Cla	8
Source Class	8
Source Cla	8
criptions Source Cla	8
Source Cla	8
Descriptions Source Cla	8
Descriptions Source Cla	8
Descriptions Source Cla	8
Descriptions Source Cla	8
Descriptions Source Cla	8
r SCC Descriptions Source Cla	8
r SCC Descriptions Source Cla	8
r SCC Descriptions Source Cla	8
r SCC Descriptions Source Cla	8
r SCC Descriptions Source Cla	8
Boiler SCC Descriptions Source Cla	8
Boiler SCC Descriptions Source Cla	8
Boiler SCC Descriptions Source Cla	8
Boiler SCC Descriptions Source Cla	8
Boiler SCC Descriptions Source Cla	8

Table 2. Data Used to Develop Hydrogen Chloride and Hydrogen Fluoride Emission Factors for Section 1.7 of AP-42 (Continued)**

Boiler SCC Descriptions	Source Classification Codes ^c	Hydrogen Chloride (Ib/ton	Hydrogen Fluoride (lb/ton)
Spreader Stoker	1-01-002-04/24		
	1-02-002-04/24		
Traveling Grate Overfeed Stoker	1-01-002-05/25		
	1-02-002-25		
Overfeed Stoker	1-02-002-05		
Pulverized Coal Dry Bottom, Tangential Firing	1-01-002-12/26		
	1-02-002-12		
Atmospheric Fluidized Bed	1-01-002-17		
	1-01-002-18		
	1-02-002-17		
	1-02-002-18		
Underfeed Stoker	1-02-002-06		
Commercial/Industrial Boilers			
Lignite Firing Types			
Pulverized Coal	1-03-003-05	*0.351	*0.063
Pulverized Coal Tangential Firing	1-03-003-06		
Traveling Grate Overfeed Stoker	1-03-003-07		
Spreader Stoker	1-03-003-09		

Table 2. Data Used to Develop Hydrogen Chloride and Hydrogen Fluoride Emission Factors for Section 1.7 of AP-42 (Continued)^{4,b}

Boiler SCC Descriptions	Source Classification Codes	Hydrogen Chloride (lb/ton ^c	Hydrogen Fluoride (lb/ton)
Electric Generation and Industrial Boilers			
Lignite Firing Types			
Pulverized Coal	1-01-003-01	0.01	0.01
	1-05-003-01		
Pulverized Coal Tangential Firing	1-01-003-02		
	1-02-003-02		
Cyclone Furnace	1-01-003-03		
	1-02-003-03		
Traveling Grate Overfeed Stoker	1-01-003-04		
	1-02-003-04		
Spreader Stoker	1-01-003-06		
	1-02-003-06		
	Overall Average	1.2	0.15
	Quality Rating	В	В

^a All factors are from Reference 9.

Factors are for both uncontrolled and controlled boilers.

An asterisk to the left of a factor indicates that it was used in calculating the overall emission factor.

TABLE 3. DATA USED TO DEVELOP CONTROLLED METALS EMISSION FACTORS FOR SECTION 1.7 OF AP-42

Reference No.	No. of Boilers	Fuel Type	Boiler Type	MW	SCC	Control Device	Control Device 2 ^b	Control Device 3 ^b	Data Quality	No. of Test Runs ^c	Antimony ^{e,d}	Arsenic ^{ca}	Beryllium ^{e, d}	Cadmium ^{c,d}	Chromium ^{c, d}	Chromium VI ^{s,d}	Cobalt ⁴
10	1	Subbituminous	PC, DB	860	10100222	FGD- SDA	FF	none	. A	3							
10	2	Subbituminous	PC, DB	750 ea.	10100222	FGD- VSST	попе	none	Α	3							
11	3	Subbituminous	PC, DB		10100222	ESP	ESP	none	В	3	4.80e-05 DL/2	*1.06e-05	1.16e-06 DL/2	*5.31e-05	*4.89e-05	***	
12	1	Subbituminous	AFBC, CB	137	10100238	Cyclone	ESP	ESP	В	3	4.66e-06 DL/2	*9.03e-06	2.33e-07 DL/2	*1.11e-04	*1.08e-04		
13	4	Subbituminous	PC, DB		10100222	ESPC	none	none	В	3	*1.23e-05	*5.63e-06	*1.33e-06	*1.11e-05	*1.18e-04		
14	2	Subbituminous	PC, DB		10100222	FF	none	none	B	3	*5.78e-06	*1.89e-05	*8.09e-06	*4.83e-04	*2.35e-04		
15	2	Subbituminous	PC, DB	750 ea.	10100222	FGD- VS <u>ST</u>	none	none	В	3	*9.12e-06	*4.42e-05	*4.34e-06	*1.80e-05	*1.95e-04		
16	2	Subbituminous	PC, DB	750 ea.	10100222	FGD- VSST	none	none	В	3	*1.48e-05	*4.26e-05	*4.80e-06	*4.78e-05	*1.34e-04		
17	1	Subbituminous	PC, DB	860	10100222	FGD- SDA	FF	none	В	3	*7.06e-06	*4.14e-07 DL/2	*1.11e-07		*1.59e-04	*1.49e-05	
18	1	Subbituminous	AFBC, CB	110	10100238	FGD- FIL	FF	none	A	1		1.03e-05 DL/2	2.05e-06 DL/2	4.10e-06 DL/2	*3.28e-05		8.20e- 06 DL/2
19	1	Subbituminous	PC, DB, T	700	10100226	OFA	FGD-W LS	ESP	В	1		*1.41e-05	1.41e-06 DL/2	*1.83e-05	*9.87e-05		*2.40e- 05
20	1	Bituminous	PC, DB, O	700	10100202	ESP	FGD-W LS	none	A	2		*1.19e-05	2.11e-06 DL/2	*3.17e-05	*9.23e-05		1.32e- 05 DL/2
21	1	Bituminous	PC, DB, T	600	10100212	ESP	none	none	A	3		*3.38e-04	*1.04e-05	*8.06e-05	*3.12e-04		*5.20e- 05

Table 3. Data Used to Develop Controlled Metals Emission Factors for Section 1.7 of AP-42 (Continued)^{a,b}

Reference No.	No. of Boilers	Fuel Type	Boiler Type'	MW	SCC	Control Device 1 ^b	Control Device 2 ^b	Control Device 3 ^b	Data Quality	No. of Test Runs	Antimony ^{c,d}	Arsenic ^{c,d}	Beryllium ^{c,d}	Cadmium ^{c, d}	Chromium ^{c,d}	Chromium VI ^{c,d}	Cobalt ^d
22	1	Bituminous	PC, DB, O	1,160	10100202	ESP	none	none	A	3	*3.83e-05	*2.01e-04	*3.08e-05	*3.30e-06	*3.30e-04		*1.32e- 04
23	1	Lignite	PC	680	10100301	ESP	FGD-W LS	none	A	4	8.70e-06 DL/2	*8.52e-06	*4.73e-06	*9.46e-06	*3.79e-05		*9.33e- 06
24	1	Bituminous	PC, DB, O	667	10100202	ESP	FGD-W LS	none	A	8		*1.62e-04	*3.41e-06	*1.49e-05	*7.19e-05		*1.08e- 04
25	1	Subbituminous	PC, DB, O	700	10100222	ESP	none	none	A	3	3.52e-05 DL/2	*1.61e-06	2.87e-07 DL/2	*2.96e-06	*9.81e-06		6.50-06 DL/2
26	1	Subbituminous	PC, DB, W	800	10100222	LNB	FF	FGD-W LS	Α	2		*6.08e-06	*6.44e-07	*7.15e-06	*3.93e-05		*2.32e- 06
27	1	Subbituminous	PC, DB	267	10100222	LNB	FGD-SD	FF	A	2		2.11e-06 DL/2		2.11e-05 DL/2	4.31e-05 DL/2	÷=-	
28	1	Bituminous	Cy- clone	100	10100203	ESP	попе	none	A	3		*1.63e-04	*5.60e-05	*4.20e-05	*3.27e-04		
28	1	Bituminous	Cy- clone	100	10100203	Reburn/ OFA	ESP	none	A	3		*1.89e-04	*1.89e-05	*9.44e-06	*1.09e-04	-	
29	1	Bituminous	PC, DB	117	10100202	LNB/ OFA	FF ·	none	В	3		*1.72e-05	2.29e-07 DL/2	*2.75e-06	*1.51e-05		2.52e- 06 DL/2
29	1	Bituminous	PC, DB	117	10100202	LNB/ OFA	SNCR	FF	В	3		*3.45e-06	2.30e-07 DL/2	*8.05e-07 DL/2	*6.91e-06		2.65e- 06 DL/2
30	1	Subbituminous	PC, DB, T	422	10100226	LNB/ OFA	FGD-SD A	FF	A	3	*7.75e-07	*2.83e-06	3.78e-07 DL/2	*4.91e-07	*1.89e-06		2.84e- 06 DL/2
31	1	Bituminous	Cy- clone	108	10100203	ESP	none	none	Α	3	4.39e-06 DL/2	*1.02e-03	*4.63e-06	*1.71e-06	*7.31e-05		*1.46e- 06 DL/2

Table 3. Data Used to Develop Controlled Metals Emission Factors for Section 1.7 of AP-42 (Continued)a,b

Reference No.	No. of Boilers	Fuel Type	Boiler Type	MW	SCC	Control Device 1 ^b	Control Device 2 ^b	Control Device 3 ^b	Data Quality	No. of Test Runs	Antimony ^{c,d}	Arsenic ^{c,d}	Beryllium ^{c,d}	Cadmium ^{c,d}	Chromium ^{c,d}	Chromium VI ^{c,d}	Cobalt ^d
32	1	Lignite	PC, DB, T	550	10100302	ESP	FGD-W LS	none	A	3	*2.24e-06	*1.50e-05	1.06e-05 DL/2	1.99e-05 DL/2	***		*1.87e- 05
33	1	Bituminous	Cy- clone	568	10100203	ESP	none	none	A	3	*3.23e-05	*2.85e-04	*3.00e-05	*6.42e-05	*1.08e-03		*1.45e- 04
34	1	Subbituminous	PC, DB	69	10100222	FF	none	none	A	3	5.95e-06 DL/2	*5.70e-06	1.14e-06 DL/2	5.70e-06 DL/2	*3.59e-05		*1.23e- 05
35	1	Bituminous	PC, DB	615	10100202	ESP	none	none	С	3	*6.14e-05	*9.07e-05	*1.82e-06	*2.20e-05	*1.95e-04		*1.64e- 05
36	1	Bituminous	PC, DB	500	10100202	LNB/ OFA	ESP	none	A	3		*2.92e-03	*8.24e-05	*9.57e-05	*5.58e-04	*1.44e-04	*1.73e- 04
37	1	Bituminous	Cy- clone	275	10100203	ESP	none	none	A	3		*5.42e-03	*9.86e-05	*8.88e-05	*2.47e-03		*6.41e- 04
Average Factor											1.84e-05	4.08e-04	2.12e-05	5.08e-05	2.55e-04	7.95e-05	1.03e- 04
Quality Rating								<u></u>			A	A	A	A	A	D	A

PC = Pulverized Coal, DB = Dry Bottom, T = Tangential, O = Opposed, W = Wall, AFBC = Atmospheric Fluidized Bed Combustor, CB = Circulating Bed.

ESP = Electrostatic Precipitator, FGD = Flue Gas Desulfurization, FIL = Furnace Injection of Limestone, FF = Fabric Filter, LNB = Low Nox Burners, OFA = Overfire Air, SDA = Spray Dryer Absorber, SNCR = Selective Non-catalytic Reduction, WLS = Wet Limestone Scrubber, VSST = Venturi Scrubber Spray Tower. These are the controls that were in place during the emissions tests.

An asterisk before a factor indicates that the factor was used in calculating the overall average.

A "DL/2" after a number indicates that the pollutant was not detected in any of the sampling runs used to develop the factor. The value shown here represents a factor based on one half of the detection limit.

Table 4. Data Used to Develop Controlled PAH Emission Factors for Section 1.7 of AP-42

Re N		No. of Boilers	Type of Coal	Boiler Type	MW	SCC	Control Device 1 ^b	Control Device 2 ^h	Control Device 3 ⁸	Data Quality	No. of Test Runs ^t	Biphenyf ^{.4}	Acenaphthene ^{c.e}	Acenaph- thylene ^{c,d}	Anthracene ^{:4}	Benz(a)- anthracene ^{6,4}	Benzo(a)- pyrene ^{c,d}	Benzo(b,j,k)- Nuoranthene
2	9	1	Subbituminous	PC,DB,T	700	10100226	OFA	FGD-WLS	ESP	В	1							
3	4	1	Bituminous	PC,DB,O	667	10100202	ESP	FGD-WLS	none	A	7		*4.72e-07	*1.97e-07	*2.60e-07	*3.41e-08	*4.72e-08	*1.73e-07
3.	5	1	Subbituminous	PC,DB,O	700	10100222	ESP	none	none	Α	3		*1.11e-07	*6.29e-08	*8.51e-08	*1.85e-08	*2.04e-08	*5.00e-08
3	7	1	Subbituminous	PC,DB	267	10100222	LNB	FGD-SD	. FF	A	2		*1.60e-06	*6.01e-07	*4.01e-07	*1.80e-07	4.01e-08 DL/2	*2.40e-07
3	9	1	Bituminous	PC,DB	117	10100202	LNB/OFA	FF	none	В	3			-				***
4	1	1	Bituminous	Cyclone	108	10100203	ESP	none	none	A	3	*3.06e-06	*6.46e-07	*1.66e-07	*5.04e-07	*9.02e-08	2.92e-08 DL/2	*1.71e-07
4:	2	1	Lignite	PC,DB,T	550	10100302	ESP	FGD-WLS	none	A	3	*2.87e-07	*2.16e-07	*1.31e-07	*1.83e-07	*2.62e-08	*1.12e-08	*5.61e-08
4.	3	1	Bituminous	Cyclone	568	10100203	ESP	none	none	A	3	9.35e-06 DL/2	*6.70e-08 DL/2	*6.78e-07	*5.61e-08	*2.49e-08	5.80e-09 DL/2	*8.32e-08
, 4	4	1	Subbituminous	PC,DB	69	10100222	FF	none	none	A	3	1.57e-06 DL/2	*7.18e-07	*9.34e-08	*1.09e-07	*8.23e-08	*3.68e-09	*5.37e-08
4	5	1	Bituminous	PC,DB	615	10100202	ESP	none	none	С	3		•					
4	6	1	Bituminous	PC,DB	500	10100202	LNB/OFA	ESP	none	A	3		*2.15e-07	*7.98e-08	*9.84e-08	*1.86e-07	*1.09e-07	*3.99e-08
A	verag	e Factor										1.67e-06	5.06e-07	2.51e-07	2.12e-07	8.03e-08	3.83e-08	1.08e-07
	Quality	Rating										D	В	В	В	В	D	В

PC = Pulverized Coal, DB = Dry Bottom, T = Tangential, O = Opposed.

BESP = Electrostatic Precipitator, FF = Fabric Filter, FGD = Flue Gas Desulfurization, LNB = Low Nox Burners, OFA = Overfire Air, SD = Spray Dryer, WLS = Wet Limestone Scrubber. These controls were in use during emissions tests.

An asterisk before a factor indicates that the factor was used in calculating the overall average.

A "DL/2" after a number indicates that the pollutant was not detected in any of the sampling runs used to develop the factor. The value shown here represents a factor based on one half of the detection limit.

Table 5. Data Used to Develop Organic Emission Factors for Section 1.7 of AP-42

Reference No.	No. of Boilers	Coal Type	Boiler Type'	MW	SCC	Control Device 1 ^b	Control Device 2 ^b	Control Device 3 ⁶	Data Quality	No. of Test Runs ^c	Acetaldehyde ^{c.4}	Acetophenone ^{c, d}	Acrolein ^{c,d}	Benzene ^{c,d}	Benzylchloride ^{c,4}	his(2-ethyl- hexyl- phthalate ^{c,4}	Bromo- form ^d
23	4	Subbituminous	PC,DB		10100222	ESP	none	none	В	3			_	*5.45e-07 DL/2			_
24	1	Subbituminous	PC,DB		10100222	FF	none	none	В	3				*1.66e-02			
24	1	Subbituminous	PC,DB		10100222	FF	none	none	В	3				6.30e-04 DL/2			
28	1	Subbituminous	AFBC,CB	110	10100238	FGD-FIL	FF	none	Α	1				*4.10e-05		*9.24e-05	
29	1	Subbituminous	PC,DB,T	700	10100226	OFA	FGD-WLS	ESP	В	1	***						
30	1	Bituminous	PC,DB,O	700	10100202	ESP	FGD-WLS	none	Α	2	-			*1.82e-05			
31	1	Bituminous	PC,DB,T	600	10100212	ESP	none	none	_ A	3				*2.08e-05			
34	1	Bituminous	PC,DB,O	-667 ·	10100202	ESP	FGD-WLS	none	A	7							
35	1	Subbituminous	PC,DB,O	700	10100222	ESP	none	none	A	3							
36	1	Subbituminous	PC,DB,W	800	10100222	LNB	FF	FGD-WLS	A	2				*1.02e-05			
37	_ 1	Subbituminous	PC,DB	267	10100222	LNB	FGD-SD	FF	Α	2				*4.23e-04			
38	1	Bituminous	Cyclone	100	10100203	ESP	none	none	A	3	*6.07e-05		<u></u> .	*5.37e-05			
38	1	Bituminous	Cyclone	100	10100203	Reburn/O FA	ESP	none	A	3	*3.07e-05 DL/2			*2.46e-05			
39	1	Bituminous	PC,DB	117	10100202	LNB/OF A	FF	none	В	3				*5.95e-05			
41	1	Bituminous	Cyclone	108	10100203	ESP	none	none	A	3	*2.17e-03	*1.55e-05	*9.99e-04	*1.93e-04	1.44e-07 DL/2		5.85e-05
42	1	Lignite	PC,DB,T	550	10100302	ESP	FGD-WLS	none	A	3	*8.35e-04	*6.76e-06	*1.37e-05 DL/2	*5.11e-04	*7.10e-08		*3.86e-05
43	1	Bituminous	Cyclone	568	10100203	ESP	none	none	A	3	*2.91e-04	*2.62e-05	*7.55e-05	*2.57e-03		*9.78e-05	
44	1	Subbituminous	PC,DB	69	10100222	FF	none	none	A	3	*9.60e-06 DL/2	*1.25e-05	*5.98e-05	*1.81e-03		*2.96e-05	
45	11	Bituminous	PC,DB	615	10100202	ESP	none	none	С	3				*8.84e-05	*1.40e-03		

Table 5. Data Used to Develop Organic Emission Factors for Section 1.7 of AP-42 (Continued)

Reference No.	No. of Boilers	Coal Type	Boiler Type*	MW	SCC	Control Device 1 ^b	Control Device 2 ^h	Control Device 3 ^b	Data Quality	No. of Test Runs	Acetaldehyde ^{c.d}	Acetophenone ^{c, d}	Acrolein ^{c4}	Benzene ^{r, d}	Benzylchloride ^{,,d}	bis(2-ethyl- hexyl- phthalate ^{c,4}	Bromo- form ^e
46	1	Bituminous	PC,DB	500	10100202	LNB/OF A	ESP	none	A	3				*1.36e-05			
47	1	Bituminous	Cyclone	275	10100203	ESP	none	none	A	3	_			*1.92e-04			
Average	Factor										5.66e-04	1.52e-05	2.87e-04	1.33e-03	7.00e-04	7.33e-05	3.86e-05
Quality	Rating										, c	D	D	A	D	D	E _

^{*} PC = Pulverized Coal, DB = Dry Bottom, AFBC = Atmospheric Fluidized Bed Combustion, CB = Circulating Bed, T = Tangential, O = Opposed, W = Wall.

Controls in use during emissions tests: ESP = Electrostatic Precipitator, FF = Fabric Filter, FGD = Flue Gas Desulfurization, FIL = Furnace Injection of Limestone, LNB = Low Nox Burners, SD = Spray Dryer, WLS = Wet Limestone Scrubber.

An asterisk before a factor indicates that it was used in calculating the overall emission factor.

A DL/2 after a factor indicates that the pollutant was not detected in any of the sampling runs used to develop the factor. The value shown here represents a factor based on one half the detection limit.

Table 5. Data Used to Develop Organic Emission Factors for Section 1.7 of AP-42 (Continued)

Reference No.	No. of Boilers	Coal Type	Boiler Type'	MW	SCC	Control Device 1 ^b	Control Device 2 ^b	Control Device 3 ^b	Data Quality	No. of Test Runs'	Acetaldehyde ^{c,a}	Acetophenone ^{r,d}	Acrolein ^{c,d}	Benzene ^{c,d}	Benzylchloride ^{; d}	bis(2-ethyl- hexyl- phthalate ^{c,d}	Bromo- form ^e
46	1	Bituminous	PC,DB	500	10100202	LNB/OF A	ESP	none	A	3				*1.36e-05			
47	1	Bituminous	Cyclone	275	10100203	ESP	none	none	A	3				*1.92e-04			
Average	Factor										5.66e-04	1.52e-05	2.87e-04	1.33e-03	7.00e-04	7.33e-05	3.86e-05
Quality	Rating		· · · <u></u>						<u> </u>		С	D	D	A	D	D	E

PC = Pulverized Coal, DB = Dry Bottom, AFBC = Atmospheric Fluidized Bed Combustion, CB = Circulating Bed, T = Tangential, O = Opposed, W = Wall.
Controls in use during emissions tests: ESP = Electrostatic Precipitator, FF = Fabric Filter, FGD = Flue Gas Desulfurization, FIL = Furnace Injection of Limestone, LNB = Low Nox Burners, SD = Spray Dryer, WLS = Wet Limestone Scrubber.
An asterisk before a factor indicates that it was used in calculating the overall emission factor.

A DL/2 after a factor indicates that the pollutant was not detected in any of the sampling runs used to develop the factor. The value shown here represents a factor based on one half the detection limit.

3.0 REFERENCES

- 1. Alternative Control Techniques Document—NO_x Emissions from Utility Boilers, EPA-453/R-94-023, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, March 1994.
- 2. G. Marland and R.M. Rotty, "Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Fossil Fuels: A procedure for Estimation and Results for 1951-1981," DOE/NBB-0036 TR-003, Carbon Dioxide Research Division, Office of Energy Research, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, TN, 1983.
- 3. A. Rosland, Greenhouse Gas Emissions In Norway: Inventories And Estimation Methods, Oslo: Ministry of Environment, 1993.
- 4. Sector-Specific Issues And Reporting Methodologies Supporting The General Guidelines For The Voluntary Reporting Of Greenhouse Gases Under Section 1605(b) Of The Energy Policy Act Of 1992, DOE/PO-0028, Volume 2 of 3, U.S. Department of Energy, 1994.
- "Alternative Control Techniques Document—NO_x Emissions From Utility Boilers,"
 (1994) EPA-453/R-94-023, Office of Air Quality Standards, Research Triangle Park,
 NC.
- 6. "Evaluation of Significant Anthropogenic Sources of Radiatively Important Trace Gases," (1990) PB91-127753, report prepared for the U.S. EPA, Alliance Technologies Corporation, Chapel Hill, NC.
- 7. Steam: Its Generation And Use, Babcock and Wilcox, New York, 1975.
- 8. R. A. Winschel, "The Relationship of Carbon Dioxide Emissions with Coal Rank and Sulfur Content", *Journal Of The Air And Waste Management Association*, Vol. 40, no. 6 (June), pp. 861-865, 1990.
- 9. Electric Utility Trace Substances Synthesis Report, Volume I, Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, CA, November 1994.
- 10. Results of the September 10 and 11, 1991 Mercury Removal Tests on the Units 1 & 2, and Unit 3 Scrubber Systems at the NSP Sherco Plant in Becker, Minnesota, Interpoll Laboratories, Inc., Circle Pines, MN, October 30, 1991.
- 11. Results of the November 5, 1991 Air Toxic Emission Study on the No. 1, 3 & 4
 Boilers at the NSP Black Dog Plant, Interpoll Laboratories, Inc., Circle Pines, MN,
 January 3, 1992.

- 12. Results of the January 1992 Air Toxic Emission Study on the No. 2 Boiler at the NSP Black Dog Plant, Interpoll Laboratories, Inc., Circle Pines, MN, May 4, 1992.
- 13. Results of the November 7, 1991 Air Toxic Emission Study on the Nos. 3, 4, 5 & 6
 Boilers at the NSP High Bridge Plant, Interpoll Laboratories, Inc., Circle Pines, MN,
 January 3, 1992.
- 14. Results of the December 1991 Air Toxic Emission Study on Units 6 & 7 at the NSP Riverside Plant, Interpoll Laboratories, Inc., Circle Pines, MN, February 28, 1992.
- 15. Results of the May 29, 1990 Trace Metal Characterization Study on Units 1 and 2 at the Sherburne County Generating Station in Becker, Minnesota, Interpoll Laboratories, Inc., Circle Pines, MN, July 1990.
- 16. Results of the May 1, 1990 Trace Metal Characterization Study on Units 1 and 2 at the Sherburne County Generating Station, Interpoll Laboratories, Inc., Circle Pines, MN, July 18, 1990.
- 17. Results of the March 1990 Trace Metal Characterization Study on Unit 3 at the Sherburne County Generating Station, Interpoll Laboratories, Circle Pines, MN, June 7, 1990.
- 18. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 10 Emissions Monitoring, Radian Corporation, Austin, TX, October 1992.
- 19. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 11 Emissions Monitoring, Radian Corporation, Austin, TX, October 1992.
- 20. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 12 Emissions Monitoring, Radian Corporation, Austin, TX, November 1992.
- 21. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 15 Emissions Monitoring, Radian Corporation, Austin, TX, October 1992.
- 22. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 19 Emissions Monitoring, Radian Corporation, Austin, TX, April 1993.
- 23. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 20 Emissions Monitoring, Radian Corporation, Austin, TX, March 1994.
- 24. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 21 Emissions Monitoring, Radian Corporation, Austin, TX, August 1993.

- 25. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 22 Emissions Report, Radian Corporation, Austin, TX, February 1994.
- 26. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 101 Emissions Report, Radian Corporation, Austin, TX, October 1994.
- 27. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 111 Emissions Report, Radian Corporation, Austin, TX, May 1993.
- 28. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 114 Report, Radian Corporation, Austin, TX, May 1994.
- 29. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Project: Site 115 Emissions Report, Radian Corporation, Austin, TX, November 1994.
- 30. Characterizing Toxic Emissions from a Coal-Fired Power Plant Demonstrating the AFGD ICCT Project and a Plant Utilizing a Dry Scrubber/Baghouse System, Final Draft Report, Springerville Generating Station Unit No. 2, Southern Research Institute, Birmingham, Alabama, December 1993.
- 31. Draft Final Report, A Study of Toxic Emissions from a Coal-Fired Power Plant-Niles Station No. 2, Volumes One, Two, and Three, Battelle, Columbus, OH, December 29, 1993.
- 32. Draft Final Report, A Study of Toxic Emissions from a Coal-Fired Power Plant Utilizing an ESP/Wet FGD System, Volumes One, Two, and Three, Battelle, Columbus, OH, December 1993.
- 33. Toxics Assessment Report, Illinois Power Company, Baldwin Power Station—Unit 2, Baldwin, Illinois, Volumes I—Main Report, Roy F. Weston, Inc., West Chester, PA, December 1993.
- 34. Toxics Assessment Report, Minnesota Power Company Boswell Energy Center—Unit 2, Cohasset, Minnesota, Volume 1—Main Report, Roy F. Weston, Inc., West Chester, PA, December 1993.
- 35. Assessment of Toxic Emissions From a Coal Fired Power Plant Utilizing an ESP, Final Report—Revision 1, Energy and Environmental Research Corporation, Irvine, CA, December 23, 1993.
- 36. 500-MW Demonstration of Advanced Wall-Fired Combustion Techniques for the Reduction of Nitrogen Oxide (NOx) Emissions from Coal-Fired Boilers, Radian Corporation, Austin, TX.

- 37. Field Chemical Emissions Monitoring Report: Site 122, Final Report, Task 1 Third Draft, EPRI RP9028-10, Southern Research Institute, Birmingham, AL, May 1995.
- 38. Hydrogen Chloride And Hydrogen Fluoride Emission Factors For The NAPAP Inventory, EPA-600/7-85-041, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, October 1985.

4.0 REVISED SECTION 1.7

This section contains the revised Section 1.7 of AP-42, 5th Edition. The electronic version can be located on the EPA TTN at http://134.67.104.12/html/chief/fsnpub.htm.

5.0 EMISSION FACTOR DOCUMENT, APRIL 1993

This section contains the complete Emission Factor Document for Section 1.7, Lignite Combustion, dated April 1993. The electronic version can be located on the EPA TTN at http://134.67.104.12/html/chief/fbgdocs.htm. The zipped file on the TTN contains this (1996) background report as well as the 1993 Emission Factor Documentation.

Appendix A

TEST REPORT TITLE:

RESULTS OF THE MARCH 28, 1990 DIOXIN EMISSION PERFORMANCE TEST ON UNIT 3 AT THE NSP SHERCO

PLANT IN BECKER, MINNESOTA

FACILITY: NSP SHERCO

UNIT NO.: 3

LOCATION: Becker, Minnesota FILENAME SHERCO3.tbl

PROCESS DATA			
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3
Oxygen (% v/v) ^a	6.30	5.80	5.80
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/m) ^b	1,971,603	1,939,776	1,952,851
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/hr)	118,296,180	116,386,560	117,171,06 0
F-factor (dscf/MMBtu) ^c	9,780	9,780	9,780
Heat input (MMBtu/hr)	8,450	8,598	8,656
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/lb) ^d	8,547	8,547	8,547
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/ton)	17,094,000	17,094,000	17,094,000
Coal Feed (ton/hr)	494	503	506
Coal type ^e	Subbituminous		
Boiler configuration ^e	Pulverized, dry bottor	n	
Coal source ^e	Montana		
SCC	10100222		
Control device 1 ^e	Flue Gas Desulfurizat	ion, Spray Dryer a	bsorber
Control device 2 ^e	Baghouse		
Data Quality	C- Coal heating value	e and feed rate not	provided.
Process Parameters ^c	860 megawatts, on lin	ne in 1987.	
Test methods ^f ·	MM5		
Number of test runs ^g	3		-

^aPage 8. ^bPage 9.

°40 CFR Pt 60, Appendix A, Meth. 19, Bituminous coal

^dFrom report "Results of the May 29, 1990 Trace Metal Characterization Study on Units 1 and 2 at the Sherburne County Generating Station in Becker, Minnesota", page G-1. (Reference No. 25).

Page 1. Assumed dry bottom.

⁸Page 5.

	· · ···;=			
DIOXIN/FURAN EMISSION FA	ACTORS			
EMISSION RATES (g/sec) ²				
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
TCDD	4.0e-08	2.0e-08	1.4e-08	
PeCDD	7.8e-08	3.8e-08	1.7e-08	
HxCDD	3.2e-07	1.6e-07	8.6e-08	
HpCDD	1.19e-06	4.6e-07	2.4e-07	
OCDD	3.51e-06	1.16e-06	7.2e-07	
TCDF	3.2e-07	1.0e-07	4.8e-08	
PeCDF	5.7e-07	2.2e-07	1.2e-07	
HxCDF	1.43e-06	6.5e-07	3.2e-07	
HpCDF	5.12e-06	1.97e-06	1.18e-06	
OCDF	1.670e-05	5.12e-06	4.02e-06	
EMISSION RATES (lb/hr)b	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
TCDD	3.18e-07	1.59e-07	1.11e-07	
PeCDD	6.19e-07	3.02e-07	1.35e-07	
HxCDD	2.54e-06	1.27e-06	6.83e-07	
HpCDD	9.45e-06	3.65e-06	1.91e-06	
OCDD	2.79e-05	9.21e-06	5.72e-06	
TCDF	2.54e-06	7.94e-07	3.81e-07	
PeCDF	4.52e-06	1.75e-06	9.53e-07	
HxCDF	1.14e-05	5.16e-06	2.54e-06	
HpCDF	4.06e-05	1.56e-05	9.37e-06	
OCDF	1.33e-04	4.06e-05	3.19e-05	

EMISSION FACTORS (lb/ton) ^c	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
TCDD	6.42e-10	3.16e-10	2.19e-10	3.93e-10
PeCDD	1.25e-09	6.00e-10	2.67e-10	7.06e-10
HxCDD	5.14e-09	2.53e-09	1.35e-09	3.00e-09
HpCDD	1.91e-08	7.26e-09	3.76e-09	1.00e-08
OCDD	5.64e-08	1.83e-08	1.13e-08	2.87e-08
TCDF	5.14e-09	1.58e-09	7.52e-10	2.49e-09
PeCDF	9.15e-09	3.47e-09	1.88e-09	4.84e-09
HxCDF	2.30e-08	1.03e-08	5.02e-09	1.27e-08
HpCDF	8.22e-08	3.11e-08	1.85e-08	4.39e-08
OCDF	2.68e-07	8.08e-08	6.30e-08	1.37e-07

^aPage 4 ^bConvert g/sec to lb/hr. ^cDivide emission rate by coal feed rate.

REFERENCE 20 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 10 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

TEST REPORT TITLE:

RESULTS OF THE SEPTEMBER 10 AND 11, 1991 MERCURY

REMOVAL TESTS ON THE UNITS 1 & 2, AND UNIT 3 SCRUBBER SYSTEMS AT THE NSP SHERCO PLANT IN

BECKER, MINNESOTA

FACILITY: NSP SHERCO

UNIT NO.:

LOCATION: Becker, Minnesota FILENAME: SHRCO123 tbl

FILENAME: SHRCO123.tbl		···		
PROCESS DATA UNIT 3				
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/m) ^a	1,909,745	1,908,275	1,850,934	
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/hr)	114,584,700	114,496,500	111,056,040	
Coal Feed (ton/hr) ^b	490	494	503	
Coal type ^c	Subbituminous			
Boiler configuration ^c	Pulverized, dry b	ottom		
Coal source ^c	Montana			
SCC	10100222			
Control device 1°	Flue Gas Desulfu	rization, Spray Dry	er absorber	
Control device 2°	Baghouse			
Data Quality	Α			
Process Parameters ^c	860 megawatts, o	n line in 1987.		
Test methods ^c	EPA 101A for me	ercury		
Number of test runs ^d	3			
^a Page 18. ^b Page 7. ^c Page 1. Assumed to be dry botto ^d Page 5.	m.			
MERCURY EMISSION FACTOR	RS UNIT 3			
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
EMISSION RATES (lb/hr) ^a	0.038	0.043	0.044	
EMISSION FACTOR (lb/ton)b	7.76e-05	8.70e-05	8.75e-05	8.40e-05
*Page 5. bDivide emission rate by coal feed	rate.			

REFERENCE 20 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 10 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

PROCESS DATA UNITS 1 & 2			··· -	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/m) ^a	3,334,932	3,376,641	3,313,486	
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/hr)	200,095,920	202,598,460	198,809,160	
Coal Feed (ton/hr)b	764	775	766	
Coal type ^c	Subbituminous			
Boiler configuration ^c	Pulverized, assun	ne dry bottom		
Coal source ^c	70% Wyomin	g/30% Montana		
SCC	10100222			
Control device 1°	Flue Gas Desulfu	rization, Venturi S	crubber Spray To	wer
Control device 2 ^c				
Data Quality	Α			
Process Parameters ^c	750 MW each, or	n line in 1976		
Test methods ^c	EPA 101A for mo	ercury		
Number of test runs ^d	3			
^a Page 16. ^b Page 7. ^c Page 1. ^d Page 5.				
MERCURY EMISSION FACTOR	RS UNIT 1 & 2			
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
EMISSION RATES (lb/hr) ^a	0.042	0.025	0.090	
EMISSION FACTOR (lb/ton)b	5.50e-05	3.23e-05	1.17e-04	6.82e-05
^a Page 5. ^b Divide emission rate by coal feed	rate.			

REFERENCE 21 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 11 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

TEST REPORT TITLE:

RESULTS OF THE NOVEMBER 5, 1991 AIR TOXIC EMISSION STUDY ON THE NO. 1, 3 & 4 BOILERS AT THE NSP BLACK

DOG PLANT

FACILITY:

NSP BLACK DOG

UNIT NO.: 1, 3 & 4

LOCATION: Burnsville, Minnesota

FILENAME BLKDG134.tbl

ILLIMITE DEREGISTATION			
PROCESS DATA	METALS		
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3
Oxygen (% v/v) ^a	7.10	6.80	6.60
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/m) ^b	836,298	842,891	824,638
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/hr)	50,177,880	50,573,460	49,478,280
F-factor (dscf/MMBtu) ^c	9,780	9,780	9,780
Heat input (MMBtu/hr)	3,388	3,489	3,462
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/lb) ^d	8,707	8,707	8,707
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/ton)	17,414,000	17,414,000	17,414,000
Coal Feed (ton/hr)	195	200	199
Coal type ^e	Subbituminous		
Boiler configuration ^e	Pulverized, dry l	oottom	
Coal source ^e	Antelope/North	Antelope	
SCC	10100222		
Control device 1 ^e	ESP		
Control device 2 ^e	ESP		
Data Quality	В	Had to use F-fact coal feed rate, to	or and average HHV to get n/hr.
Process Parameters ^e	Three watertube 1,250,000 lb/hr	boilers at 720,000 steam.	, 775,000 and
Test methods ^f	MM 5 metals		
Number of test runs ⁸	3		
^a Page 22			

^aPage 22.

^bPage 29.

Page 29.

^dSection 4 Results of Fuel Analyses.

Page 1. Assumed dry bottom.

Page 1.

^gVarious pages.

REFERENCE 21 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 11 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

METALS EMISSION FACTORS				
EMISSION RATES (lb/hr) ^a	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	8.8	9.7	10.9	
Antimony ^b	0.019	0.019	0.019	
Arsenic	0.0021	0.0021	0.0021	
Barium	0.67	0.51	0.22	
Beryllium	0.00036	0.00047	0.00055	
Boron	0.11	0.099	0.12	
Cadmium	0.0017	0.013	0.017	
Calcium	12.6	15.2	13.2	
Chromium	0.0071	0.013	0.009	
Copper	0.037	0.14	0.034	
Iron	3.1	3.8	4.1	
Lead	0.017	0.19	0.0084	
.Magnesium	2.7	.3.2	3.6	
Manganese	0.019	0.021	0.022	
Mercury	0.017	0.0087	0.022	
Molybdenum ^b	0.0063	0.0063	0.0063	
Nickel	0.012	0.052	0.0092	
Potassium	0.52	0.93	0.65	
Selenium	0.0042	0.0042	0.0042	
Silver	0.0038	0.0032	0.0078	
SO2	1490	1630	1460	
Sodium	1.5	2.5	1.9	
Strontium	0.23	0.23	0.19	
Vanadium	0.023	0.025	0.026	
Zinc	0.059	0.46	0.091	

REFERENCE 21 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 11 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

EMISSION FACTORS (lb/ton) ^c	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	4.52e-02	4.84e-02	5.48e-02	4.95e-02
Antimony ^b	9.77e-05	9.48e-05	9.56e-05	9.60e-05
Arsenic	1.08e-05	1.05e-05	1.06e-05	1.06e-05
Barium	3.44e-03	2.55e-03	1.11e-03	2.37e-03
Beryllium	1.85e-06	2.35e-06	2.77e-06	2.32e-06
Boron	5.65e-04	4.94e-04	6.04e-04	5.54e-04
Cadmium	8.74e-06	6.49e-05	8.55e-05	5.31e-05
Calcium	6.48e-02	7.59e-02	6.64e-02	6.90e-02
Chromium	3.65e-05	6.49e-05	4.53e-05	4.89e-05
Copper	1.90e-04	6.99e-04	1.71e-04	3.53e-04
Iron	1.59e-02	1.90e-02	2.06e-02	1.85e-02
Lead	8.74e-05	9.48e-04	4.23e-05	3.59e-04
Magnesium	1.39e-02	1.60e-02	1.81e-02	1.60e-02
Manganese	. 9.7.7e-05	1.05e-04	1.11e-04	1.04e-04
Mercury	8.74e-05	4.34e-05	1.11e-04	8.05e-05
Molybdenum ^b	3.24e-05	3.14e-05	3.17e-05	3.18e-05
Nickel	6.17e-05	2.60e-04	4.63e-05	1.23e-04
Potassium	2.67e-03	4.64e-03	3.27e-03	3.53e-03
Selenium	2.16e-05	2.10e-05	2.11e-05	2.12e-05
Silver	1.95e-05	1.60e-05	3.92e-05	2.49e-05
SO2	7.66e + 00	8.14e + 00	7.34e+00	7.71e+0
Sodium	7.71e-03	1.25e-02	9.56e-03	9.92e-03
Strontium	1.18e-03	1.15e-03	9.56e-04	1.10e-03
Vanadium	1.18e-04	1.25e-04	1.31e-04	1.25e-04
Zinc	3.03e-04	2.30e-03	4.58e-04	1.02e-03

^aTable 3 (page 13?).

^bNot detected in any of the sampling runs, emission factor is based on detection limits.

^cDivide emission rate by coal feed rate.

REFERENCE 22 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 12 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

TEST REPORT TITLE:

RESULTS OF THE JANUARY 1992 AIR TOXIC EMISSION

STUDY ON THE NO. 2 BOILER AT THE NSP BLACK DOG

PLANT

FACILITY:

NSP BLACK DOG

UNIT NO.:

LOCATION: Burnsville, Minnesota

FILENAME BLKDOG2.tbl

PROCESS DATA	METALS				
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3		
Oxygen (% v/v) ^a	10.40	10.20	10.20		
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/m) ^b	354,118	351,097	354,635		
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/hr)	21,247,080	21,065,820	21,278,100		
F-factor (dscf/MMBtu) ^c	9,780	9,780	9,780		
Heat input (MMBtu/hr)	1,091	1,103	1,114		
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/lb) ^d	8,553	8,553	8,553		
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/ton)	17,106,000	17,106,000	17,106,000		
Coal Feed (ton/hr)	64	64	65		
Coal type ^e	Subbituminous				
Boiler configuration ^e	Atmospheric Flui	dized bed Combusto	r (AFBC), circulating bed		
Coal source ^c .	Antelope/North A	Antelope/North Antelope			
SCC	10100238				
Control Device 1 ^e	Cyclone (mechan	ical dust collector)			
Control device 2 ^e	ESP				
Control device 3°	ESP				
Data Quality	В	Had to use F-factor coal feed rate (ton/	r and average HHV to get /hr).		
Process Parameters ^e	137 MW				
Test methods ^f	MM 5 metalS.				
Number of test runs ⁸	2 for lead, 3 for a	all others			

Page 20.

^bPage 25.

^cPage 25.

^dPage 31

Page 1. Coal from Antelope/Northern Antelope is subbituminous, according to another report.

Page 1.

⁸Various pages.

REFERENCE 22 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 12 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

METALS EMISSION FACTORS				
EMISSION RATES (lb/hr) ^a	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	1.05	1.29	1.33	
Antimony ^b	0.0006	0.0006	0.0006	
Arsenic	0.000584	0.000603	0.000559	
Barium	0.0541	0.0639	0.0691	
Beryllium ^b	0.00003	0.00003	0.00003	
Boron	0.0927	0.101	0.0847	
Cadmium	0.00403	0.0117	0.00575	
Calcium	4.05	4.59	4.76	
Chromium	0.00573	0.0112	0.00386	
Copper	0.0139	0.0177	0.0113	
Iron	0.969	1.04	1.15	
Lead	0.0496		0.0613	
Magnesium	0.704	0.812	0.835	
Manganese	0.00529	0.00615	0.00895	
Mercury	0.0029	0.00265	0.00297	:
Molybdenum	0.0064	0.0135	0.0051	
Nickel	0.0376	0.0471	0.01	
Potassium	0.07	0.107	0.0901	
Selenium	0.000602	0.000299	0.000445	
Silver ^b	0.0006	0.0006	0.0006	
SO2	362	356	334	
Sodium	0.837	0.983	0.829	
Strontium	0.056	0.0651	0.0733	
Vanadium	0.00437	0.00434	0.00436	
Zinc	0.122	0.092	0.0479	

REFERENCE 22 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 12 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

EMISSION FACTORS (lb/ton)°	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	1.65e-02	2.00e-02	2.04e-02	1.90e-02
Antimony ^b	9.40e-06	9.31e-06	9.21e-06	9.31e-06
Arsenic	9.15e-06	9.35e-06	8.58e-06	9.03e-06
Barium	8.48e-04	9.91e-04	1.06e-03	9.67e-04
Beryllium ^b	4.70e-07	4.65e-07	4.61e-07	4.65e-07
Boron	1.45e-03	1.57e-03	1.30e-03	1.44e-03
Cadmium	6.32e-05	1.81e-04	8.83e-05	1.11e-04
Calcium	6.35e-02	7.12e-02	7.31e-02	6.93e-02
Chromium	8.98e-05	1.74e-04	5.93e-05	1.08e-04
Copper	2.18e-04	2.75e-04	1.74e-04	2.22e-04
Iron	1.52e-02	1.61e-02	1.77e-02	1.63e-02
Lead	7.77e-04		9.41e-04	8.59e-04
Magnesium	1.10e-02	1.26e-02	1.28e-02	1.22e-02
Manganese	8.29e-05	9.54e-05	1.37e-04	1.05e-04
Mercury	4.55e-05	4.11e-05	4.56e-05	4.41e-05
Molybdenum	1.00e-04	2.09e-04	7.83e-05	1.29e-04
Nickel	5.89e-04	7.31e-04	1.54e-04	4.91e-04
Potassium	1.10e-03	1.66e-03	1.38e-03	1.38e-03
Selenium	9.43e-06	4.64e-06	6.83e-06	6.97e-06
Silver ^b	9.40e-06	9.31e-06	9.21e-06	9.31e-06
SO2	5.67e + 00	5.52e+00	5.13e + 00	5.44e+00
Sodium	1.31e-02	1.52e-02	1.27e-02	1.37e-02
Strontium	8.78e-04	1.01e-03	1.13e-03	1.00e-03
Vanadium	6.85e-05	6.73e-05	6.70e-05	6.76e-05
Zinc	1.91e-03	1.43e-03	7.36e-04	1.36e-03

^aPage 11
^bPollutant was not detected in any of the sampling runs, detection limits used to develop rates.
^cDivide emission rate by coal feed rate.

REFERENCE 23 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 13 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

TEST REPORT TITLE:

RESULTS OF THE NOVEMBER 7, 1991 AIR TOXIC EMISSION STUDY ON THE NOS. 3, 4, 5 & 6 BOILERS AT THE NSP HIGH

BRIDGE PLANT

FACILITY: UNIT NO.: NSP High Bridge

3, 4, 5 & 6

LOCATION: St. Paul, Minnesota FILENAME HIBRIDGE.tbl

PROCESS DATA	METALS		
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3
Oxygen (% v/v) ^a	7.70	7.60	7.80
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/m) ^b	804,786	788,668	815,076
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/hr)	48,287,160	47,320,080	48,904,560
F-factor (dscf/MMBtu) ^c	9,780	9,780	9,780
Heat input (MMBtu/hr)	3,118	3,079	3,134
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/lb) ^d	8,498	8,498	8,498
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/ton)	16,996,000	16,996,000	16,996,000
Coal Feed (ton/hr)	.183	.181	184
Coal type ^c	Subbituminous		
Boiler configuration ^e	Pulveri	zed, dry bottom	
Coal source ^e	Rochelle		
SCC	10100222		
Control device 1 ^e	ESPC		
Control device 2 ^e	None		
Data Quality	В	Had to use F-fact coal feed rate, to	or and average HHV to get n/hr.
Process Parameters ^e	Watertube boilers	with economizers	and air preheaters
Test methods ^f	MM 5 metals, Method 18 for BTEX		
Number of test runs ⁸	3		

Page 29.

^bPage 37.

⁴⁰ CFR Pt 60, App A, Meth. 19

dPage 42

Page 1. Assumed dry bottom. Page 1 for metals, page 3 for BTEX.

⁸Various pages.

REFERENCE 23 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 13 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

METALS EMISSION FACTORS				
EMISSION RATES (lb/hr) ^a	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	4.17	3.24	4.63	
Antimony	0.00126	0.00456	0.00092	
Arsenic	0.00126	0.00091	0.00092	
Barium	0.406	0.350	0.433	
Beryllium	0.00018	0.00018	0.00037	
Boron	0.127	0.105	0.118	
Cadmium	0.0023	0.0018	0.002	
Calcium	5.25	4.12	6.45	
Chromium	0.023	0.018	0.024	
Copper	0.036	0.024	0.028	
Iron	1.66	1.42	1.55	
Lead	0.015	0.0091	0.0092	
Magnesium	1.03	0.82	1.14	İ
Manganese	0.033	0.015	0.028	
Mercury ^b	0.013	0.010	0.013	
Molybdenum	0.059	0.046	0.061	
Nickel	0.012	0.0091	0.011	
Potassium	0.54	0.38	0.49	
Selenium	0.0036	0.0018	0.0018	
Silver	0.072	0.051	0.037	
SO2	1,319	1,290	1,247	
Sodium	1.22	1.02	1.40	
Strontium	0.17	0.12	0.15	
Vanadium	0.0066	0.0067	0.0068	
Zinc	0.074	0.049	0.050 _	

REFERENCE 23 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 13 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

EMISSION FACTORS (lb/ton) ^c	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	2.27e-02	1.79e-02	2.51e-02	2.19e-02
Antimony	6.87e-06	2.52e-05	4.99e-06	1.23e-05
Arsenic	6.87e-06	5.02e-06	4.99e-06	5.63e-06
Barium	2.21e-03	1.93e-03	2.35e-03	2.16e-03
Beryllium	9.81e-07	9.94e-07	2.01e-06	1.33e-06
Boron	6.92e-04	5.80e-04	6.40e-04	6.37e-04
Cadmium	1.25e-05	9.94e-06	1.08e-05	1.11e-05
Calcium	2.86e-02	2.27e-02	3.50e-02	2.88e-02
Chromium	1.25e-04	9.94e-05	1.30e-04	1.18e-04
Copper	1.96e-04	1.32e-04	1.52e-04	1.60e-04
Iron	9.05e-03	7.84e-03	8.41e-03	8.43e-03
Lead	8.18e-05	5.02e-05	4.99e-05	6.06e-05
Magnesium	5.61e-03	4.53e-03	6.18e-03	5.44e-03
Manganese	. 1.80e-04	8.28e-05	.1.52e-04	1.38e-04
Mercury ^b	7.09e-05	5.52e-05	7.05e-05	6.55e-05
Molybdenum	3.22e-04	2.54e-04	3.31e-04	3.02e-04
Nickel	6.54e-05	5.02e-05	5.96e-05	5.84e-05
Potassium	2.94e-03	2.10e-03	2.66e-03	2.57e-03
Selenium	1.96e-05	9.94e-06	9.76e-06	1.31e-05
Silver	3.92e-04	2.82e-04	2.01e-04	2.92e-04
SO2	7.19e + 00	7.12e + 00	6.76e+00	7.02e+00
Sodium	6.65e-03	5.63e-03	7.59e-03	6.62e-03
Strontium	9.27e-04	6.62e-04	8.13e-04	8.01e-04
Vanadium	3.60e-05	3.70e-05	3.69e-05	3.66e-05
Zinc	4.03e-04	2.70e-04	2.71e-04	3.15e-04

^aTable 4, page 16.
^bPollutant not detected in any of the sampling runs, detection limit used to develop emission factor.
^cDivide emission rate by coal feed rate.

REFERENCE 23 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 13 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

BTEX EMISSION FACTORS				
EMISSION RATES (lb/hr) ^a	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	
Benzene ^b	0.2	0.2	0.2	
Toluene ^b	0.2	0.2	0.2	
Ethyl Benzene ^b	0.2	0.2	0.2	
Xylene ^b	0.2	0.2	0.2	
*page 22				
EMISSION FACTORS (lb/ton) ^c	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Benzene ^b	1.09e-03	1.10e-03	1.08e-03	1.09e-03
Toluene ^b	1.09e-03	1.10e-03	1.08e-03	1.09e-03
Ethyl Benzene ^b	1.09e-03	1.10e-03	1.08e-03	1.09e-03
Xylene ^b	1.09e-03	1.10e-03	1.08e-03	1.09e-03

^apage 22 ^bPollutant was not detected in any of the sampling runs, detection limits used to develop emission

^cDivide emission rate by coal feed rate.

REFERENCE 24 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 14 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

TEST REPORT TITLE:

RESULTS OF THE DECEMBER 1991 AIR TOXIC EMISSION

STUDY ON UNITS 6 & 7 AT THE NSP RIVERSIDE PLANT

FACILITY:

NSP Riverside

UNIT NO.:

6, 7

LOCATION: Minneapolis, Mn FILENAME RIVERSID.tbl

FILENAME RIVERSID.tbl				
PROCESS DATA				
Coal type ^a	Subbituminous			
Boiler configuration ^a	Pulver	ized, dry bottom		
Coal source ^a	Rochelle			
SCC	10100222			
Control device 1 ^b	Baghouse			
Control device 2 ^b	None			
Data Quality	В	Had to use F-fact coal feed rate (to		HHV to get
Process Parameters ^a	575,000 lb/hr steam preheaters.	each; equipped w	ith economizer	s and air
Test methods ^c	MM5 for PM/Metal	s, Method 18 for l	ВТЕХ.	
Number of test runs ^d	3			
FLOW RATES, COAL FEED R.	ATES			
		Unit 6		
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	
Volumentric flow rate (dscf/m) ^e	193,851	189,541	187,122	
Volumentric flow rate (dscf/hr)	11,631,060	11,372,460	11,227,320	
F-Factor (dscf/MMBtu) ^f	9,780	9,780	9,780	
O2 %v/v ⁸	6.00	6.00	6.60	
Heat input (MMBtu/hr)	848	829	785	
Coal HHV (Btu/lb)h	8,602	8,602	8,602	
Coal HHV (Btu/ton)	17,204,000	17,204,000	17,204,000	
Coal feed rate (ton/hr)	49.28	48.19	45.66	

REFERENCE 24 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 14 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

		Unit 7	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3
Volumentric flow rate (dscf/m) ^e	188,847	188,814	194,376
Volumentric flow rate (dscf/hr)	11,330,820	11,328,840	11,662,560
F-Factor (dscf/MMBtu) ^f	9,780	9,780	9,780
O2 %v/v ⁸	6.30	6.20	6.30
Heat input (MMBtu/hr)	809	815	833
Coal HHV (Btu/lb)h	8,602	8,602	8,602
Coal HHV (Btu/ton)	17,204,000	17,204,000	17,204,000
Coal feed rate (ton/hr)	47.04	47.36	48.42

^aPage 1. Assumed dry bottom. ^bPage 2.

^hPage 36.

METALS EMISSION FACTORS U	NITS 6 & 7			
EMISSION RATES (lb/hr) ^a	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	13.9	16.7	15.5	
Antimony	0.00075	0.00067	0.00024	
Arsenic	0.00174	0.00183	0.00183	
Barium	0.073	0.005	0.002	
Beryllium	0.00073	0.0007	0.00088	
Boron	0.132	0.022	0.007	
Cadmium	0.115	0.0141	0.0101	
Calcium	23.4	27.7	19.0	
Chromium	0.0228	0.0209	0.0234	
Copper	0.060	0.065	0.053	
Iron	5.5	6.7	5.9	
Lead	0.0134	0.0100	0.0096	
Magnesium	4.9	5.9	5.3	
Manganese	0.0298	0.0400	0.0252	

[°]Page 1, 3, 24.

dVarious pages.

Page 29 for Unit 6 metals, Page 30 for Unit 7 metals.

Page 28.

^gPage 23 for Unit 6 metals, Page 24 for Unit 7 metals.

REFERENCE 24 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 14 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

METALS EMISSION FACTORS UN	ITS 6 & 7			
EMISSION RATES (lb/hr) ^a	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Mercury	0.013	0.006	0.005	
Molybdenum	0.00198	0.00409	0.00434	
Nickel	0.0285	0.113	0.0234	
Potassium	0.55	0.78	0.61	
Selenium	0.00706	0.00289	0.00193	
Silver	0.005	0.002	0.002	
SO2	875	788	762	
Sodium	2.03	2.85	2.49	
Strontium	0.328	0.372	0.256	
Vanadium	0.0289	0.0390	0.0347	
Zinc	0.071	0.278	0.006	
EMISSION FACTORS (lb/ton) ^b	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	1.44e-01	1.75e-01	1.65e-01	1.61e-01
Antimony	7.79e-06	7.01e-06	2.55e-06	5.78e-06
Arsenic	1.81e-05	1.92e-05	1.95e-05	1.89e-05
Barium	7.58e-04	5.23e-05	2.13e-05	2.77e-04
Beryllium	7.58e-06	7.33e-06	9.35e-06	8.09e-06
Boron	1.37e-03	2.30e-04	7.44e-05	5.58e-04
Cadmium	1.19e-03	1.48e-04	1.07e-04	4.83e-04
Calcium	2.43e-01	2.90e-01	2.02e-01	2.45e-01
Chromium	2.37e-04	2.19e-04	2.49e-04	2.35e-04
Copper	6.23e-04	6.80e-04	5.63e-04	6.22e-04
Iron	5.71e-02	7.01e-02	6.27e-02	6.33e-02
Lead	1.39e-04	1.05e-04	1.02e-04	1.15e-04
Magnesium	5.09e-02	6.18e-02	5.63e-02	5.63e-02
Manganese	3.09e-04	4.19e-04	2.68e-04	3.32e-04
Mercury	1.35e-04	6.28e-05	5.31e-05	8.36e-05
Molybdenum	2.06e-05	4.28e-05	4.61e-05	3.65e-05
Nickel	2.96e-04	1.18e-03	2.49e-04 _	5.76e-04

REFERENCE 24 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 14 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

		·		
EMISSION FACTORS (lb/ton) ^b	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Potassium	5.71e-03	8.16e-03	6.48e-03	6.79e-03
Selenium	7.33e-05	3.02e-05	2.05e-05	4.14e-05
Silver	5.19e-05	2.09e-05	2.13e-05	3.14e-05
SO2	9.08e+00	8.25e+00	8.10e+00	8.48e+00
Sodium	2.11e-02	2.98e-02	2.65e-02	2.58e-02
Strontium	3.41e-03	3.89e-03	2.72e-03	3.34e-03
Vanadium	3.00e-04	4.08e-04	3.69e-04	3.59e-04
Zinc	7.37e-04	2.91e-03	6.38e-05	1.24e-03
^a Table 8, page 16. ^b Divide emission rate by coal feed rate.				
BTEX EMISSION FACTORS UNIT 6				
Emission Rates (lb/hr) ^a	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	
Benzene	1.02	1.05	0.33	
Toluene ^b	0.06	0.06	0.06	
Ethylbenzene ^b	0.06	0.06	0.06	
Xylene ^b	0.06	0.06	0.06	
Emission Factors (lb/ton) ^c				avg
Benzene	2.07e-02	2.18e-02	7.23e-03	1.66e-02
Toluene ^b	1.22e-03	1.25e-03	1.31e-03	1.26e-03
Ethylbenzene ^b	1.22e-03	1.25e-03	1.31e-03	1.26e-03
Xylene ^b	1.22e-03	1.25e-03	1.31e-03	1.26e-03
^a page 19. ^b Pollutant was not detected in any of the ^c Divide emission rate by coal feed rate.	sampling runs.	EF is based on de	tection limits.	
BTEX EMISSION FACTORS UNIT 7				
Emission Rates (lb/hr) ^a	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	
Benzene ^b	0.06	0.06	0.06	
Toluene ^b	0.06	0.06	0.06	
Ethylbenzene ^b	0.06	0.06	0.06	
Xylene ^b	0.06	0.06	0.06	

REFERENCE 24 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 14 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

Emission Factors (lb/ton) ^c				
Benzene ^b	1.28e-03	1.27e-03	1.24e-03	1.26e-03
Toluene ^b	1.28e-03	1.27e-03	1.24e-03	1.26e-03
Ethylbenzene ^b	1.28e-03	1.27e-03	1.24e-03	1.26e-03
Xylene ^b	1.28e-03	1.27e-03	1.24e-03	1.26e-03

^apage 19. ^bPollutant was not detected in any of the sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits. ^cDivide emission rate by coal feed rate.

REFERENCE 25 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 15 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

TEST REPORT TITLE:

RESULTS OF THE MAY 29, 1990 TRACE METAL

CHARACTERIZATION STUDY ON UNITS 1 AND 2 AT THE SHERBURNE COUNTY GENERATING STATION IN BECKER,

MINNESOTA

FACILITY:

NSP Sherco

UNIT NO.:

1, 2

LOCATION: Becker, Minnesota FILENAME SHERCO12.tbl

PROCESS DATA	PM/METALS			
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	
Oxygen (% v/v) ^a	6.60	6.50	6.60	
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/m) ^b	3,305,953	3,340,203	3,106,503	
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/hr)	198,357,180	200,412,180	186,390,180	
F-factor (dscf/MMBtu) ^c	9,780	9,780	9,780	
Heat input (MMBtu/hr)	13,877	14,119	13,040	
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/lb)d	8,547	8,547	8,547	
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/ton)	17,094,000	17,094,000	17,094,000	
Coal Feed (ton/hr)	812	826	763	
Coal type ^e		Subbituminous		
Boiler configuration ^e	Pulveri	zed, dry bottom		
Coal source ^c	80% Rochelle	e/20% Coalstrip		
scc	10100222			
Control device 1 ^e	Flue Gas Desulf	urization, Venturi	Scrubber Spray	Tower
Control device 2°	None			
Data Quality	В	Had to use F-fac coal feed rate, to		HHV to get
Process Parameters ^e	750 MW each, o	on line in 1976.		
Test methods ^f	MM 5			
Number of test runs ⁸	2 for nickel, 3 for	or all others		
Page 7.				

Page /.

^bPage 8.

⁶⁴⁰ CFR Pt 60, App A.

^dPage G-1.

Page 1.

Page 1.

gVarious pages.

REFERENCE 25 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 15 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

METALS EMISSION FACTORS				
EMISSION RATES (lb/hr) ^a	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	8.9725	23.3877	7.7052	
Antimony	0.0084	0.0041	0.0092	
Arsenic	0.0304	0.0433	0.0326	
Barium	3.3101	6.4375	2.6330	
Beryllium	0.0033	0.0036	0.0035	
Boron	4.1097	86.2852	43.3077	
Cadmium	0.0205	0.0132	0.0097	
Calcium	67.2241	141.6439	72.3851	
Chromium	0.2046	0.1788	0.0881	
Copper	0.1302	0.1694	0.1321	
Iron	10.3672	13.7879	9.5545	
Lead	0.1116	0.0941	0.0969	
Magnesium	7.0757	18:5219	.6.6221	
Manganese	0.3068	0.3294	0.6076	
Mercury	0.0093	0.0196	0.0141	
Molybdenum	0.0279	0.0471	0.0264	
Nickel	0.0186		0.0185	
Potassium	1.5806	2.0705	1.8493	
Selenium	0.0818	0.1129	0.1233	
Silver ^b	0.0112	0.0113	0.0114	
Sodium	4.7419	6.8704	5.4597	
Strontium	2.5197	4.5928	2.4657	
Vanadium	0.2603	0.3294	0.2906	
Zinc	0.2696	0.3106	0.2378	

^aPage 5. ^bPollutant was not detected in any of the sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits.

REFERENCE 25 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 15 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

EMISSION FACTORS (lb/ton) ^c	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	1.11e-02	2.83e-02	1.01e-02	1.65e-02
Antimony	1.03e-05	4.96e-06	1.21e-05	9.12e-06
Arsenic	3.74e-05	5.24e-05	4.27e-05	4.42e-05
Barium	4.08e-03	7.79e-03	3.45e-03	5.11e-03
Beryllium	4.06e-06	4.36e-06	4.59e-06	4.34e-06
Boron	5.06e-03	1.04e-01	5.68e-02	5.54e-02
Cadmium	2.53e-05	1.60e-05	1.27e-05	1.80e-05
Calcium	8.28e-02	1.71e-01	9.49e-02	1.16e-01
Chromium	2.52e-04	2.16e-04	1.15e-04	1.95e-04
Copper	1.60e-04	2.05e-04	1.73e-04	1.80e-04
Iron	1.28e-02	1.67e-02	1.25e-02	1.40e-02
Lead	1.37e-04	1.14e-04	1.27e-04	1.26e-04
Magnesium	8.72e-03	2.24e-02	8.68e-03	1.33e-02
Manganese	3.78e-04	3.99e-04	7.97e-04	5.24e-04
Mercury	1.15e-05	2.37e-05	1.85e-05	1.79e-05
Molybdenum	3.44e-05	5.70e-05	3.46e-05	4.20e-05
Nickel	2.29e-05		2.43e-05	2.36e-05
Potassium	1.95e-03	2.51e-03	2.42e-03	2.29e-03
Selenium	1.01e-04	1.37e-04	1.62e-04	1.33e-04
Silver ^b	1.38e-05	1.37e-05	1.49e-05	1.41e-05
Sodium	5.84e-03	8.32e-03	7.16e-03	7.11e-03
Strontium	3.10e-03	5.56e-03	3.23e-03	3.97e-03
Vanadium	3.21e-04	3.99e-04	3.81e-04	3.67e-04
Zinc	3.32e-04	3.76e-04	3.12e-04	3.40e-04

^aPage 5. ^bPollutant was not detected in any of the sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits. ^cDivide emission rate by coal feed rate.

REFERENCE 26 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 16 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

TEST REPORT TITLE:

RESULTS OF THE MAY 1, 1990 TRACE METAL

CHARACTERIZATION STUDY ON UNITS 1 AND 2 AT THE

SHERBURNE COUNTY GENERATING STATION

FACILITY: **NSP Sherco**

UNIT NO.: 1, 2

LOCATION: Becker, Minnesota

FILENAME SHRCO12A.TBL

PROCESS DATA	METALS			
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	
Oxygen (% v/v) ^a	6.60	6.60	6.70	
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/m) ^b	3,284,153	3,326,471	3,347,367	
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/hr)	197,049,180	199,588,260	200,842,020	
F-factor (dscf/MMBtu) ^c	9,780	9,780	9,780	
Heat input (MMBtu/hr)	13,786	13,963	13,953	
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/lb) ^d	8,547	8,547	8,547	
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/ton)	17,094,000	17,094,000	17,094,000	
Coal Feed (ton/hr)	806	817	816	
Coal type ^e	Subbituminous			
Boiler configuration ^e	Pulveri	zed, dry bottom		
Coal source	no data			
SCC	10100222			
Control device 1°	Flue Gas Desulf	urization, Venturi	Scrubber Spray	Tower
Control device 2 ^e	None			
Data Quality	В	Had to use F-fac coal feed rate, to		HHV to get
Process Parameters ^e	750 MW each,	on line in 1976.		
Test methods ^f	MM 5 metals.			
Number of test runs ^g	2 for cadmium,	nickel, copper and	d zinc; 3 for all o	others

^aPage 14.

^bPage 19.

^{°40} CFR Pt 60, App A.

^dFrom report "Results of the May 29, 1990 Trace Metal Characterization Study on Units 1 and 2 at the Sherburne County Generating Station in Becker, Minnesota", page G-1. (Reference No. 25)

Page 1 of "Results of the September 10 and 11, 1991 Mercury Removal Tests on the Units 1 & 2. and Unit 3 Scrubber Systems at the NSP Sherco Plant in Becker, Minnesota" (Reference 19). Dry bottom assumed.

Page 2.

gVarious pages.

REFERENCE 26 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 16 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

		· ·		
METALS EMISSION FACTORS				
EMISSION RATES (lb/hr) ^a	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	9.58	11.06	8.86	
Antimony	0.016	0.011	0.009	
Arsenic	0.035	0.039	0.030	
Barium	3.59	5.81	2.25	
Beryllium	0.0037	0.0042	0.0038	
Boron	98.0	18.1	38.1	
Cadmium		0.029	0.049	
Calcium	126	141	129	
Chromium	0.133	0.101	0.092	
Copper		0.200	0.227	
Iron ·	14.6	14.6	12.9	
Lead	0.127	0.118	0.100	
Magnesium	5.36	7.65	5.91	
Manganese	0.281	-0.401	0.273	
Mercury	0.092	0.078	0.063	
Molybdenum ^b	0.027	0.027	0.027	
Nickel		0.071	0.052	
Potassium	2.00	1.88	1.74	
Selenium	0.109	0.137	0.118	
Silver	0.009	0.010	0.030	
Sodium	7.67	6.42	5.13	
Strontium	3.26	3.82	3.09	
Vanadium	0.300	0.291	0.282	
Zinc		0.70	0.45	

REFERENCE 26 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 16 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

EMISSION FACTORS (lb/ton) ^c	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	1.19e-02	1.35e-02	1.09e-02	1.21e-02
Antimony	1.98e-05	1.35e-05	1.10e-05	1.48e-05
Arsenic	4.34e-05	4.77e-05	3.68e-05	4.26e-05
Barium	4.45e-03	7.11e-03	2.76e-03	4.77e-03
Beryllium	4.59e-06	5.14e-06	4.66e-06	4.80e-06
Boron	1.22e-01	2.22e-02	4.67e-02	6.35e-02
Cadmium		3.55e-05	6.00e-05	4.78e-05
Calcium	1.56e-01	1.73e-01	1.58e-01	1.62e-01
Chromium	1.65e-04	1.24e-04	1.13e-04	1.34e-04
Copper		2.45e-04	2.78e-04	2.61e-04
Iron	1.81e-02	1.79e-02	1.58e-02	1.73e-02
Lead	1.57e-04	1.44e-04	1.23e-04	1.41e-04
Magnesium	6.65e-03	9.37e-03	7.24e-03	7.75e-03
Manganese	3.48e-04	4.91e-04	3.34e-04	3.91e-04
Mercury	1.14e-04	9.55e-05	7.72e-05	9.56e-05
Molybdenum ^b	3.35e-05	3.31e-05	3.31e-05	3.32e-05
Nickel		8.69e-05	6.37e-05	7.53e-05
Potassium	2.48e-03	2.30e-03	2.13e-03	2.30e-03
Selenium	1.35e-04	1.68e-04	1.45e-04	1.49e-04
Silver	1.12e-05	1.22e-05	3.68e-05	2.01e-05
Sodium	9.51e-03	7.86e-03	6.28e-03	7.89e-03
Strontium	4.04e-03	4.68e-03	3.79e-03	4.17e-03
Vanadium	3.72e-04	3.56e-04	3.45e-04	3.58e-04
Zinc		8.57e-04	5.51e-04	7.04e-04

^aPages 5 and 7. ^bPollutant was not detected in any of the sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits. ^cDivide emission rate by coal feed rate.

REFERENCE 27 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 17 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

TEST REPORT TITLE:

RESULTS OF THE MARCH 1990 TRACE METAL

CHARACTERIZATION STUDY ON UNIT 3 AT THE SHERBURNE

COUNTY GENERATING STATION

FACILITY:

NSP SHERCO

UNIT NO.:

3 LOCATION: Becker, Minnesota

FILENAME SHERCO3A.tbl

FILENAME SHERCOSA.IDI			·····	
PROCESS DATA		METALS		
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	
Oxygen (% v/v) ^a	6.50	6.20	6.10	
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/m) ^b	1,950,168	1,965,867	1,962,255	
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/hr)	117,010,080	117,952,020	117,735,300	
F-factor (dscf/MMBtu) ^c	9,780	9,780	9,780	
Heat input (MMBtu/hr)	8,243	8,483	8,525	
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/lb)d	8,547	8,547	8,547	
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/ton)	17,094,000	17,094,000	17,094,000	
Coal Feed (ton/hr)	482	496	499	
		CHROME VI		
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	
Oxygen (% v/v) ^a	6.10	6.10	6.00	
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/m) ^b	1,957,528	1,950,487	1,944,863	
Vol. Flow Rate (dscf/hr)	117,029,220	116,691,780		
F-factor (dscf/MMBtu) ^c	9,780	9,780	9,780	
Heat input (MMBtu/hr)	8,504	8,474	8,506	
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/lb)d	8,547	8,547	8,547	
HHV Bituminous Coal (Btu/ton)	17,094,000	17,094,000	17,094,000	
Coal Feed (ton/hr)	497	496	498	
Coal type ^c	Subbituminous			1
Boiler configuration ^e	Pulverized, dry b	oottom		
Coal source ^e	Montana			
SCC .	10100222			
Control device 1°	Flue Gas Desulfu	rization, Spray Di	yer absorber	
Control device 2°	Baghouse			
				

REFERENCE 27 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 17 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

Data Quality	В	Had to use F-factor and average HHV to get coal feed rate (ton/hr)
Process Parameters ^e	860 megawatts	s, on line in 1987.
Test methods ^f	MM5 for meta	als, MM13 for chrome VI.
Number of test runs ^g	2 for calcium,	nickel, sodium and zinc. 3 for all others.

^gVarious pages.

METALS EMISSION FACTORS				
EMISSION RATES (lb/hr) ^a	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	1.91	0.493	0.742	
Antimony	7.09e-03	1.62e-03	1.6e-03	
Arsenic ^b		4.12e-04	4.12e-04	
Barium ^b	0.048	0.049	0.050	
Beryllium	1.61e-05	4.93e-05	9.92e-05	
Boron	19.1	3.28	13.9	
Calcium		1.91	1.85	
Chromium	0.114	0.0682	0.0520	
Copper	0.789	0.384	0.188	
Iron	1.04	0.759	0.248	
Lead	0.123	0.0394	0.033	
Magnesium	0.294	0.123	0.215	
Manganese	0.0565	0.382	0.0379	
Mercury	0.0411	0.0172	0.0338	
Molybdenum ^b	0.032	0.033	0.033	
Nickel		0.0736	0.0264	
Potassium	1.83	0.624	0.602	
Selenium ^b	0.0199	0.0205	0.0207	
Silver ^b	2.41e-03	2.43e-03	2.50e-03	

^aPage 12 for metals runs; page 13 for chrome VI runs. ^bPage 16 for metals runs, page 18 for chrome VI runs. ^c40 CFR Pt 60, App A, Meth. 19, Bituminous coal.

^dFrom report "Results of the May 29, 1990 Trace Metal Characterization Study on Units 1 and 2 at the Sherburne County Generating Station in Becker, Minnesota", page G-1. (Reference No. 25).

Page 1. Assumed dry bottom.

Page 1 for MM5, page 2 for MM 13.

REFERENCE 27 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 17 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

	-			
METALS EMISSION FACTORS				
EMISSION RATES (lb/hr) ^a	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Sodium		4.62	4.80	
Strontium	0.0119	0.0411	0.0412	
Vanadium ^b	8.04e-04	8.10e-04	8.09e-04	
Zinc		0.262	0.172	
EMISSION FACTORS (lb/ton) ^c	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Aluminum	3.96e-03	9.93e-04	1.49e-03	2.15e-03
Antimony	1.47e-05	3.26e-06	3.21e-06	7.06e-06
Arsenic ^b		8.30e-07	8.26e-07	8.28e-07
Barium ^b	9.95e-05	9.87e-05	1.00e-04	9.95e-05
Beryllium	3.34e-08	9.93e-08	1.99e-07	1.11e-07
Boron	3.96e-02	6.61e-03	2.79e-02	2.47e-02
Calcium		3.85e-03	3.71e-03	3.78e-03
Chromium	2.36e-04	1.37e-04	1.04e-04	1.59e-04
Copper	1.64e-03	7.74e-04	3.77e-04	9.29e-04
Iron	2.16e-03	1.53e-03	4.97e-04	1.39e-03
Lead	2.55e-04	7.94e-05	6.62e-05	1.34e-04
Magnesium	6.10e-04	2.48e-04	4.31e-04	4.30e-04
Manganese	1.17e-04	7.70e-04	7.60e-05	3.21e-04
Mercury	8.52e-05	3.47e-05	6.78e-05	6.26e-05
Molybdenum ^b	6.64e-05	6.65e-05	6.62e-05	6.63e-05
Nickel		1.48e-04	5.29e-05	1.01e-04
Potassium	3.79e-03	1.26e-03	1.21e-03	2.09e-03
Selenium ^b	4.13e-05	4.13e-05	4.15e-05	4.14e-05
Silver ^b	5.00e-06	4.90e-06	5.01e-06	4.97e-06
Sodium		9.31e-03	9.63e-03	9.47e-03
Strontium	2.47e-05	8.28e-05	8.26e-05	6.34e-05
Vanadium ^b	1.67e-06	1.63e-06	1.62e-06	1.64e-06
Zinc		5.28e-04	3.45e-04	4.36e-04

^aPages 5 and 7.
^bPollutant was not detected in any of the sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits.
^cDivide emission rate by coal feed rate.

REFERENCE 27 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 17 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

CHROME VI EMISSION FACTORS	S		-	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	AVG
Emission Rates (lb/hr) ^a	0.0095	0.0028	0.0100	
Emission Factors (lb/ton) ^b	1.91e-05	5.65e-06	2.01e-05	1.49e-05
^a Page 8. ^b Divide emission rate by coal feed rate	te.			

REFERENCE 28 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 18 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

FIELD CHEMICAL EMISSIONS MONITORING PROJECT: SITE 10 EMISSIONS MONITORING. RADIAN CORPORATION, AUSTIN, TEXAS. OCTOBER, 1992. TEST REPORT TITLE:

FACILITY: EPRI SITE 10 FILENAME SITE10.tbl

PROCESS DATA			
Coal feed rate, dry (lb/hr) ²	108,626	Coal HHV, dry (Btu/lb) ^b	11,000
Coal moisture percent by weight ^b	7.3%	Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb)	10,252
Coal feed rate, as received (lb/hr)	117,180	Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/lb)	0.01
Coal feed rate, as received (ton/hr)	58.59	Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	20.50
Stack gas flow rate (dscf/hr)a	15,500,000		
Coal type ^e	Subbituminous		
Boiler configuration ^d	Circulating Fluidized Bed Combustor (CFBC)	ibustor (CFBC)	
Coal source°	Salt River		
scc	10100238		
Control device 1°	Flue gas desulfurization by lim	Flue gas desulfurization by limestone injection into the combustion chamber (FGD-FIL)	
Control device 2 ^e	Fabric Filter		
Data Quality	A		
Process Parameters ^d	110 megawatts		
Test methods ^f	EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods	ethods	
Number of test runs ⁸	5 for benzene, 1 for all others.		

REFERENCE 28 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 18 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

Page C-3
Page B-3

'Appendix B of EPRI Synthesis Report, page B-3. dAppendix B of EPRI Synthesis Report, page B-6.

*Page 1-1 Pages A-3 through A-13 *Page 3-1 and B-15 for benzene, page 3-1 for others.

REFERENCE 28 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 18 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

PATAI S VOC BMISSION BACTORS			
MEINES, VOC EMISSION I ACTOMS			
Pollutant	(lb/10°12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton) [¢]
Arsenic ^b	-	1.00e-06	2.05e-05
Barium	12.1	1.21e-05	2.48e-04
Beryllium	0.2	2.00e-07	4.10e-06
Cadmium	0.4	4.00e-07	8.20e-06
Chloride	856	9.58e-04	1.96e-02
Chromium	1.6	1.60e-06	3.28e-05
Cobalt ^b	0.8	8.00e-07	1.64e-05
Copper ^b	5	2.00e-06	4.10e-05
Fluoride	18	1.80e-05	3.69e-04
Lead	9.0	6.00e-07	1.23e-05
Manganese	31	3.10e-05	6.36e-04
Molybdenum ^b	4	4.00e-06	8.20e-05
Nickel	2	2.00e-06	4.10e-05
Phosphorous	24	2.40e-05	4.92e-04
Selenium	16	1.60e-05	3.28e-04
Vanadium ^b	2	2.00e-06	4.10e-05
Formaldehyde	15	1.50e-05	3.08e-04
Benzene	2	2.00e-06	4.10e-05
Page 3-12			

^bEmission factor is based only on detection limits.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

REFERENCE 28 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 18 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

MISC. EMISSION FACTORS					
	Stack Gas Conc.	Stack Gas Conc.	Stack Gas Conc.	Emission Rate	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(ug/Nm3)	(ug/dscm) ^b	(lp/dscf) ^e	(lb/hr) ^d	(lb/ton)°
Dibutyl Phthalate	3.1	2.89	1.80e-10	2.80e-03	4.77e-05
bis(2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.9	5.59	3.49e-10	5.41e-03	9.24e-05
N-Nitrosodiethylamine	15	13.98	8.73e-10	1.35e-02	2.31e-04
D					

^aPage 3-14 ^bConvert Normal meter to standard meter, i.e., multiply by 273/293.

^cConvert ug/dscm to lb/dscf.

^dMultiply concentration by stack gas flow rate.

Divide emission rate by coal feed rate.

REFERENCE 29 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 19 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

FIELD CHEMICAL EMISSIONS MONITORING PROJECT: SITE 11 EMISSIONS MONITORING. RADIAN CORPORATION, AUSTIN, TEXAS. OCTOBER, 1992. TEST REPORT TITLE:

FACILITY: EPRI SITE 11
FILENAME SITE11.tbl

PROCESS DATA	
Coal type ^a	Subbituminous
Boiler configuration ^b	Pulverized, dry, tangential
Coal source	Powder River Basin
scc	10100226
Control device 1 ^a	Over Fire Air
Control device 2a	ESP
Control device 3 ^a	Flue Gas Desulfurization, Wet Limestone Scrubber (Absorber)
Data Quality	В
Process Parameters*	700 MW
Test methods ^c	EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods
Number of test runs ^d	1
Stack gas flow rate (dscf/m)°	1,598,400
Stack gas flow rate (dscf/hr)	95,904,000
Stack Gas O2 %	6.9
F-factor (dscf/MMBtu) ^f	9,780
Heat input (MMBtu/hr)	6568.7
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb) ^a	8,300
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/lb)	0.008
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	16.60

REFERENCE 29 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 19 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

Coal feed rate as received (ton/hr)	395.70						
^a Page 2-1. ^b Page 2-1. Assumed dry bottom. ^c Appendix A. ^d Page 3-18. ^e Page D-7. ^f 40 CFR Pt 60, App. A, Meth. 19, bituminous coal	ninous coal.						
METALS, VOC EMISSION FACTORS							
Pollutant	Particulate Phase (ug/Nm3)*	Vapor Phase (ug/Nm3)*	Total (ug/Nm3)	Total (ug/dscm)	Total (lb/dscf)	Emission Rate (Ib/hr) ^c	Emission Factor (lb/ton) ^d
Arsenic	1.0	NR(3)	1.0	0.93	5.82e-11	5.58e-03	1.41e-05
Barium	16	NR(6)	97.0	90.38	5.64e-09	5.41e-01	1.37e-03
Beryllium	NR(0.2)	NR(1)	0.20	0.19	1.16e-11	1.12e-03	2.82e-06
Cadmium		1.3	1.3	1.21	7.56e-11	7.25e-03	1.83e-05
Chlorine		2200	2,200	2049.83	1.28e-07	1.23e + 01	3.10e-02
Chromium	7.0	NR(6)	7.0	6.52	4.07e-10	3.91e-02	9.87e-05
Cobalt	1.7	NR(6)	1.7	1.58	9.89e-11	9.49e-03	2.40e-05
Copper	2.1	NR(10)	2.1	1.96	1.22e-10	1.17e-02	2.96e-05
Fluorine		130	130.00	121.13	7.56e-09	7.25e-01	1.83e-03
Lead		14	14.00	13.04	8.15e-10	7.81e-02	1.97e-04
Manganese	3.9	110	113.90	106.13	6.63e-09	6.36e-01	1.61e-03
Mercury	0.016	3.7	3.72	3.46	2.16e-10	2.07e-02	5.24e-05
Molybdenum	NR(5)	NR(30)	\$	4.66	2.91e-10	2.79e-02	7.05e-05
Nickel	4.7	NR(10)	4.7	4.38	2.73e-10	2.62e-02	6.63e-05

REFERENCE 29 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 19 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

Phosphorous^b

NR(20)

20

18.63

1.16e-09

1.12e-01 2.82e-04

A-40

REFERENCE 29 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 19 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

METALS, VOC EMISSION FACTORS								
	Particulate Phase	Vapor	Total	Total	Totai	Emission Rate	Emission	
Pollutant	(ug/Nm3)	(ug/Nm3)	(ng/Nm3)	(mg/dscm)	(lb/dscf)	(lb/hr) [¢]	(lb/ton) ^d	
Selenium ^b		NR(3)	3	2.80	1.75e-10	1.67e-02	4.23e-05	
Vanadium	2.6	NR(10)	2.6	2.42	1.51e-10	1.45e-02	3.67e-05	
Formaldehyde ^b		NR(10)	10	9.32	5.82e-10	5.58e-02	1.41e-04	
Naphthalene ^b	NR(4)		4	3.73	2.33e-10	2.23e-02	5.64e-05	

^aPage 3-18, Run 2 data only (other runs invalid).

^bPage 3-18. Detection limit value for one run used in calculating EF.

^cMultiply concentration by stack gas flow rate. ^dDivide emission rate by coal feed rate.

REFERENCE 30 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 20 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

TEST REPORT TITLE:

FIELD CHEMICAL EMISSIONS MONITORING PROJECT: SITE

12 EMISSIONS MONITORING. RADIAN CORPORATION,

AUSTIN, TEXAS. NOVEMBER, 1992.

FACILITY: EPRI SITE 12 FILENAME SITE12.tbl

PROCESS DATA	
Coal type ^a	Bituminous
Boiler configuration ^b	Pulverized, dry, opposed
Coal source ^a	West Pa.
scc	10100202
Control device 1 ^c	ESP
Control device 2 ^c	Flue Gas Desulfurization, Wet Limestone Scrubber (Absorber)
Control device 3	None
Data Quality	A
Process Parameters ^c	700 MW
Test methods ^d	EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods
Number of test runs ^e	2 for Metals, 3 for VOCs.
Coal HHV, dry (Btu/lb) ^f	13,733
Coal moisture % ^f	4.12%
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb)	13,190
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton)	26,379,178
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	26.4
^a Page 3-5. ^b Page 2-1. Assumed dry bottom	

^bPage 2-1. Assumed dry bottom.

^cPage 2-1.

^dAppendix A.

Page 3-11 for PM/metals, Page 3-14 for VOC.

Page 3-6.

REFERENCE 30 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 20 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
METALS, VOC EMISSION FACTORS ^a			
	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton) ^c
Arsenic	0.45	4.50e-07	1.19e-05
Barium	6.3	6.30e-06	1.66e-04
Beryllium ^b	0.16	1.60e-07	4.22e-06
Cadmium	1.2	1.20e-06	3.17e-05
Chloride	2500	2.50e-03	6.59e-02
Chromium	3.5	3.50e-06	9.23e-05
Cobalt ^b	1.0	1.00e-06	2.64e-05
Copper	4.4	4.40e-06	1.16e-04
Fluoride	27	2.70e-05	7.12e-04
Lead	5.7	5.70e-06	1.50e-04
Manganese	1.6	1.60e-06	4.22e-05
Mercury	0.16	1.60e-07	-4.22e-06
Molybdenum	4	4.00e-06	1.06e-04
Nickel	4.4	4.40e-06	1.16e-04
Selenium	13	1.30e-05	3.43e-04
Vanadium ^b	1.6	1.60e-06	4.22e-05
Formaldehyde ^b	8.4	8.40e-06	2.22e-04
Bromomethane ^b	0.43	4.30e-07	1.13e-05
1,1,1-trichloroethane	0.75	7.50e-07	1.98e-05
Benzene	0.69	6.90e-07	1.82e-05
Toluene	1.04	1.04e-06	2.74e-05
m,p-xylene	0.72	7.20e-07	1.90e-05

^aPage 3-12 for metals, page 3-14 for VOC. See page 3-11 for number of non-detect runs for pm/metals.

^bDetection limit value for two runs used in calculating EF.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

REFERENCE 31 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 21 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

TEST REPORT TITLE:

FIELD CHEMICAL EMISSIONS MONITORING PROJECT:

SITE 15 EMISSIONS MONITORING. RADIAN CORPORATION,

AUSTIN, TEXAS. OCTOBER, 1992.

FACILITY: EPRI SITE 15 FILENAME SITE15.tbl

			_	
υQ	ጠሮ	166	17/	ATA

Coal type^a Bituminous

Boiler configuration^b Pulverized, dry, tangential

Coal source^a Eastern US

SCC 10100212

Control device 1^a ESP cold side

Control device 2 None
Control device 3 None

Data Quality A

Process Parameters^a 600 MW

Test methods^c EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods

Number of test runs^d 2 for lead, 3 for all others

Coal HHV, dry (Btu/lb)^e 13,000

Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton) 26,000,000

Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton) 26.0

Page 2-1.

^bPage 2-1. Assumed dry bottom.

^cAppendix A. ^dPage 3-9.

Page 3-4, assumed to be as fired.

REFERENCE 31 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 21 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

C			
EMISSION FACTORS ^a			
	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)c
Arsenic	13	1.30e-05	3.38e-04
Barium	34	3.40e-05	8.84e-04
Beryllium	0.4	4.00e-07	1.04e-05
Cadmium	3.1	3.10e-06	8.06e-05
Chloride	46,700	4.67e-02	1.21e+00
Chromium	12	1.20e-05	3.12e-04
Cobalt	2.0	2.00e-06	5.20e-05
Copper	5.5	5.50e-06	1.43e-04
Fluoride	3,850	3.85e-03	1.00e-01
Lead	4.3	4.30e-06	1.12e-04
Manganese	8.6	8.60e-06	2.24e-04
Molybdenum	5.3	.5.30e-06	1.38e-04
Nickel	5.9	5.90e-06	1.53e-04
Selenium	77	7.70e-05	2.00e-03
Vanadium	14	1.40e-05	3.64e-04
Benzene	0.8	8.00e-07	2.08e-05
Formaldehyde ^b	5	5.00e-06	1.30e-04
Toluene	5.2	5.20e-06	1.35e-04

^aPage 3-10.

^bEmission factors is based only on detection limits.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

REFERENCE 32 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 22 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

FIELD CHEMICAL EMISSIONS MONITORING PROJECT: SITE 19 EMISSIONS MONITORING. RADIAN CORPORATION, AUSTIN, TEXAS. NOVEMBER, 1992. TEST REPORT TITLE:

EPRI SITE 19 SITE19.tbl FILENAME FACILITY:

PROCESS DATA			•
Coal type ^a	Bituminous	Coal HHV, dry (Btu/lb)8	13,467
Boiler configuration	Pulverized, dry, opposed	Coal moisture %8	6.1%
Coal source	Virginia, Kentucky	Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb)	12,693
SCC	10100202	Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton)	25,385,485
Control device 1°	ESP cold side	Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	25.4
Control device 2	None	Coal feed rate, dry (lb/hr)h	694,000
Control device 3	None	Coal moisture percent by weight8	6.1%
Data Quality	¥	Coal feed rate, as received (lb/hr)	739,084
Process Parameters ^d	1160 MW	Coal feed rate, as received (ton/hr)	369.54
Test methods ^e	EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods	Stack gas flow rate (Nm3/hr) ^h	4,000,000
Number of test runs ^f	3		

^aPage 2-1.

^bPage 2-1. Assumed dry bottom.

^cPage 2-1.

^dPage 2-2.

^{&#}x27;Appendix A.

Page 3-7.

⁸Page 3-5. ^hPage 3-8.

REFERENCE 32 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 22 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

METALS				
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu) (lb/MMBtu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton) ^b	
Arsenic	7.9	7.90e-06	2.01e-04	
Cadmium	0.13	1.30e-07	3.30e-06	
Chloride	75,000	7.50e-02	1.90e+0	
Chromium	13	1.30e-05	3.30e-04	
Copper	12	1.20e-05	3.05e-04	
Fluoride	5,800	5.80e-03	1.47e-01	
Manganese	5.4	5.40e-06	1.37e-04	
Mercury	6.2	6.20e-06	1.57e-04	
Nickel	7.9	7.90e-06	2.01e-04	
Selenium	260	2.60e-04	6.60e-03	
^a Page 3-8. ^b Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.	y coal HHV, M	MBtu/ton.		

7997/92/04\Suplemnt.B\Reports\Chptr01\01-07.001 (9-17-98)

REFERENCE 32 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 22 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

MISCELLANEOUS EMISSION FACTORS	ORS									-
Pollutant Concentration (ug/Nm3) ^a	Solid	Solid Phase Conc.		Vapor	Vapor Phase Conc.	onc.	Ţ	Total conc.	•	
	Run 2	Run 3	Run 4	Run 2	Run 3	Run 2 Run 3 Run 4	Run 2	Run 2 Run 3 Run 4	Run 4	avg
Antimony	0.47	0.39	0.35	0.76	1.9	1.7	0.47	2.29	2.05	1.60
Beryllium	1.1	1.0	0.72	0.49	0.55	0.50	1.1	1.55	1.22	1.29
Cobalt	4.3	4.2	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.5	4.3	7	5.3	5.53
	emission rate	emission rate	emission factor							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Pollutant emissions	(ug/hr) ^b	(lb/hr)	(lb/ton) ^c							
Antimony	6,413,333	1.41e-02	3.83e-05							
Beryllium	5,160,000	1.14e-02	3.08e-05							
Cobalt	22,133,333	4.88e-02	1.32e-04	:						
⁴ Page 3-9.										

^bMultiply concentration by stack gas flow rate.

^dDivide emission rate by coal feed rate.

REFERENCE 33 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.1 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCE 23 OF AP-42 SECTION 1.7 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

TEST REPORT TITLE:

FIELD CHEMICAL EMISSIONS MONITORING PROJECT:

SITE 20 EMISSIONS MONITORING RADIAN CORPORATION,

AUSTIN, TEXAS. MARCH, 1994.

FACILITY: EPRI SITE 20 FILENAME SITE20.tbl

PROCESS DATA	
Coal type ^a	Lignite
Boiler configuration ^b	Pulverized
Coal source ^f	Wilcox, Texas
scc	10100301
Control device 1 ^a	ESP cold side
Control device 2 ^a	Flue Gas Desulfurization- Wet Limestone Scrubber (absorber)
Control device 3	None
Data Quality	A
Process Parameters ^a	680 MW
Test methods ^c	EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods
Number of test runs ^d	4
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb) ^e	6,760
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton)	13,520,000
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	13.5
4	

^{*}Page 2-1.

^bPage 2-5.

 $^{^{}c}$ Appendix A.

^dPage 3-9.

Page 2-2.

^fAppendix B of EPRI Synthesis Report, page B-3.

EMISSION FACTORS	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton) ^b	
Arsenic	0.63	6.30e-07	8.52e-06	
Barium	42	4.20e-05	5.68e-04	
Beryllium	0.35	3.50e-07	4.73e-06	
Cadmium	0.70	7.00e-07	9.46e-06	
Chloride	390	3.90e-04	5.27e-03	
Chromium	2.8	2.80e-06	3.79e-05	

EMISSION FACTORS	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton) ^b	
Cobalt	0.69	6.90e-07	9.33e-06	
Fluoride	430	4.30e-04	5.81e-03	
Lead	3.8	3.80e-06	5.14e-05	
Manganese	8.5	8.50e-06	1.15e-04	
Mercury	12	1.20e-05	1.62e-04	
Nickel	4.3	4.30e-06	5.81e-05	
Phosphorous	21	2.10e-05	2.84e-04	
Selenium	160	1.60e-04	2.16e-03	
Vanadium	3.08	3.08e-06	4.16e-05	

Page 3-11, Stack data.

Antimony EMISSION FACTOR: Note that antimony was not detected in any of the sampling runs.

	Run 1	.Run 2	Run 3	Run 4
Coal feed rate (lb/hr, dry) ^a	630,000	614,000	619,000	618,000
Coal moisture (%) ^a	33.5%	34.2%	33.6%	34.4%
Coal feed rate (lb/hr, wet) (as fired)	947,368	933,131	932,229	942,073
Coal feed rate (ton/hr)	474	467	466	471
Stack gas flow rate (Nm3/hr) ^b	3,100,000	3,140,000	3,100,000	3,040,000
Antimony concentration (ug/Nm3) ^{b,c}	1.31	1.07	1.13	1.29
Antimony emission rate (ug/hr) ^d	4,061,000	3,359,800	3,503,000	3,921,600
Antimony emission rate (lb/hr)e	8.95e-03	7.41e-03	7.72e-03	8.65e-03
Antimony emission factor (lb/ton) ^f	1.89e-05	1.59e-05	1.66e-05	1.84e-05
				avg
				1.74e-05

^aPage 3-6.

^bMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

^bPage 3-9.

^{&#}x27;Pollutant was not detected in any sampling runs. EF based on detection limits.

^dMultiply concentration by stack gas flow rate.

^cConvert ug/hr to lb/hr.

^fDivide emission rate by coal feed rate.

TEST REPORT TITLE:

FIELD CHEMICAL EMISSIONS MONITORING PROJECT:

SITE 21 EMISSIONS MONITORING. RADIAN CORPORATION,

AUSTIN, TEXAS. AUGUST, 1993.

FACILITY: EPRI SITE 21 FILENAME SITE21.tbl

PROCESS DATA			
Coal type ^a	Bituminous		
Boiler configuration ^b	Pulverized, dry, op	posed	
Coal source ^a	Pa., W. Va.		
scc	10100202		
Control device 1°	ESP		
Control device 2 ^c	Flue Gas Desulfuriz (Absorber)	zation, Wet Limeston	e Scrubber
Control device 3	None		
Data Quality	A		
Process Parameters ^c	667 MW		
Test methods ^d	EPA, or EPA-appro	oved, test methods	
Number of test runs ^e	8 for PM/metals, 7	for semi-volatiles	
Coal HHV, dry (Btu/lb) ^f	14,032		
Coal moisture % ^g	7%		
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb)	13,114		
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton)	26,228,037		
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	26.2		
^a Page 3-6. ^b Assumed to be pulverized, dry bottom ^c Page 2-3. ^d Appendix A. ^e Page 3-10 for metals, page 3-14 for se ^f Page 3-5. ^g Page 7-2.			
EMISSION FACTORS	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^b
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)

EMISSION FACTORS	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^b
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Acenapthene	0.018	1.80e-08	4.72e-07
Acenapthylene	0.0075	7.50e-09	1.97e-07
Anthracene	0.0099	9.90e-09	2.60e-07
Arsenic	6.17	6.17e-06	1.62e-04

EMISSION FACTORS	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^b
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Barium	3.21	3.21e-06	8.42e-05
Benz(a)anthracene	0.0013	1.30e-09	3.41e-08
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0018	1.80e-09	4.72e-08
Benzo(b,j,k)fluoranthenes	0.0066	6.60e-09	1.73e-07
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.0012	1.20e-09	3.15e-08
Beryllium	0.13	1.30e-07	3.41e-06
Cadmium	0.57	5.70e-07	1.49e-05
Chloride	1,980	1.98e-03	5.19e-02
Chromium	2.74	2.74e-06	7.19e-05
Chrysene	0.0069	6.90e-09	1.81e-07
Cobalt	4.1	4.10e-06	1.08e-04
Copper	1.57	1.57e-06	4.12e-05
Fluoranthene	0.053	5.30e-08	1.39e-06
Fluorene	0.064	6.40e-08	1.68e-06
Fluoride	31.9	3.19e-05	8.37e-04
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.0015	1.50e-09	3.93e-08
Lead	6.32	6.32e-06	1.66e-04
Manganese	15	1.50e-05	3.93e-04
Mercury	0.84	8.40e-07	2.20e-05
Molybdenum	0.61	6.10e-07	1.60e-05
Nickel	1.68	1.68e-06	4.41e-05
Phenanthrene	0.21	2.10e-07	5.51e-06
Pyrene	0.024	2.40e-08	6.29e-07
Selenium	9.9	9.90e-06	2.60e-04
Vanadium	5.50	5.50e-06	1.44e-04
5-Methyl Chrysene	0.0015	1.50e-09	3.93e-08
aDaga 2 15			

^aPage 3-15.

^bMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

TEST REPORT TITLE:

FIELD CHEMICAL EMISSIONS MONITORING PROJECT:

SITE 22 EMISSIONS REPORT. RADIAN CORPORATION,

AUSTIN, TEXAS. FEBRUARY, 1994.

FACILITY: EPRI SITE 22
FILENAME SITE22.tbl

FILENAME SITE22.tbl	
PROCESS DATA	
Coal type ^a	Subbituminous
Boiler configuration ^b	Pulverized, dry, opposed
Coal source ^a	Powder River
SCC	10100222
Control device 1 ^a	ESP Cold Side
Control device 2	None
Control device 3	None
Data Quality	A
Process Parameters ^c	700 MW
Test-methods ^d	.EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods
Number of test runs ^e	3
Coal HHV, dry (Btu/lb) ^f	11,981
Coal moisture % ^f	29.5%
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb)	9,252
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton)	18,503,475
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	18.5
^a Page 2-1 ^b Assumed pulverized, dry bottom.	
Page 2-2.	
^d Appendix A ^e Pages 3-7 through 3-11	
Page 3-6	

METALS, ORGANIC EMISSION FA	CTORS		
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Arsenic	0.087	8.70e-08	1.61e-06
Barium	16	1.60e-05	2.96e-04
Beryllium ^b	0.031	3.10e-08	5.74e-07
Cadmium	0.16	1.60e-07	2.96e-06
Chloride	726	7.26e-04	1.34e-02
Chromium	0.53	5.30e-07	9.81e-06
Cobalt ^b	0.70	7.00e-07	1.30e-05
Copper	1.0	1.00e-06	1.85e-05
Fluoride	855	8.55e-04	1.58e-02
Lead	0.11	1.10e-07	2.04e-06
Manganese	1.1	1.10e-06	2.04e-05
Mercury	3.8	3.80e-06	7.03e-05
Molybdenum	1.9	1.90e-06	3.52e-05
Nickel	0.64	6.40e-07	1.18e-05
Phosphorous	11	1.10e-05	2.04e-04
Selenium	0.053	5.30e-08	9.81e-07
Vanadium	0.78	7.80e-07	1.44e-05
Aluminum	136	1.36e-04	2.52e-03
Antimony ^b	3.8	3.80e-06	7.03e-05
Calcium	325	3.25e-04	6.01e-03
Iron	52	5.20e-05	9.62e-04
Magnesium	47	4.70e-05	8.70e-04
Potassium ^b	82	8.20e-05	1.52e-03
Sodium	86	8.60e-05	1.59e-03
Titanium	12	1.20e-05	2.22e-04

^aPage 3-12.

^bEmission factor is based only on detection limits.

^{&#}x27;Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

PAH EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Acenaphthalene	0.0034	3.40e-09	6.29e-08
Acenaphthene	0.0060	6.00e-09	1.11e-07
Anthracene	0.0046	4.60e-09	8.51e-08
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0011	1.10e-09	2.04e-08
Benzo(b,j,k)fluoranthenes	0.0027	2.70e-09	5.00e-08
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.0022	2.20e-09	4.07e-08
Benz(a)anthracene	0.0010	1.00e-09	1.85e-08
Chrysene	0.0025	2.50e-09	4.63e-08
Fluoranthene	0.024	2.40e-08	4.44e-07
Fluorene	0.012	1.20e-08	2.22e-07
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.0086	8.60e-09	1.59e-07
-5-Methyl Chrysene ^b	0.00047	4.70e-10	8.70e-09
Phenanthrene	0.069	6.90e-08	1.28e-06
Pyrene	0.016	1.60e-08	2.96e-07

^aPage 3-14..

DIOXIN/FURAN EMISSION FACTORS

	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu) ^a	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
2,3,7,8-TCDD ^b	3.3e-06	3.3e-12	6.1e-11
Total TCDD	4.7e-06	4.7e-12	8.7e-11
Total PeCDD	ND	ND	ND
Total HxCDD	ND	ND	ND
Total HpCDD	9.8e-06	9.8e-12	1.8e-10
OCDD	5.2e-05	5.2e-11	9.6e-10
1			

^bEmission factor is based only on detection limits.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu, ton.

DIOXIN/FURAN EMISSION	FACTORS		
	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu) ^a	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
2,3,7,8-TCDF ^b	3.6e-06	3.6e-12	6.7e-11
Total TCDF	6.2e-06	6.2e-12	1.1e-10
Total PeCDF	7.3e-06	7.3e-12	1.4e-10
Total HxCDF	3.5e-06	3.5e-12	6.5e-11
Total HpCDF	2.2e-06	2.2e-12	4.1e-11
OCDF	4.2e-06	4.2e-12	7.8e-11

^aPage 3-15.

^bEmission factor is based only on detection limits.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu, ton.

TEST REPORT TITLE:

FIELD CHEMICAL EMISSIONS MONITORING PROJECT:

SITE 101 EMISSIONS REPORT. RADIAN CORPORATION,

AUSTIN, TEXAS. OCTOBER, 1994.

FACILITY: EPRI SITE 101
FILENAME SITE101.tbl

FILENAME SITE TOTAL	
PROCESS DATA	
Coal type ^a	Subbituminous
Boiler configuration ^b	Pulverized, dry, wall-fired
Coal source ^c	New Mexico
scc	10100222
Control device 1 ^a	Low Nox Burners (LNB)
Control device 2 ^a	Fabric Filter
Control device 3 ^a	Flue Gas Desulfurization- Wet Limestone Scrubber
Data Quality	A
Process Parameters ^a	800 MW
Test methods ^d	EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods
Number of test runs ^e	3 for benzene, toluene, chloride and fluoride; 2 for all others.
Coal HHV, dry (Btu/lb) ^f	10,190
Coal moisture % ^f	14%
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb)	8,939
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton)	17,877,193
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	17.9

^aPage 2-1.

^bPage 2-1, assumed dry bottom.

^cAppendix B of the EPRI Synthesis Report, page B-3.

^dAppendix A.

Page 3-10 for benzene and toluene, page 3-6 for others.

Page 3-5.

METALS, ORGANIC EMI			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Arsenic	0.34	3.40e-07	6.08e-06
Barium	18	1.80e-05	3.22e-04
Beryllium	0.036	3.60e-08	6.44e-07
Cadmium	0.40	4.00e-07	7.15e-06
Chloride	2,500	2.50e-03	4.47e-02
Chromium	2.2	2.20e-06	3.93e-05
Cobalt	0.13	1.30e-07	2.32e-06
Copper	2.2	2.20e-06	3.93e-05
Fluoride	3,600	3.60e-03	6.44e-02
Lead	0.72	7.20e-07	1.29e-05
Manganese	10	1.00e-05	1.79e-04
Mercury	1.9	1.90e-06	3.40e-05
Molybdenum	2.6	2.60e-06	4.65e-05
Nickel	2.8	2.80e-06	5.01e-05
Phosphorous	9.2	9.20e-06	1.64e-04
Selenium	1.4	1.40e-06	2.50e-05
Vanadium	0.93	9.30e-07	1.66e-05
Benzene	0.57	5.70e-07	1.02e-05
Toluene	0.57	5.70e-07	1.02e-05

Page 3-13.

^bMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

TEST REPORT TITLE:

FIELD CHEMICAL EMISSIONS MONITORING PROJECT:

SITE 111 EMISSIONS REPORT. RADIAN CORPORATION,

AUSTIN, TEXAS. MAY, 1993.

FACILITY: EPRI SITE 111
FILENAME SITE111.tbl

DD	$\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta C C$	DATA

Coal type^a Subbituminous

Boiler configuration^b Pulverized, dry bottom

Coal source^c Western

SCC 10100222

Control device 1^c Low Nox Burners (LNB)

Control device 2^c Flue Gas Desulfurization- Spray Dryer (FGD-SD)

Control device 3^c Fabric Filter (FF)

Data Quality A

Process Parameters^c 267 MW

Test methods^d EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods

Number of test runs^e 2

Coal HHV, as fired (received) (Btu/lb)^f 10,020

Coal HHV, as fired (received) (Btu/ton) 20,040,000

Coal HHV, as fired (received) (MMBtu/ton) 20.0

^aPage 2-2.

^bAssumed dry bottom.

^cPage 2-1.

d Page 1-4.

e Page 3-12.

f Page 2-2.

EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton) ^c
Arsenic ^b	0.21	2.10e-07	4.21e-06
Cadmium ^b	2.1	2.10e-06	4.21e-05
Chromium ^b	4.3	4.30e-06	8.62e-05
Mercury ^b	67	6.70e-05	1.34e-03
Nickel	5.3	5.30e-06	1.06e-04
Chloride	1,250	1.25e-03	2.51e-02
Benzene	21.1	2.11e-05	4.23e-04
Naphthalene	0.76	7.60e-07	1.52e-05
Acenaphthalene	0.03	3.00e-08	6.01e-07
Acenaphthene	0.08	8.00e-08	1.60e-06
Fluorene	0.18	1.80e-07	3.61e-06
Phenanthrene	0.13	1.30e-07	2.61e-06
Anthracene	0.02	2.00e-08	4.01e-07
Fluoranthene	0.03	3.00e-08	6.01e-07
Pyrene	0.01	1.00e-08	2.00e-07
Chrysene ^b	0.004	4.00e-09	8.02e-08
Benz(a)anthracene	0.009	9.00e-09	1.80e-07
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.008	8.00e-09	1.60e-07
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.004	4.00e-09	8.02e-08
Benzo(a)pyrene ^b	0.004	4.00e-09	8.02e-08
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.004	4.00e-09	8.02e-08
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.004	4.00e-09	8.02e-08

^aPage 3-15.

^bEmission factor is based only on detection limits.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

TEST REPORT TITLE:

FIELD CHEMICAL EMISSIONS MONITORING PROJECT:

SITE 114 REPORT. RADIAN CORPORATION, AUSTIN, TEXAS.

MAY, 1994.

FACILITY: EPRI SITE 114
FILENAME SITE 114.tbl

ILENAME SITE114.00	-		
PROCESS DATA			
Coal type ^a	Bituminous		
Boiler configuration	Cyclone		
Coal source ^a	Indiana Lamar		
SCC	10100203		
Control device 1 ^a	ESP for baseline condition, Reburn/Overfire Air for condition two		
Control device 2 ^a	None for baseline, ESF	of for condition two	
Control device 3	none		
Data Quality	A		
Process Parameters ^a	100 MW		
Test methods ^b	EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods		
Number of test runs ^c	3		
	[,] Baseline	Reburn	
Coal HHV, dry (Btu/lb)d	13,490	13,280	
Coal moisture % ^d	15.6%	12.5%	
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb)	11,670	11,804	
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton)	23,339,100	23,608,889	
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	23.3	23.6	
*Page 2-1.			
^b Page 1-4.			
°Pages 3-8 and 3-9. dPages 3-4 & 3-5			

EMISSION FACTORS- BAS	ELINE CONDITION		
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Arsenic	7	7.00e-06	1.63e-04
Beryllium	2.4	2.40e-06	5.60e-05
Cadmium	1.8	1.80e-06	4.20e-05
Chromium	14	1.40e-05	3.27e-04
Manganese	20	2.00e-05	4.67e-04
Nickel	78	7.80e-05	1.82e-03
Lead	86	8.60e-05	2.01e-03
Selenium	240	2.40e-04	5.60e-03
Mercury	4.5	4.50e-06	1.05e-04
Chloride	4,310	4.31e-03	1.01e-01
Fluoride	64	6.40e-05	1.49e-03
-Benzene	.2.3	2.30e-06	5.37e-05
Toluene	1.02	1.02e-06	2.38e-05
PAHs ^b	ND	ND	ND
Formaldehyde	2.6	2.60e-06	6.07e-0 5
Acetaldehyde	2.6	2.60e-06	6.07e-05

^aPage 3-10.

^bND = not detected in three runs, no EF calculated. See page 3-8.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

EMISSION FACTORS- REBUI	RN CONDITION		
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton) ^d
Arsenic	8.0	8.00e-06	1.89e-04
Beryllium	0.8	8.00e-07	1.89e-05
Cadmium	0.4	4.00e-07	9.44e-06
Chromium	4.6	4.60e-06	1.09e-04
Manganese	15	1.50e-05	3.54e-04
Nickel	34	3.40e-05	8.03e-04
Lead	57	5.70e-05	1.35e-03
Selenium	150	1.50e-04	3.54e-03
Mercury	3.8	3.80e-06	8.97e-05
Chloride	6,000	6.00e-03	1.42e-01
Fluoride	89.9	8.99e-05	2.12e-03
Benzene	1.04	1.04e-06	2.46e-05
Toluene	0.70	7.00e-07	1.65e-05
PAHs ^b	ND	ND	ND
Formaldehyde ^c	2.6	2.60e-06	6.14e-05
Acetaldehyde ^c	2.6	2.60e-06	6.14e-05

^aPage 3-9.

^bND = not detected in three runs, no EF calculated. See page 3-9.

^eEmission factors based completely on detection limits.

^dMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

TEST REPORT TITLE:

FIELD CHEMICAL EMISSIONS MONITORING PROJECT:

SITE 115 EMISSIONS REPORT. RADIAN CORPORATION,

AUSTIN, TEXAS. NOVEMBER, 1994.

FACILITY: EPRI SITE 115
FILENAME SITE115.tbl

PROCESS DATA				
Coal type ^a	Bituminous			
Boiler configuration ^b	Pulverized, Dry bot	Pulverized, Dry bottom		
Coal source ^a	Western			
scc	10100202			
	PHASE I	PHASE II		
Control device 1 ^c	LNB/OFA	LNB/OFA		
Control device 2°	Fabric Filter	SNCR		
Control device 3 ^c	none	Fabric Filter		
Data Quality	В	(coal moisture percent not provided)		
Process Parameters ^a	117. MW			
Test methods ^d	EPA, or EPA-appro	oved, test methods		
Number of test runs ^e	2 for nickel during	Phase I, 3 for all others		
	PHASE I	PHASE II		
Coal HHV, dry (Btu/lb) ^f	12,565	12,638		
Coal moisture % ⁸	9.8%	9.8%		
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb)	11,444	11,510		
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton)	22,887,067	23,020,036		
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	22.9	23.0		

^aPage 6.

^bPage 6. Assumed dry bottom.

Page 6. LNB = Low Nox Burners; OFA = Overfire Air; SNCR = Selective non-catalytic reduction.

^dAppendix A, Table A-1.

Page 26 for Phase I, page 35 for Phase II. Also, see footnote to nickel EF in Table 3-4.

Page 20 for Phase I; Page 32 for Phase II.

⁸The test report does not provide a moisture content for the coal. EPRI Site 111 (Reference 19) also uses a "western bituminous" coal and the value used here is from that reference.

EMISSION FACTORS- PHASE I			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^d
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Arsenic	0.75	7.50e-07	1.72e-05
Barium	1.1	1.10e-06	2.52e-05
Beryllium ^c	0.02	2.00e-08	4.58e-07
Cadmium	0.12	1.20e-07	2.75e-06
Chromium	0.66	6.60e-07	1.51e-05
Cobalt ^c	0.22	2.20e-07	5.04e-06
Copper	1.1	1.10e-06	2.52e-05
Lead	0.44	4.40e-07	1.01e-05
Manganese	1.0	1.00e-06	2.29e-05
Mercury ^c	0.35	3.50e-07	8.01e-06
Molybdenum	0.17	1.70e-07	3.89e-06
Nickel ^b	1.5	1.50e-06	3.43e-05
Phosphorus	6.7	6.70e-06	1.53e-04
Selenium	0.36	3.60e-07	8.24e-06
Vanadium	0.24	2.40e-07	5.49e-06
Chloride	630	6.30e-04	1.44e-02
Fluoride	4,300	4.30e-03	9.84e-02
Benzene	2.6	2.60e-06	5.95e-05
Toluene	105	1.05e-04	2.40e-03
Formaldehyde	16.5	1.65e-05	3.78e-04
Cyanide	8	8.00e-06	1.83e-04
Naphthalene	0.26	2.60e-07	5.95e-06

^{*}page 28, 29. ND = not detected in 3 runs, no EF developed. See page 26 for run data.

bOne run invalid, data from two runs used to develop EF.

^cEmission factor is based only on detection limits.

^dMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

EMISSION FACTORS- PHASE II			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^e
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Arsenic	0.15	1.50e-07	3.45e-06
Barium	1.1	1.10e-06	2.53e-05
Beryllium ^b	0.02	2.00e-08	4.60e-07
Cadmium ^b	0.07	7.00e-08	1.61e-06
Chromium	0.30	3.00e-07	6.91e-06
Cobalt ^b	0.23	2.30e-07	5.29e-06
Copper	1.3	1.30e-06	2.99e-05
Lead	0.40	4.00e-07	9.21e-06
Manganese	0.89	8.90e-07	2.05e-05
Mercury	0.41	4.10e-07	9.44e-06
Molybdenum	0.27	2.70e-07	6.22e-06
Nickel	0.45	4.50e-07	1.04e-05
Phosphorus	4.6	4.60e-06	1.06e-04
Selenium ^b	0.06	6.00e-08	1.38e-06
Vanadium	0.29	2.90e-07	6.68e-06
Chloride	720	7.20e-04	1.66e-02
Fluoride	4,800	4.80e-03	1.10e-01
Cyanide	9	9.00e-06	2.07e-04

Page 37.

bEmission factor is based only on detection limits.
cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

TEST REPORT TITLE:

CHARACTERIZING TOXIC EMISSIONS FROM A COAL-FIRED POWER PLANT DEMONSTRATING THE AFGD ICCT PROJECT AND A PLANT UTILIZING A DRY SCRUBBER/BAGHOUSE SYSTEM. SPRINGERVILLE GENERATING STATION UNIT NO. 2. SOUTHERN RESEARCH INSTITUTE, BIRMINGHAM,

AL. DECEMBER, 1993.

FACILITY:

Springerville, Arizona

FILENAME

DOE7.tbl

	~ ~		_	
PR	ОC	ESS	1)	ATA

Coal type^a

Subbituminous

Boiler configuration^b

Pulverized, dry bottom, tangential

Coal source^a

New Mexico

SCC

10100226

Control device 1^a

Low Nox Burners- Overfire Air (LNB/OFA)

Control device 2ª

Flue Gas Desulfurization- Spray Dryer (FGD-SD)

Control device 3ª

Baghouse

Data Quality

Α

Process Parameters^a

422 MW

Test methods^c

EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods

Number of test runs^d

2 for selenium, cadmium and manganese, 3 for others.

Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb)^e

9,446

Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton)

18,892,000

Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)

18.9

^{*}Page 3-1.

b"Pulverized" from page 3-1, assumed dry bottom,

[&]quot;Tangential" from Appendix B of EPRI Synthesis Report. Page B-7.

^cPage 4-2.

^dPages 6-53, 6-54, and 6-55.

Page 6-2, average for conveyor.

EMISSION FACTORS			-
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Antimony	0.041	4.10e-08	7.75e-07
Arsenic	0.15	1.50e-07	2.83e-06
Barium	14.1	1.41e-05	2.66e-04
Beryllium ^b	0.04	4.00e-08	7.56e-07
Boron	609	6.09e-04	1.15e-02
Cadmium	0.026	2.60e-08	4.91e-07
Chromium	0.10	1.00e-07	1.89e-06
Cobalt ^b	0.3	3.00e-07	5.67e-06
Copper	0.98	9.80e-07	1.85e-05
Lead	0.70	7.00e-07	1.32e-05
Manganese	11.36	1.14e-05	2.15e-04
Mercury	4.18	4.18e-06	7.90e-05
Molybdenum	1.4	1.40e-06	2.64e-05
Nickel ^b	0.3	3.00e-07	5.67e-06
Selenium ^b	0.038	3.80e-08	7.18e-07
Vanadium	1.0	1.00e-06	1.89e-05

^aPage 1-11.

^bEmission factor is based only on detection limits.

^{&#}x27;Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

TEST REPORT TITLE:

A STUDY OF TOXIC EMISSIONS FROM A COAL-FIRED POWER

PLANT- NILES STATION BOILER NO. 2. BATTELLE,

COLUMBUS, OHIO. DECEMBER 29, 1993.

FACILITY: Niles, Ohio FILENAME DOE2.tbl

TILLIVINE DOUZ.tor			
PROCESS DATA			
Coal type ^a .	Bituminous		:
Boiler configuration	Cyclone		
Coal source ^a	Ohio/W. Pa.		
scc	10100203		
Control device 1 ^a	ESP		
Control device 2	None		
Control device 3	None		
Data Quality	Α		
Process Parameters ^a	108 MW		
Test methods	Assumed EPA, or I	EPA-approved, test	methods
Number of test runs ^b	3		
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb) ^c	12,184		
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton)	24,368,000		
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	24.4		
^a Page 2-1. ^b Pages 6-24, 6-26, 6-27, 6-28, 6-30, 6-3 ^c Page 2-18. Average of 11964, 12504,		and 12068 Btu/lb.	
METALS EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Aluminum	1114	1.11e-03	2.71e-02
Antimony ^b	0.18	1.80e-07	4.39e-06
Arsenic	42	4.20e-05	1.02e-03
Barium	5.4	5.40e-06	1.32e-04
Beryllium	0.19	1.90e-07	4.63e-06
Cadmium	0.07	7.00e-08	1.71e-06

METALS EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Chromium	3.0	3.00e-06	7.31e-05
Cobalt ^b	. 0.06	6.00e-08	1.46e-06
Copper	4.0	4.00e-06	9.75e-0 5
Lead	1.6	1.60e-06	3.90e-05
Manganese	3.4	3.40e-06	8.29e-05
Mercury	14	1.40e-05	3.41e-04
Molybdenum	2.3	2.30e-06	5.60e-05
Nickel	0.55	5.50e-07	1.34e-05
Potassium	705	7.05e-04	1.72e-02
Selenium	62.0	6.20e-05	1.51e-03
Sodium	. 1767	1.77e-03	4.31e-02
Titanium	23	2.30e-05	5.60e-04
Vanadium	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05

^aPage 6-24, "Average" values.

AMMONIA/CYANIDE EMISSION FACTORS

	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu) ^a	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)°
Ammonia ^b	70	7.00e-05	1.71e-03
Cyanide	180	1.80e-04	4.39e-03

^aPage 6-26, Table 6-8, "Average" values.

HCI, HFI EMISSION FACTORS

	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu) ^a	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton) ^b
Hydrogen Chloride	132,049	1.32e-01	3.22e+00
Hydrogen Fluoride	8,921	8.92e-03	2.17e-01

^{*}Page 6-27, Table 6-10, "Average" values.

^bPollutant was not detected in any of the sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits (1/2).

^{&#}x27;Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

^bDetection limit values (1/2) for two runs used in developing EF.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

^bMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

ORGANIC EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Chloromethane (Methyl Chloride)	4.9	4.90e-06	1.19e-04
Bromomethane (Methyl Bromide) ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	7.80e-05
Vinyl Chloride ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
Chloroethane (Ethyl Chloride) ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
Carbon Disulfide	5.9	5.90e-06	1.44e-04
1,1-Dichloroethane (Ethylidene Dichloride) ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
Chloroform ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
1,2-Dichloroethane (Ethylene Dichloride) ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	5.1	5.10e-06	1.24e-04
1,1,1-Trichloroethane ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
Carbon Tetrachloride ^b	. 2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
Vinyl Acetate ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
1,2-Dichloropropane (Propylene Dichloride) ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
Trichloroethene ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
1,1,2-Trichloroethane ^b	2.4	2.40e-06	5.85e-05
Benzene	7.9	7.90e-06	1.93e-04
1,3-Dichloropropylene ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
Bromoform ^b	2.4	2.40e-06	5.85e-05
Tetrachloroethene	3.1	3.10e-06	7.55e-05
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
Toluene	3.5	3.50e-06	8.53e-05
Chlorobenzene ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
Ethylbenzene ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
Styrene ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05
Xylenes ^b	2.5	2.50e-06	6.09e-05

^aPage 6-28 (189 HAPs, only). ^bPollutant not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits (1/2).

c Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

PAH/ORGANIC EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Benzyl chloride ^b	0.0059	5.90e-09	1.44e-07
Acetophenone	0.6360	6.36e-07	1.55e-05
Hexachloroethane ^b	0.0059	5.90e-09	1.44e-07
Naphthalene	0.2153	2.15e-07	5.25e-06
Hexachlorobutadiene ^b	0.0059	5.90e-09	1.44e-07
2-Chloroacetophenone	0.2879	2.88e-07	7.02e-06
Biphenyl	0.1257	1.26e-07	3.06e-06
Acenaphthylene	0.0068	6.80e-09	1.66e-07
Acenaphthene	0.0265	2.65e-08	6.46e-07
Dibenzofurans	0.0654	6.54e-08	1.59e-06
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.0197	1.97e-08	4.80e-07
Fluorene	0.0313	3.13e-08	7.63e-0 7
Hexachlorobenzene ^b	0.0059	5.90e-09	1.44e-07
Phenanthrene	0.0776	7.76e-08	1.89e-06
Anthracene	0.0207	2.07e-08	5.04e-07
Fluoranthene	0.0270	2.70e-08	6.58e-07
Pyrene	0.0139	1.39e-08	3.39e-07
Benz(a)anthracene	0.0037	3.70e-09	9.02e-08
Chrysene	0.0089	8.90e-09	2.17e-07
Benzo(b,k)fluoranthene	0.0070	7.00e-09	1.71e-07
Benzo(a)pyrene ^b	0.0012	1.20e-09	2.92e-08
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene ^b	0.0012	1.20e-09	2.92e-08
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene ^b	0.0012	1.20e-09	2.92e-08

^aPage 6-30 (most common PAHs, 189 HAPs). ^bPollutant not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits (1/2).

^{&#}x27;Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

DIOXINS/FURANS EMISSION F.	ACTORS		
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
2,3,7,8-TCDD ^b	1.05e-06	1.05e-12	2.56e-11
OCDD	1.89e-05	1.89e-11	4.61e-10
2,3,7,8-TCDF	4.76e-06	4.76e-12	1.16e-10
OCDF_	1.95e-05	1.95e-11	4.75e-10

^aPage 6-32.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

ALDEHYDES EMISSION FACTORS

	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^b
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Formaldehyde	3.9	3.90e-06	9.50e-05
Acetaldehyde	89	8.90e-05	2.17e-03
Acrolein	41	4.10e-05	9.99e-04
Propionaldehyde	25	2.50e-05	6.09e-04

^aPage 6-33.

^bPollutant not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits (1/2).

^bMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

TEST REPORT TITLE:

A STUDY OF TOXIC EMISSIONS FROM A COAL-FIRED POWER

PLANT UTILIZING AN ESP/WET FGD SYSTEM. BATTELLE,

COLUMBUS, OHIO. DECEMBER 29, 1993.

FACILITY:

Underwood, North Dakota

FILENAME

DOE6.tbl

DD 0 0 0 0 0	D 4 (D 4
PROCESS	DATA

Coal type^a

Lignite

Boiler configuration^a

Pulverized, Dry bottom, tangential

Coal source^a

North Dakota

SCC

10100302

Control device 1ª

ESP

Control device 2^b

Flue Gas Desulfurization- Wet Limestone Scrubber

(FGD-WLS)

Control device 3

None

Data Quality

Α

Process Parameters^c

550 MW

Test methods^d

Assumed EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods

Number of test runse

2,3

Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb)^f

6,230

Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton)

12,460,000

Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)

12.5

^aPage 2-1.

^bPages 2-1, 2-4, and 2-5.

Page 2-1. 2 identical units @ 1,100 MW- one unit = 550 MW.

^dPage 3-26.

See pages referenced below by groups of EFs.

Page 2-33, average of "As received" values.

METALS EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^d
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Aluminum	578	5.78e-04	7.20e-03
Antimony	0.18	1.80e-07	2.24e-06
Arsenic	1.2	1.20e-06	1.50e-05
Barium	162	1.62e-04	2.02e-03
Beryllium ^b	0.85	8.50e-07	1.06e-05
Boron	19	1.90e-05	2.37e-04
Cadmium ^b	1.6	1.60e-06	1.99e-05
Calcium	1308	1.31e-03	1.63e-02
Chromium ^c	10.0	1.00e-05	1.25e-04
Cobalt	1.5	1.50e-06	1.87e-05
Copper	4.9	4.90e-06	6.11e-05
Lead	0.69	6.90e-07	8.60e-06
Manganese	30	3.00e-05	3.74e-04
Mercury	9.5	9.50e-06	1.18e-04
Molybdenum ^c	0.51	5.10e-07	6.35e-06
Nickel ^c	5.1	5.10e-06	6.35e-05
Potassium	109	1.09e-04	1.36e-03
Selenium	8.3	8.30e-06	1.03e-04
Sodium	218	2.18e-04	2.72e-03
Titanium	42	4.20e-05	5.23e-04
Vanadium	4.4	4.40e-06	5.48e-05

^aPage 6-76, "Average" values. ^bPollutant was not detected in any of the sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits (1/2).

^cData from one run not used, EF based on data from two runs.

^dMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

AMMONIA/CYANIDE EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Ammonia ^b	1.9	1.90e-06	2.37e-05
Cyanide	51	5.10e-05	6.35e-04
^a Page 6-78. ^b Pollutant was not detected in any sampling ^c Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by c			1/2).
HCI, HFI EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)a	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton) ^b
Hydrogen Chloride	1,339	1.34e-03	1.67e-02
Hydrogen Fluoride	3,976	3.98e-03	4.95e-02
^a Page 6-80. ^b Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coordinated or construction of the coordinate	coal HHV, MMBtu/t	on.	
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^e
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Chloromethane (Methyl Chloride)	106	1.06e-04	1.32e-03
Bromomethane (Methyl Bromide)	4.3	4.30e-06	5.36e-05
Vinyl Chloride ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
Chloroethane (Ethyl Chloride) ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
Carbon Disulfide	3.4	3.40e-06	4.24e-05
1,1-Dichloroethane (Ethylidene Dichloride) ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
Chloroform ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
1,2-Dichloroethane (Ethylene Dichloride)	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	9.8	9.80e-06	1.22e-04
1,1,1-Trichloroethane ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
Carbon Tetrachloride ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
Vinyl Acetate ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05

			
ORGANIC EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
1,2-Dichloropropane (Propylene Dichloride) ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
Trichloroethene ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
1,1,2-Trichloroethane ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
Benzene	41	4.10e-05	5.11e-04
1,3-Dichloropropylene ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
Bromoform	3.1	3.10e-06	3.86e-05
Tetrachloroethene ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
Toluene	24	2.40e-05	2.99e-04
Chlorobenzene	3.3	3.30e-06	4.11e-05
Ethylbenzene ^b	3.2	3.20e-06	3.99e-05
Styrene	3.3	3.30e-06	4.11e-05
Xylenes	3.5	3.50e-06	4.36e-05

^aPage 6-82 (only 189 HAPs).

PAH/SVOC EMISSION FACTORS

	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Naphthalene	0.2549	2.55e-07	3.18e-06
Acenaphthene	0.0173	1.73e-08	2.16e-07
Dibenzofurans	0.0516	5.16e-08	6.43e-07
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.0065	6.50e-09	8.10e-08
Fluorene	0.0415	4.15e-08	5.17e-07
Hexachlorobenzene ^b	0.0009	9.00e-10	1.12e-08
Phenanthrene	0.3142	3.14e-07	3.91e-06
Anthracene	0.0147	1.47e-08	1.83e-07
Fluoranthene	0.0422	4.22e-08	5,26e-07

^bPollutant was not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits (1/2).

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

PAH/SVOC EMISSION FACTORS		-	
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(ib/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Pyrene	0.0162	1.62e-08	2.02e-07
Benz(a)anthracene	0.0021	2.10e-09	2.62e-08
Chrysene	0.0053	5.30e-09	6.60e-08
Benzo(b,k)fluoranthene	0.0045	4.50e-09	5.61e-08
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0009	9.00e-10	1.12e-08
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	0.0006	6.00e-10	7.48e-09
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.0006	6.00e-10	7.48e-09
Biphenyl	0.0230	2.30e-08	2.87e-07
Acetophenone	0.5425	5.43e-07	6.76e-06
Acenaphthylene	0.0105	1.05e-08	1.31e-07
Benzyl Chloride	0.0057	5.70e-09	7.10e-08

^aPage 6-84 (most common PAHs, 189 HAPs).

DIOXINS/FURANS EMISSION FACTORS

,			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton) ^c
2,3,7,8-TCDD ^b	9.90e-07	9.90e-13	1.23e-11
OCDD	1.51e-05	1.51e-11	1.88e-10
2,3,7,8-TCDF	9.89e-06	9.89e-12	1.23e-10
OCDF	6.29e-06	6.29e-12	7.84e-11

^aPage 6-86.

^bPollutant was not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits (1/2).

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

^bPollutant was not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits (1/2).

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

ALDEHYDES EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Formaldehyde ^b	1.8	1.80e-06	2.24e-05
Acetaldehyde	67	6.70e-05	8.35e-04
Acrolein	1.1	1.10e-06	1.37e-05
Propionaldehyde	12	1.20e-05	1.50e-04

^aPage 6-88.

^bPollutant was not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits (1/2).

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

TEST REPORT TITLE:

TOXICS ASSESSMENT REPORT. ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY.

BALDWIN POWER STATION-UNIT 2. VOLUMES I

THROUGH IV. ROY F. WESTON, INC. DECEMBER, 1993

FACILITY: Baldwin, Illinois

FILENAME DOE3.tbl	
PROCESS DATA	
Coal type ^a	Bituminous
Boiler configuration ^a	Cyclone
Coal source ^a	Illinois
scc	10100203
Control device 1 ^b	ESP
Control device 2	None
Control device 3	None
Data Quality	Α
Process Parameters ^a	568 MW
Test methods ^c	EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods
Number of test runs ^d	6 for filterable PM, 3 for other pollutants
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb) ^e	10,633
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton)	21,266,000
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	21.3

^aPage 2-1.

Page 2-23. Average of 10765, 10681, 10722, 10412, 10426 and 10794 Btu/lb, as received, non-soot blowing periods.

METALS EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)a	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton) ^b
Aluminum	5.55e+03	5.55e-03	1.18e-01
Antimony	1.52e + 00	1.52e-06	3.23e-05
Arsenic	1.34e+01	1.34e-05	2.85e-04
Barium	5.32e+00	5.32e-06	1.13e-04
Beryllium	1.41e+00	1.41e-06	3.00e-05

^bPage 2-4.

^cPage 1-12.

^dSee pages referenced below by groups of EFs.

METALS EMISSION FACTORS			
WEITHER EMISSION THE TORK	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu) ^a	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton) ^b
Boron	7.67e+03	7.67e-03	1.63e-01
Cadmium	3.02e+00	3.02e-06	6.42e-05
Calcium	3.25e + 02	3.25e-04	6.91e-03
Chromium	5.06e+01	5.06e-05	1.08e-03
Cobalt	6.80e + 00	6.80e-06	1.45e-04
Copper	1.89e+01	1.89e-05	4.02e-04
Iron	8.39e + 03	8.39e-03	1.78e-01
Lead	2.86e + 01	2.86e-05	6.08e-04
Magnesium	2.90e+02	2.90e-04	6.17e-03
Manganese	2.23e+01	2.23e-05	4.74e-04
Mercury	3.83e+00	3.83e-06	8.14e-05
Molybdenum	3:37e+01	3.37e-05	7.17e-04
Nickel	2.21e+01	2.21e-05	4.70e-04
Potassium	9.33e+02	9.33e-04	1.98e-02
Phosphorous	1.98e + 02	1.98e-04	4.21e-03
Selenium	1.30e+02	1.30e-04	2.76e-03
Sodium	1.17e+03	1.17e-03	2.49e-02
Titanium	3.82e+02	3.82e-04	8.12e-03
Vanadium	1.00e+02	1.00e-04	2.13e-03

^aPage 4-18, "Average" values. ^bMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

ORGANICS EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Phenol	1.15e+00	1.15e-06	2.45e-05
Acetophenone	1.23e+00	1.23e-06	2.62e-05
Isophorone	2.62e+01	2.62e-05	5.57e-04
Biphenyl ^b	8.78e-01	8.78e-07	1.87e-05
Di-n-butylphthalate	3.00e+00	3.00e-06	6.38e-05
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	4.60e+00	4.60e-06	9.78e-05

^aPage 4-74.

PAH EMISSION FACTORS

	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Naphthalene	3.94e-01	3.94e-07	8.38e-06
Acenaphthylene	3.19e-02	3.19e-08	6.78e-07
Acenaphthene ^b	6.32e-03	6.32e-09	1.34e-07
Fluorene	4.87e-03	4.87e-09	1.04e-07
Phenanthrene	5.69e-02	5.69e-08	1.21e-06
Anthracene	2.64e-03	2.64e-09	5.61e-08
Fluoranthene	1.74e-02	1.74e-08	3.70e-07
Pyrene	2.82e-03	2.82e-09	6.00e-08
Benz(a)anthracene ^b	1.17e-03	1.17e-09	2.49e-08
Benzo(b,k)fluoranthene	3.91e-03	3.91e-09	8.32e-08
Benzo(a)pyrene ^b	5.44e-04	5.44e-10	1.16e-08
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene ^b	1.11e-03	1.11e-09	2.36e-08
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene ^b	1.13e-03	1.13e-09	2.40e-08

^aPage 4-74.

^bEmission factor based on only non-detects.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

^bPollutant not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits.

^{&#}x27;Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

DIOXINS/FURANS EMISSIO	ON FACTORS		
r. F	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^e
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
2,3,7,8-TCDD ^b	2.54e-06	2.54e-12	5.40e-11
Total TCDD	1.34e-06	1.34e-12	2.85e-11
Total PeCDD ^b	7.37e-07	7.37e-13	1.57e-11
Total HxCDD	9.59e-07	9.59e-13	2.04e-11
Total HpCDD	2.53e-06	2.53e-12	5.38e-11
Total OCDD ^b	8.91e-06	8.91e-12	1.89e-10
2,3,7,8-TCDF ^b	1.27e-06	1.27e-12	2.70e-11
Total TCDF ^b	3.82e-06	3.82e-12	8.12e-11
Total PeCDF	3.99e-06	3.99e-12	8.49e-11
Total HxCDF	5.57e-06	5.57e-12	1.18e-10
Total HpCDF	3.17e-06	3.17e-12	6.74e-11
Total OCDF	4.15e-06	4.15e-12	8.83e-11

^aPage 4-76.

'Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

ALDEHYDES/KETONES EMISSION FACTORS

	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Formaldehyde	1.68e+00	1.68e-06	3.57e-05
Acetaldehyde	1.37e+01	1.37e-05	2.91e-04
Acrolein	3.55e+00	3.55e-06	7.55e-05
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	3.70e+00	3.70e-06	7.87e-05

^bPollutant not detected in any sampling runs.

^aPage 4-78, ESP Outlet data, only 189 HAPs. ^bMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

ORGANICS EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Bromomethane (Methyl Bromide)	9.70e-01	9.70e-07	2.06e-05
Carbon Disulfide	1.37e-01	1.37e-07	2.91e-06
Methylene Chloride ^b	1.83e+01	1.83e-05	3.89e-04
Hexane	1.64e-01	1.64e-07	3.49e-06
Benzene	1.21e+02	1.21e-04	2.57e-03
Toluene ^b	2.00e+00	2.00e-06	4.25e-05
Ethylbenzene	1.26e-01	1.26e-07	2.68e-06
Xylenes(m/p + o)	1.87e+00	1.87e-06	3.97e-05
Styrene	1.99e-01	1.99e-07	4.23e-06

^aPage 4-80.

bResults suspected to be biased by lab solvents, do not use.
cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

TEST REPORT TITLE:

TOXICS ASSESSMENT REPORT. MINNESOTA POWER COMPANY BOSWELL ENERGY CENTER UNIT 2. COHASSET, MINNESOTA. VOLUME 1- MAIN REPORT. ROY F. WESTON,

INC. WEST CHESTER, PENNSYLVANIA. DECEMBER, 1993.

FACILITY: Cohasset, Minnesota

FILENAME DOE8.tbl

PROCESS DATA			
Coal type ^a	Subbituminous		
Boiler configuration ^b	Pulverized, Dry bottom		
Coal source ^a	Montana/Wyoming		
SCC	10100222		
Control device 1 ^c	Baghouse		
Control device 2	None		
Control device 3	None		
Data Quality	Α		
Process Parameters ^a	69 MW		
Test methods ^d	EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods		
Number of test runs ^c	3	8,692	
		8,749	
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb) ^f	8,798	8,839	
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/ton)	17,596,000	8,815	
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	17.6	8,871	
		8,820	
	avg	8,798	
^a Page 2-1.			
^b Page 2-1 for "pulverized", assumed dry	bottom.		
Page 2-4.			
^d Page 1-12. ^c See pages listing emission factors.			
^f Page 2-23, average of 8692, 8749, 8839	9. 8815. 8871. 8820 Btu/lb.		

METALS EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Aluminum	1.93e + 03	1.93e-03	3.40e-02
Antimony ^b	6.77e-01	6.77e-07	1.19e-05
Arsenic	3.24e-01	3.24e-07	5.70e-06
Barium	8.16e+01	8.16e-05	1.44e-03
Beryllium ^b	1.29e-01	1.29e-07	2.27e-06
Boron	6.09e + 02	6.09e-04	1.07e-02
Cadmium ^b	6.48e-01	6.48e-07	1.14e-05
Calcium	4.76e+02	4.76e-04	8.38e-03
Chromium	2.04e + 00	2.04e-06	3.59e-05
Cobalt	7.01e-01	7.01e-07	1.23e-05
Copper	2.40e+00	2.40e-06	4.22e-05
Iron	4.12e+02	4.12e-04	7.25e-03
Lead	2.44e+00	2.44e-06	4.29e-05
Magnesium	2.05e + 02	2.05e-04	3.61e-03
Manganese	1.84e+01	1.84e-05	3.24e-04
Mercury	1.93e+00	1.93e-06	3.40e-05
Molyhdenum	1.29e+00	1.29e-06	2.27e-05
Nickel	1.97e+00	1.97e-06	3.47e-05
Potassium	5.71e+01	5.71e-05	1.00e-03
Phosphorous	2.67e+01	2.67e-05	4.70e-04
Selenium	3.23e + 00	3.23e-06	5.68e-05
Sodium	1.97e+02	1.97e-04	3.47e-03
Titanium	5.78e+01	5.78e-05	1.02e-03
Vanadium	1.53e+00	1.53e-06	2.69e-05

^aPage 4-14, "Average" values. ^bPollutant not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

ORGANICS EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
n-Nitrosodimethylamine ^b	8.87e-01	8.87e-07	1.56e-05
Phenol	4.29e-01	4.29e-07	7.55e-06
Acetophenone	7.13e-01	7.13e-07	1.25e-05
Biphenyl ^b	1.78e-01	1.78e-07	3.13e-06
Di-n-butylphthalate ^b	1.94e + 00	1.94e-06	3.41e-05
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.68e+00	1.68e-06	2.96e-05

^aPage 4-43.

PAH EMISSION FACTORS

	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Naphthalene	2.53e-01	2.53e-07	4.45e-06
Acenaphthylene	5.31e-03	5.31e-09	9.34e-08
Acenaphthene	4.08e-02	4.08e-08	7.18e-07
Fluorene	8.84e-03	8.84e-09	1.56e-07
Phenanthrene	2.10e-01	2.10e-07	3.70e-06
Anthracene	6.17e-03	6.17e-09	1.09e-07
Fluoranthene	8.25e-02	8.25e-08	1.45e-06
Pyrene	3.73e-02	3.73e-08	6.56e-07
Benz(a)anthracene	4.68e-03	4.68e-09	8.23e-08
Benzo(b,j,k)fluoranthene	3.05e-03	3.05e-09	5.37e-08
Benzo(a)pyrene	2.09e-04	2.09e-10	3.68e-09
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	3.45e-04	3.45e-10	6.07e-09
Benzo(g,h,i)peryleneb	5.19e-04	5.19e-10	9.13e-09

^aPage 4-43.

^bPollutant not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

^bPollutant not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits.

^{&#}x27;Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

DIOXINS/FURANS EMISSION FACTO	RS		
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
2,3,7,8-TCDD	8.14e-07	8.14e-13	1.43e-11
Total TCDD	9.29e-06	9.29e-12	1.63e-10
Total PeCDD	4.64e-06	4.64e-12	8.16e-11
Total HxCDD	2.10e-06	2.10e-12	3.70e-11
Total HpCDD ^b	1.86e-06	1.86e-12	3.27e-11
Total OCDD	1.10e-05	1.10e-11	1.94e-10
2,3,7,8-TCDF	6.03e-06	6.03e-12	1.06e-10
Total TCDF	6.04e-05	6.04e-11	1.06e-09
Total PeCDF	4.74e-05	4.74e-11	8.34e-10
Total HxCDF	2.23e-05	2.23e-11	3.92e-10
Total HpCDF	6.95e-06	6.95e-12	1.22e-10
Total OCDF	1.86e-06	1.86e-12	3.27e-11

^aPage 4-45.

ALDEHYDES/KETONES EMISSION FACTORS

	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Formaldehyde ^b	1.70e+00	1.70e-06	2.99e-05
Acetaldehyde ^b	1.09e+00	1.09e-06	1.92e-05
Acrolein	3.40e + 00	3.40e-06	5.98e-05
Methyl Ethyl Ketone ^b	4.99e+00	4.99e-06	8.78e-05

^{*}Page 4-47, ESP Outlet data, only 189 HAPs.

^bPollutant not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

^bPollutant not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

VOC EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Chloroethane (ethyl chloride)	2.50e+00	2.50e-06	4.40e-05
Carbon Disulfide	1.77e+01	1.77e-05	3.11e-04
Methylene Chloride	1.07e+01	1.07e-05	1.88e-04
Hexane	1.54e+00	1.54e-06	2.71e-05
Vinyl acetate ^b	4.29e-01	4.29e-07	7.55e-06
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	1.64e+01	1.64e-05	2.89e-04
Benzene	1.03e-02	1.03e-08	1.81e-07
Methyl Methacrylate	1.14e+00	1.14e-06	2.01e-05
Ethylene Dibromide ^c	6.56e-02	6.56e-08	1.15e-06
Toluene	5.45e+00	5.45e-06	9.59e-05
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	5.61e-01	5.61e-07	9.87e-06
Chlorobenzene	1.63e-01	1.63e-07	2.87e-06
Ethylbenzene	4.27e-01	4.27e-07	7.51e-06
Xylenes(m/p + o)	2.43e + 00	2.43e-06	4.27e-05
Styrene	1.75e+00	1.75e-06	3.08e-05
Cumene	3.02e-01	3.02e-07	5.31e-06

^aPage 4-49.

^bPollutant not detected in any sampling runs. EF is based on detection limits.

^cMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

TEST REPORT TITLE:

ASSESSMENT OF TOXIC EMISSIONS FROM A COAL FIRED POWER PLANT UTILIZING AN ESP. FINAL REPORT-REVISION

1. ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH

CORPORATION. IRVINE, CALIFORNIA. DECEMBER 23, 1993.

FACILITY: Brilliant, Ohio, Cardinal Unit 1

FILENAME DOE5 th

FILENAME DOE5.tbl		<u> </u>			
PROCESS DATA					
Coal type ^a	Bituminous	Bituminous			
Boiler configuration ^b	Pulverized, Dry bo	ttom			
Coal source ^a	Pennsylvania				
scc	10100202				
Control device 1 ^a	ESP				
Control device 2	None				
Control device 3	None				
Data Quality	C (no HHV for the AP-42)	C (no HHV for the coal, had to use average from AP-42)			
Process Parameters ^a	615				
Test methods ^c	EPA, or EPA-appro	EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods			
Number of test runs ^d	3	3			
Coal HHV (Btu/lb) ^e	13,000	13,000			
Coal HHV (Btu/ton)	26,000,000				
Coal HHV (MMBtu/ton)	26.0	26.0			
^a Page 1-1. ^b Page 1-1 for "pulverized", assume ^c Page 1-4. ^d Page 1-5. ^c Appendix A of AP-42, "Typical Page	·				
METALS EMISSION FACTORS					
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^b		
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)		
Aluminum	235	2.35e-04	6.11e-03		
Calcium	283	2.83e-04	7.36e-03		
Iron	568	5.68e-04	1.48e-02		
Magnesium	16.4	1.64e-05	4.26e-04		

METALS EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^b
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Phosphorous	141	1.41e-04	3.67e-03
Potassium	88.7	8.87e-05	2.31e-03
Silicon	60.9	6.09e-05	1.58e-03
Sodium	249	2.49e-04	6.47e-03
Titanium	16.6	1.66e-05	4.32e-04
Zinc	18.3	1.83e-05	4.76e-04
Antimony	2.36	2.36e-06	6.14e-05
Arsenic	3.49	3.49e-06	9.07e-05
Barium	0.872	8.72e-07	2.27e-05
Beryllium	0.070	7.00e-08	1.82e-06
Boron	1,912	1.91e-03	4.97e-02
Cadmium	0.846	8.46e-07	2.20e-05
Chromium	7.51	7.51e-06	1.95e-04
Cobalt	0.631	6.31e-07	1.64e-05
Copper	1.39	1.39e-06	3.61e-05
Lead	3.83	3.83e-06	9.96e-05
Manganese	15.0	1.50e-05	3.90e-04
Mercury	0.448	4.48e-07	1.16e-05
Molybdenum	0.567	5.67e-07	1.47e-05
Nickel	4.72	4.72e-06	1.23e-04
Selenium	92.8	9.28e-05	2.41e-03
Silver	0.200	2.00e-07	5.20e-06
Vanadium	1.57	1.57e-06	4.08e-05

^{*}Page 1-11.

bMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

DIOXINS/FURANS EMISSION FACT	ORS		
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^t
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Total TCDD	5.15e-05	5.15e-11	1.34e-09
Total HxCDD	2.23e-05	2.23e-11	5.80e-10
Total HpCDD	7.61e-06	7.61e-12	1.98e-10
Total OCDD	2.03e-05	2.03e-11	5.28e-10
2,3,7,8-TCDF	6.58e-07	6.58e-13	1.71e-11
Total PeCDF	2.79e-06	2.79e-12	7.25e-11
Total HxCDF	2.51e-05	2.51e-11	6.53e-10
Total HpCDF	2.68e-06	2.68e-12	6.97e-11
Total OCDF	1.07e-05	1.07e-11	2.78e-10
^a Page 1-11. ^b Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, b		on.	
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS EMISSI			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Benzyl Chloride	53.9	5.39e-05	1.40e-03
Isophorone	23.3	2.33e-05	6.06e-04
Dimethyl Sulfate	1.83	1.83e-06	4.76e-05
Naphthalene	1.94	1.94e-06	5.04e-05
^a Page 1-11. ^b Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, b	y coal HHV, MMBtu/to	on.	
ORGANIC EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	48.1	4.81e-05	1.25e-03
Formaldehyde	60.0	6.00e-05	1.56e-03
Benzene	3.40	3.40e-06	8.84e-05
Bromomethane (Methyl Bromide)	15.1	1.51e-05	3.93e-04
Chloroform	2.92	2.92e-06	7.59e-05
Chloromethane (Methyl Chloride)	6.38	6.38e-06	1.66e-04

ORGANIC EMISSION FACTORS			
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^b
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Hexane	6.53	6.53e-06	1.70e-04
m,p-Xylene	2.98	2.98e-06	7.75e-05
Methyl Hydrazine	6.57	6.57e-06	1.71e-04
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	1.36	1.36e-06	3.54e-05
Toluene	5.16	5.16e-06	1.34e-04

^aPage 1-13.

^bMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

OTHER EMISSION FACTORS

	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^b
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Ammonia	40.7	4.07e-05	1.06e-03
Chlorine	1,547	1.55e-03	4.02e-02
Hydrogen Chloride	22,915	2.29e-02	5.96e-01
Hydrogen Cyanide	0.591	5.91e-07	1.54e-05
Hydrogen Fluoride	1,869	1.87e-03	4.86e-02
со	753	7.53e-04	1.96e-02
THC	365	3.65e-04	9.49e-03
NOX		· 1.22e+00	3.17e+01
SOX		4.41e+00	1.15e+02

^aPage 1-14. Note that SOx and NOx units are lb/MMBtu.

^bMultiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

TEST REPORT TITLE:

500-MW DEMONSTRATION OF ADVANCED WALL-FIRED COMBUSTION TECHNIQUES FOR THE REDUCTION OF NITROGEN OXIDE (NOX) EMISSIONS FROM COAL-FIRED BOILERS. RADIAN, CORPORATION, AUSTIN, TEXAS.

FACILITY: EPRI SITE 16
FILENAME SITE16.tbl

PROCESS DATA			
Coal type ^a	Bituminous		
Boiler configuration ^b	Pulverized, dry bottom		
Coal source ^f	Virginia/Kentucky		
SCC	10100202		
Control device 1ª	Low Nox Burners/Overfire Air (LNB/OFA)		
Control device 2 ^a	ESP		
Control device 3	none		
Data Quality	A		
Process Parameters ^a	500 MW		
Test methods ^c	EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods		
Number of test runs ^d	3		
Coal HHV, dry (Btu/lb) ^e	13,800		
Coal moisture percent by weight ^e	3.8%		
Coal HHV, as received (Btu/lb)	13,295		
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/lb)	0.013		
Coal HHV, as received (MMBtu/ton)	26.59		
Coal feed rate (lb/hr,dry) ^e	315,000		
Coal feed rate, as received, (lb/hr)	327,443		
Coal feed rate, as received, (ton/hr)	164		
^a Page 2-1 ^b Conversation with Greg Behrens, Rad ^c Page 3-1 ^d Page 3-21, 3-22, 3-23	ian, Austin, Texas.		

^fAppendix B of EPRI Synthesis Report, page B-2

Page 3-7

STACK EMISSION FACTORS Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)a	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Arsenic	110	1.10e-04	2.92e-03
Barium	140	1.40e-04	3.72e-03
Beryllium	3.1	3.10e-06	8.24e-05
Cadmium	3.6	3.60e-06	9.57e-05
Chloride	15,000	1.50e-02	3.99e-01
Chromium	21	2.10e-05	5.58e-04
Chrome VI	5.4	5.40e-06	1.44e-04
Cobalt	6.5	6.50e-06	1.73e-04
Copper	30	3.00e-05	7.98e-04
Fluoride	5,100	5.10e-03	1.36e-01
Lead	11	1.10e-05	2.92e-04
Manganese	21	2.10e-05	5.58e-04
Mercury	4.8	4.80e-06	1.28e-04
Molybdenum	12	1.20e-05	3.19e-04
Nickel	17	1.70e-05	4.52e-04
Phosphorous	180	1.80e-04	4.79e-03
Selenium	140	1.40e-04	3.72e-03
Vanadium	41	4.10e-05	1.09e-03
Benzene ^c	0.51	5.10e-07	1.36e-05
Toluene	0.7	7.00e-07	1.86e-05
Formaldehyde	1.3	1.30e-06	3.46e-05
Acenaphthene	0.0081	8.10e-09	2.15e-07
Acenaphthylene	0.0030	3.00e-09	7.98e-08
Anthracene	0.0037	3.70e-09	9.84e-08
Benzo(a)pyrene ^c	0.0041	4.10e-09	1.09e-07
Benzo(b,j,k)fluoranthenes	0.0015	1.50e-09	3.99e-08
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene ^c	0.0031	3.10e-09	8.24e-08
Benz(a)anthracene	0.0070	7.00e-09	1.86e-07
Chrysene	0.0018	1.80e-09	4.79e-08

STACK EMISSION FACTORS			, .
Pollutant	(lb/10^12 Btu)a	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)
Fluoranthene	0.010	1.00e-08	2.66e-07
Fluorene	0.0099	9.90e-09	2.63e-07
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene ^b	0.0027	2.70e-09	7.18e-08
Phenanthrene	0.044	4.40e-08	1.17e-06
Pyrene	0.011	1.10e-08	2.92e-07
^a Pages 3-24, 3-25. Individual run data	on pages 3-21, 3-22, 3-23	3.	

TEST REPORT TITLE:

FIELD CHEMICAL EMISSIONS MONITORING REPORT:

SITE 122. SOUTHERN RESEARCH INSTITUTE, BIRMINGHAM,

ALABAMA. MAY, 1995.

FACILITY: EPRI SITE 122 FILENAME SITE122.tbl

ÞΡ	റവ	225	\mathbf{D}^{\prime}	ATA
ГΚ	L /L .F	~7.7	11/	1 I M

Coal type^a Bituminous

Boiler configuration^a Cyclone

Coal source^a Illinois

SCC 10100203

Control device 1^a Electrostatic Precipitator, Cold side

Control device 2^a none

Control device 3^a none

Data Quality A

Process Parameters^a 275 MW

Test methods^b EPA, or EPA-approved, test methods

Number of test runs^c 2 for manganese, 3 for all others

Coal HHV, as fired (Btu/lb)^d 12,327

Coal HHV, as fired (Btu/ton) 24,654,000

Coal HHV, as fired (MMBtu/ton) 24.7

^aPage 2-1.

^bPage 1-3.

^cPages 3-17, 3-20 and 3-22.

^dPage 3-4.

METALS, NONMETALS AND ORGANIC EMISSION FACTORS				
	Emission Factor ^a	Emission Factor	Emission Factor ^c	
Pollutant	(lb/10 ¹² Btu)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/ton)	
Arsenic	220	2.20e-04	5.42e-03	
Barium	69	6.90e-05	1.70e-03	
Beryllium	4.0	4.00e-06	9.86e-05	
Cadmium	3.6	3.60e-06	8.88e-05	
Chromium	100	1.00e-04	2.47e-03	
Cobalt	26	2.60e-05	6.41e-04	
Lead	180	1.80e-04	4.44e-03	
Manganese ^b	205	2.05e-04	5.05e-03	
Mercury	8.2	8.20e-06	2.02e-04	
Nickel	71	7.10e-05	1.75e-03	
Selenium	67	6.70e-05	1.65e-03	
Vanadium	148	1.48e-04	3.65e-03	
Fluorine	3.8e + 03	3.80e-03	9.37e-02	
Chlorine	2.3e+05	2.30e-01	5.67e + 00	
Sulfur (sulfur dioxide)	1.5e+06	1.50e + 00	3.70e+01	
Formaldehyde	0.7	7.00e-07	1.73e-05	
Benzene	7.8	7.80e-06	1.92e-04	
Toluene	1.9	1.90e-06	4.68e-05	

^aPage 3-30.

bEF developed from two sampling runs. See footnote c to Table 3.10, page 3-17. Multiply emission factor, lb/MMBtu, by coal HHV, MMBtu/ton.

TITLE:

Hydrogen Chloride and Hydrogen Fluoride Emission Factors for the NAPAP Emission

Inventory. EPA-600/7-85-041. October, 1985.

Filename:

NAPAP.tbl

BOILER SCC DESCRIPTIONS	Source Classification Codes		Hydroge Chlorid (lb/ton) ^a	e	Hydrogen Fluoride (lb/ton) ^{a,b}
Commercial/Industrial Boilers					
Bituminous and Subbituminous Coal					
Firing Types					
Pulverized Coal Wet Bottom	1-03-002-05/21	*	1.48	*	0.17
Pulverized Coal Dry Bottom	1-03-002-06/22				
Overfeed Stoker	1-03-002-07				
Underfeed Stoker	1-03-002-08				
Spreader Stoker	1-03-002-09/24				
Hand-fired	1-03-002-14				
Pulverized Coal Dry Bottom Tangential	1-03-002-16/26				
Atmospheric Fluidized Bed Combustor	1-03-002-17/18				
Cyclone Furnace	1-03-002-23				
Traveling Grate Overfeed Stoker	1-03-002-25				
Electric Generation & Industrial Boilers					
Bituminous and Subbituminous Coal					
Firing Types					
Pulverized Coal Wet Bottom	1-01-002-01/21	*	1.9	*	0.23
	1-02-002-01/21				
Pulverized Coal Dry Bottom	1-01-002-02/22				
	1-02-002-02/22				
Cyclone Furnace	1-01-002-03/23				
	1-02-002-03/23				
Spreader Stoker	1-01-002-04/24				
	1-02-002-04/24				

	Source Classification	Hydrogen Chloride	Hydrogen Fluoride
BOILER SCC DESCRIPTIONS	Codes	(lb/ton) ^{a,b}	(lb/ton) ^{a,b}
Traveling Grate Overfeed Stoker	1-01-002-05/25		
	1-02-002-25		
Overfeed Stoker	1-02-002-05		
Pulverized Coal Dry Bottom,	1-01-002-12/26		
Tangential Firing	1-02-002-12		
Atmospheric Fluidized Bed	1-01-002-17		
	1-01-002-18		
	1-02-002-17		
	1-02-002-18		
Underfeed Stoker	1-02-002-06		
Commercial/Industrial Boilers			
Lignite			
Firing Types			
Pulverized Coal	1-03-003-05	* 0.351 *	0.063
Pulverized Coal Tangential Firing	1-03-003-06		
Traveling Grate Overfeed Stoker	1-03-003-07		
Spreader Stoker	1-03-003-09		
Electric Generation & Industrial Boilers			
Lignite			
Firing Types			
Pulverized Coal	1-01-003-01	0.01	0.01
	1-02-003-01		
Pulverized Coal Tangential Firing	1-01-003-02		
	1-02-003-02		
Cyclone Furnace	1-01-003-03		
	1-02-003-03		

BOILER SCC DESCRIPTIONS	Source Classification Codes	Hydrogen Chloride (lb/ton) ^{a,b}	Hydrogen Fluoride (lb/ton) ^{a,b}
Traveling Grate Overfeed Stoker	1-01-003-04		
	1-02-003-04		
Spreader Stoker	1-01-003-06		
	1-02-003-06		
	Overall Average	1.2	0.15
	Quality Rating	В	В

^aPages 29, 30, 31. Factors are for both uncontrolled and controlled boilers.

^bAn asterisk to the left of a factor indicates that it was used in calculating the overall emission factor.